

Checklist on evaluation report quality

Independent Terminal Evaluation of the Project

Project title: Overcoming policy, market and technological barriers to support technical innovation and south-south technology transfer: The pilot case of ethanol production from cassava

UNIDO Project ID: 100264

GEF ID: 4037

Evaluation team leader: Dr. Alfredo Curbelo Alonso

National evaluation consultant: Ms. Sopin Wachirapuwadon

Quality review done by: J.Dobinger

Date: 9/8/2019

Report quality criteria	UNIDO ODG/EVQ/IEV assessment notes	Rating
A. Was the report well-structured and properly written? (Clear language, correct grammar, clear and logical structure)	The report is well structured and uses clear language with some grammatical errors.	5
B. Was the evaluation objective clearly stated and the methodology appropriately defined?	Objectives were clearly stated. The methodology was adequately defined in the TOR, however, this was then not explicitly addressed in the evaluation report.	3
C. Did the report present an assessment of relevant outcomes and achievement of project objectives?	yes	6
D. Was the report consistent with the ToR and was the evidence complete and convincing?	The evidence presented seems to reflect the project reality, in that sense it is convincing. TOC analysis should have been more structured, participatory and explicit.	4
E. Did the report present a sound assessment of sustainability of outcomes or did it explain why this is not (yet) possible? (Including assessment of assumptions, risks and impact drivers)	Sustainability is assessed convincingly	5
F. Did the evidence presented support the lessons and recommendations? Are these directly based on findings?	yes	6

Rating system for quality of evaluation reports

A number rating 1-6 is used for each criterion: Highly Satisfactory = 6, Satisfactory = 5, Moderately Satisfactory = 4, Moderately Unsatisfactory = 3, Unsatisfactory = 2, Highly Unsatisfactory = 1, and unable to assess = 0.

