



GEF-6 REQUEST FOR PROJECT ENDORSEMENT/APPROVAL

PROJECT TYPE: Full-sized Project

TYPE OF TRUST FUND: GEF Trust Fund

For more information about GEF, visit TheGEF.org

PART I: PROJECT INFORMATION

Project Title: Africa Environmental Health and Pollution Management Project – Tanzania			
Country(ies):	Tanzania	GEF Project ID: ¹	9850
GEF Agency(ies):	WB (select) (select)	GEF Agency Project ID:	P167788
Other Executing Partner(s):	Ministry of Mines	Submission Date:	2018-11-29
GEF Focal Area (s):	Chemicals and Wastes	Project Duration (Months)	60
Integrated Approach Pilot	IAP-Cities <input type="checkbox"/> IAP-Commodities <input type="checkbox"/> IAP-Food Security <input type="checkbox"/>		Corporate Program: SGP <input type="checkbox"/>
Name of Parent Program	Environmental Health and Pollution Management (9444)	Agency Fee (\$)	660,550

A. FOCAL AREA STRATEGY FRAMEWORK AND OTHER PROGRAM STRATEGIES²

Focal Area Objectives/Programs	Focal Area Outcomes	Trust Fund	(in \$)	
			GEF Project Financing	Co-financing
(select) CW-2 Program 4 (select)	Outcome 4.1: Mercury is reduced	GEFTF	7,339,450	35,300,000
(select) (select) (select)		(select)		
(select) (select) (select)		(select)		
(select) (select) (select)		(select)		
(select) (select) (select)		(select)		
(select) (select) (select)		(select)		
(select) (select) (select)		(select)		
(select) (select) (select)		(select)		
Total project costs			7,339,450	35,300,000

B. PROJECT DESCRIPTION SUMMARY

Project Objective: To strengthen the institutional capacity to manage and regulate mercury use in ASGM and e-waste in selected countries in Africa.

Tanzania will focus on strengthening the institutional capacity to regulate and managing mercury in ASGM sector.

Project Components/ Programs	Financing Type ³	Project Outcomes	Project Outputs	Trust Fund	(in \$)	
					GEF Project Financing	Confirmed Co-financing
Component 1: Institutional strengthening, knowledge and capacity building	TA	Strengthened environmental monitoring by the Inspections office of Ministry of Energy and Minerals (MEM) and Vice President	Monitoring protocols developed. Guidance and training materials developed and training delivered to different stakeholder	GEFTF	1,500,000	10,602,302

¹ Project ID number remains the same as the assigned PIF number.

² When completing Table A, refer to the excerpts on [GEF 6 Results Frameworks for GETF, LDCF and SCCF](#).

³ Financing type can be either investment or technical assistance.

		Office (VPO)	<p>groups on the new/amended legislation, regulations, and bylaws on waste management</p> <p>Support for access to credit and technical knowhow on licensing, extraction and amalgamation</p> <p>Stakeholder Mapping finalized (including private and informal sectors)</p> <p>Targeted study tours organized to share knowledge and expertise and contribute to regional framework of action</p>			
Component 2: Policy Dialogue and Regulatory Enhancements	TA	Enhanced Policy framework for management of mercury usage and disposal from ASGM sector	<p>Development of strategy for promoting the reduction of emissions and releases of, and exposure to, mercury in ASGM and processing, including mercury-free methods</p> <p>Developing a public health strategy on the exposure of artisanal and small-scale gold miners and their communities to mercury</p> <p>Data collation of health data, training for health-care workers and awareness-raising through health facilities</p> <p>Guidelines for monitoring, screening and evaluating health and environment risks for artisanal gold miners developed</p> <p>National Steering Committee established and a communication strategy in place</p>	GEFTF	1,500,000	10,500,450
Component 3: Demonstrating	Inv	Increased number of ASGM miners using	Implementation of pilot to adopt of use of	GEFTF	3,989,952	12,697,248

application of technological tools and economic approaches		non-mercury methods Improved working conditions for local mining community	mercury replacement technologies by local manufacturers (e.g. low cost centralized gold extraction equipment). Stakeholder engagement and awareness raising on use of cleaner technologies to phasedown mercury usage. Tools and guidance notes developed for design and implementation of risk reduction strategy.			
	(select)			(select)		
	(select)			(select)		
	(select)			(select)		
	(select)			(select)		
	(select)			(select)		
Subtotal					6,989,952	33,800,000
Project Management Cost (PMC) ⁴				GEFTF	349,498	1,500,000
Total project costs					7,339,450	35,300,000

C. CONFIRMED SOURCES OF CO-FINANCING FOR THE PROJECT BY NAME AND BY TYPE

Please include evidence for co-financing for the project with this form.

Sources of Co-financing	Name of Co-financier	Type of Cofinancing	Amount (\$)
GEF Agency	World Bank P160164	Loans	35,000,000
Recipient Government	Government of Tanzania	In-kind	300,000
(select)		(select)	
(select)		(select)	
(select)		(select)	
(select)		(select)	
(select)		(select)	
(select)		(select)	
(select)		(select)	
Total Co-financing			35,300,000

D. TRUST FUND RESOURCES REQUESTED BY AGENCY(IES), COUNTRY(IES) AND THE PROGRAMMING OF FUNDS

GEF Agency	Trust Fund	Country Name/Global	Focal Area	Programming of Funds	(in \$)		
					GEF Project Financing (a)	Agency Fee ^{a)} (b) ²	Total (c)=a+b

⁴ For GEF Project Financing up to \$2 million, PMC could be up to 10% of the subtotal; above \$2 million, PMC could be up to 5% of the subtotal. PMC should be charged proportionately to focal areas based on focal area project financing amount in Table D below.

WB	GEF TF	Tanzania	Chemicals and Wastes	Mercury	7,339,450	660,550	8,000,000
(select)	(select)		(select)	(select as applicable)			0
(select)	(select)		(select)	(select as applicable)			0
(select)	(select)		(select)	(select as applicable)			0
(select)	(select)		(select)	(select as applicable)			0
(select)	(select)		(select)	(select as applicable)			0
(select)	(select)		(select)	(select as applicable)			0
(select)	(select)		(select)	(select as applicable)			0
(select)	(select)		(select)	(select as applicable)			0
(select)	(select)		(select)	(select as applicable)			0
Total Grant Resources					7,339,450	660,550	8,000,000

a) Refer to the Fee Policy for GEF Partner Agencies

E. PROJECT'S TARGET CONTRIBUTIONS TO GEF 6 CORE INDICATORS

Update the relevant sub-indicator values for this project using the methodologies indicated in the Core Indicator Worksheet (as used in GEF 7 Endorsement template – Annex E) and aggregating them in the table below. Progress in programming against these targets is updated at mid-term evaluation and at terminal evaluation. Achieved targets will be aggregated and reported any time during the replenishment period. There is no need to complete this table for climate adaptation projects financed solely through LDCF and SCCCf.

Project Core Indicators		Expected at CEO Endorsement
1	Terrestrial protected areas created or under improved management for conservation and sustainable use (Hectares)	
2	Marine protected areas created or under improved management for conservation and sustainable use (Hectares)	
3	Area of land restored (Hectares)	
4	Area of landscapes under improved practices (excluding protected areas)(Hectares)	
5	Area of marine habitat under improved practices (excluding protected areas) (Hectares)	
	Total area under improved management (Hectares)	
6	Greenhouse Gas Emissions Mitigated (metric tons of CO ₂ e)	
7	Number of shared water ecosystems (fresh or marine) under new or improved cooperative management	
8	Globally over-exploited marine fisheries moved to more sustainable levels (metric tons)	
9	Reduction, disposal/destruction, phase out, elimination and avoidance of chemicals of global concern and their waste in the environment and in processes, materials and products (metric tons of toxic chemicals reduced)	38 metric tons of mercury
10	Reduction, avoidance of emissions of POPs to air from point and non-point sources (grams of toxic equivalent gTEQ)	*
11	Number of direct beneficiaries disaggregated by gender as co-benefit of GEF investment	2000 (of which 700 are female)

Provide additional explanation on targets, other methodologies used, and other focal area specifics (i.e., Aichi targets in BD) including justification where core indicator targets are not provided.

* Note for Core Indicator 9: Reports on mercury reduction (in Metric tons) as approved under the GEF-6 focal area objective. The program does not involve disposal of PCBs or obsolete pesticides and so the indicator of tons of POPs disposed of in Table E cannot be applied directly.

Note for Core Indicator 10: As approved in the PFD, the Program directly contributes to GEF-6 Corporate Result #5 Increase in phase-out, disposal and reduction of releases of POPs, ODS, mercury and other chemicals of global concern. In line with GEF-6 Programming Directions for Chemicals and Waste and with Stockholm convention obligations, global environmental benefits as relates to POPs will accrue in particular from release reduction of unintentionally produced POPs, preliminary estimated at 12gTEQ/year using the UNEP toolkit taking into account replication, as well as release reduction of brominated flame retardants. The program does not involve disposal of PCBs or obsolete pesticides and the indicator of tons of POPs disposed of in Table E cannot be applied directly

F. PROJECT TAXONOMY

Please update the table below for the taxonomic information provided at PIF stage. Use the GEF Taxonomy Worksheet provided in Annex F to find the most relevant keywords/topics/themes that best describe the project.

Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4
Influencing Models	Strengthen institutional capacity/decision-making	(multiple selection)	(multiple selection)
Stakeholders	Beneficiaries	(multiple selection)	(multiple selection)
Capacity, Knowledge and Research	Knowledge Generation and Exchange	(multiple selection)	(multiple selection)
Gender Equality	Gender results areas	(multiple selection)	(multiple selection)
Focal Area/Theme	Chemicals and wastes	(multiple selection)	(multiple selection)
Rio Markers	Climate Change Adaptation 0		

PART II: PROJECT JUSTIFICATION

A. DESCRIBE ANY CHANGES IN ALIGNMENT WITH THE PROJECT DESIGN WITH THE ORIGINAL PIF⁵

A.1. *Project Description*. Elaborate on: 1) the global environmental and/or adaptation problems, root causes and barriers that need to be addressed; 2) the baseline scenario or any associated baseline projects, 3) the proposed alternative scenario, GEF focal area⁶ strategies, with a brief description of expected outcomes and components of the project, 4) [incremental/additional cost reasoning](#) and expected contributions from the baseline, the GEFTF, LDCF, SCCF, and [co-financing](#); 5) [global environmental benefits](#) (GEFTF) and/or [adaptation benefits](#) (LDCF/SCCF); and 6) innovativeness, sustainability and potential for scaling up.

See Project Document.

A.2. *Child Project?* If this is a child project under a program, describe how the components contribute to the overall program impact.

Yes, see Project Document.

A.3. *Stakeholders*. Please provide the [Stakeholder Engagement Plan](#) or equivalent assessment. (Type response here; if available, upload document or provide link) In addition, provide a summary on how stakeholders will be consulted in project execution, the means and timing of engagement, how information will be disseminated, and an explanation of any resource requirements throughout the project/program cycle to ensure proper and meaningful stakeholder engagement.

Select what role civil society will play in the project:

- Consulted only;
- Member of Advisory Body; contractor;
- Co-financier;
- Member of project steering committee or equivalent decision-making body;
- Executor or co-executor;
- Other (Please explain) Participation in activities, as relevant.

A.4. *Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment*. Provide the gender analysis or equivalent socio-economic assessment. (Type response here; if available, upload document or provide link)

Does the project expect to include any gender-responsive measures to address gender gaps or promote gender equality and women's empowerment? (yes /no) If yes, please upload gender action plan or equivalent here.

If possible, indicate in which results area(s) the project is expected to contribute to gender equality:

- closing gender gaps in access to and control over natural resources;
- improving women's participation and decision making; and or
- generating socio-economic benefits or services for women.

Does the project's results framework or logical framework include gender-sensitive indicators? (yes /no)

⁵ For questions A.1 –A.7 in Part II, if there are no changes since PIF, no need to respond, please enter “NA” after the respective question.

⁶ For biodiversity projects, in addition to explaining the project's consistency with the biodiversity focal area strategy, objectives and programs, please also describe which [Aichi Target\(s\)](#) the project will directly contribute to achieving.

A.5 Risk. Elaborate on indicated risks, including climate change, potential social and environmental risks that might prevent the project objectives from being achieved, and, if possible, the proposed measures that address these risks at the time of project implementation.(table format acceptable):

A.6. Institutional Arrangement and Coordination. Describe the institutional arrangement for project implementation. Elaborate on the planned coordination with other relevant GEF-financed projects and other initiatives.

Additional Information not well elaborated at PIF Stage:

A.7 Benefits. Describe the socioeconomic benefits to be delivered by the project at the national and local levels. How do these benefits translate in supporting the achievement of global environment benefits (GEF Trust Fund) or adaptation benefits (LDCF/SCCF)?

A.8 Knowledge Management. Elaborate on the knowledge management approach for the project, including, if any, plans for the project to learn from other relevant projects and initiatives (e.g. participate in trainings, conferences, stakeholder exchanges, virtual networks, project twinning) and plans for the project to assess and document in a user-friendly form (e.g. lessons learned briefs, engaging websites, guidebooks based on experience) and share these experiences and expertise (e.g. participate in community of practices, organize seminars, trainings and conferences) with relevant stakeholders.

B. DESCRIPTION OF THE CONSISTENCY OF THE PROJECT WITH:

B.1 Consistency with National Priorities. Describe the consistency of the project with national strategies and plans or reports and assessments under relevant conventions such as NAPAs, NAPs, ASGM NAPs, MIAs, NBSAPs, NCs, TNAs, NCSAs, NIPs, PRSPs, NPFE, BURs, etc.:

C. DESCRIBE THE BUDGETED M &E PLAN: See Project Document.

PART III: CERTIFICATION BY GEF PARTNER AGENCY(IES)

A. GEF Agency(ies) certification

This request has been prepared in accordance with GEF policies⁷ and procedures and meets the GEF criteria for CEO endorsement under GEF-6.

Agency Coordinator, Agency Name	Signature	Date (MM/dd/yyyy)	Project Contact Person	Telephone	Email Address
Emilia Battaglini (GEF Program Manager WB)		11/26/2018	Gayatri Kanungo	202-522- 0703	gkanungo@worldbank.org

⁷ GEF policies encompass all managed trust funds, namely: GEFTF, LDCF, and SCCF
GEF6 CEO Endorsement /Approval Template – August 29, 2018

ANNEX A: PROJECT RESULTS FRAMEWORK (either copy and paste here the framework from the Agency document, or provide reference to the page in the project document where the framework could be found).
See Annex C of the PAD

ANNEX B: RESPONSES TO PROJECT REVIEWS (from GEF Secretariat and GEF Agencies, and Responses to Comments from Council at work program inclusion and the Convention Secretariat and STAP at PIF).

See attached Matrix of Responses

ANNEX C: STATUS OF IMPLEMENTATION OF PROJECT PREPARATION ACTIVITIES AND THE USE OF FUNDS⁸

A. Provide detailed funding amount of the PPG activities financing status in the table below:

PPG Grant Approved at PIF:			
<i>Project Preparation Activities Implemented</i>	<i>GEF/LDCF/SCCF Amount (\$)</i>		
	<i>Budgeted Amount</i>	<i>Amount Spent To date</i>	<i>Amount Committed</i>
Total	0	0	0

⁸ If at CEO Endorsement, the PPG activities have not been completed and there is a balance of unspent fund, Agencies can continue to undertake the activities up to one year of project start. No later than one year from start of project implementation, Agencies should report this table to the GEF Secretariat on the completion of PPG activities and the amount spent for the activities. Agencies should also report closing of PPG to Trustee in its Quarterly Report.

ANNEX D: CALENDAR OF EXPECTED REFLOWS (if non-grant instrument is used)

Provide a calendar of expected reflows to the GEF/LDCF/SCCF Trust Funds or to your Agency (and/or revolving fund that will be set up)

N/A

Annex E: GEF 7 Core Indicator Worksheet

Use this Worksheet to compute those indicator values as required in Part I, Table E to the extent applicable to your proposed project. Progress in programming against these targets for the program will be aggregated and reported at any time during the replenishment period. There is no need to complete this table for climate adaptation projects financed solely through LDCF and SCCF.

N/A

Note for Core Indicator 9: Reports on mercury reduction (in Metric tons) as approved under the GEF-6 focal area objective. The program does not involve disposal of PCBs or obsolete pesticides and so the indicator of tons of POPs disposed of in Table E cannot be applied directly

Note for Core Indicator 10: As approved in the PFD, the Program directly contributes to GEF-6 Corporate Result #5 Increase in phase-out, disposal and reduction of releases of POPs, ODS, mercury and other chemicals of global concern. In line with GEF-6 Programming Directions for Chemicals and Waste and with Stockholm convention obligations, global environmental benefits as relates to POPs will accrue in particular from release reduction of unintentionally produced POPs, preliminary estimated at 12gTEQ/year using the UNEP toolkit taking into account replication, as well as release reduction of brominated flame retardants. The program does not involve disposal of PCBs or obsolete pesticides and the indicator of tons of POPs disposed of in Table E cannot be applied directly

Annex F: GEF Project Taxonomy Worksheet

Use this Worksheet to list down the taxonomic information required under Part I, item F by ticking the most relevant keywords/ topics/themes that best describe this project.

See attached file.



Africa Environmental Health and Pollution Management Project – Tanzania

Part I: Project Information

Name of Parent Program

EHPMP - Environmental Health and Pollution Management Program in Africa

GEF ID

9850

Project Type

FSP

Type of Trust Fund

GET

Project Title

Africa Environmental Health and Pollution Management Project – Tanzania

Countries

Tanzania

Agency(ies)

World Bank

Other Executing Partner(s):

Ministry of Mines

Executing Partner Type

Government

GEF Focal Area

Chemicals and Waste

Taxonomy

Focal Areas, Chemicals and Waste, Mercury, Influencing models, Stakeholders, Communications, Private Sector, Type of Engagement, Civil Society, Gender Equality, Gender results areas, Capacity, Knowledge and Research, Knowledge Exchange, Knowledge Generation, Artisanal and Scale Gold Mining, Strengthen institutional capacity and decision-making, SMEs, Behavior change, Awareness Raising, Consultation, Participation, Beneficiaries, Non-Governmental Organization, Academia, Local Communities, Capacity Development, Seminar, Professional Development, Training, Master Classes, Course, Workshop, Exhibit, Peer-to-Peer, Field Visit, Conference, Twinning

Rio Markers**Climate Change Mitigation**

Climate Change Mitigation 0

Climate Change Adaptation

Climate Change Adaptation 0

Duration

60In Months

Agency Fee(\$)

660,550

A. Focal Area Strategy Framework and Program

Objectives/Programs	Focal Area Outcomes	Trust Fund	GEF Amount(\$)	Co-Fin Amount(\$)
CW-2_P4	Outcome 4.1: Mercury is reduced	GET	7,339,450	150,300,000
			Total Project Cost(\$)	7,339,450
				150,300,000

B. Project description summary

Project Objective

Tanzania: To reduce exposure to mercury in pilot sites and strengthen the institutional capacity to manage and regulate mercury use in artisanal small-scale gold mining (ASGM).

Overall PDO: To reduce exposure to mercury and uPOPs pollution in pilot sites and strengthen the institutional capacity to manage and regulate mercury use in artisanal small-scale gold mining (ASGM) and e-waste in selected countries in Africa.

Project Component	Component Type	Expected Outcomes	Expected Outputs	Trust Fund	GEF Project Financing(\$)	Confirmed Co-Financing(\$)
--------------------------	-----------------------	--------------------------	-------------------------	-------------------	----------------------------------	-----------------------------------

Project Component	Component Type	Expected Outcomes	Expected Outputs	Trust Fund	GEF Project Financing(\$)	Confirmed Co-Financing(\$)
Component 1: Institutional strengthening, knowledge and capacity building	Technical Assistance	Strengthened environmental monitoring by the Inspections office of Ministry of Energy and Minerals (MEM) and Vice President Office (VPO)	<p>Monitoring protocols developed.</p> <p>Guidance and training materials developed and training delivered to different stakeholder groups on the new/amended legislation, regulations, and bylaws on waste management</p> <p>Support for access to credit and technical knowhow on licensing, extraction and amalgamation</p> <p>Stakeholder Mapping finalized (including private and informal sectors)</p> <p>Targeted study tours organized to share knowledge and expertise and contribute to regional framework of action</p>	GET	1,500,000	24,250,000

Project Component	Component Type	Expected Outcomes	Expected Outputs	Trust Fund	GEF Project Financing(\$)	Confirmed Co-Financing(\$)
Component 2: Policy Dialogue and Regulatory Enhancements	Technical Assistance	Enhanced Policy framework for management of mercury usage and disposal from ASGM sector	<p>Development of strategy for promoting the reduction of emissions and releases of, and exposure to, mercury in ASGM and processing, including mercury-free methods</p> <p>Developing a public health strategy on the exposure of artisanal and small-scale gold miners and their communities to mercury</p> <p>Data collation of health data, training for health-care workers and awareness-raising through health facilities</p> <p>Guidelines for monitoring, screening and evaluating health and environment risks for artisanal gold miners developed</p> <p>National Steering Committee established and a communication strategy in place</p>	GET	1,500,000	24,250,000

Project Component	Component Type	Expected Outcomes	Expected Outputs	Trust Fund	GEF Project Financing(\$)	Confirmed Co-Financing(\$)
Component 3: Demonstrating application of technological tools and economic approaches	Investment	Increased number of ASGM miners using non-mercury methods Improved working consitions for local mining community	Implementation of pilot to adopt of use of mercury replacement technologies by local manufacturers (e.g. low cost centralized gold extarction equipment). Stakeholder engagement and awareness raising on use of cleaner technologies to phasedown mercury usage. Tools and guidance notes developed for design and implementation of risk reduction strategy.	GET	3,989,952	100,000,000
Sub Total (\$)					6,989,952	148,500,000
Project Management Cost (PMC)						
					GET	1,800,000
					349,498	1,800,000
Total Project Cost(\$)					7,339,450	150,300,000

C. Sources of Co-financing for the Project by name and by type

Sources of Co-financing	Name of Co-financier	Type of Co-financing	Amount(\$)
GEF Agency	World Bank P160164	Loans	150,000,000
Government	Government of Tanzania	In-kind	300,000
Total Co-Financing(\$)			150,300,000

D. Trust Fund Resources Requested by Agency(ies), Country(ies), Focal Area and the Programming of Funds

Agency	Trust Fund	Country	Focal Area	Programming of Funds	NGI	Amount(\$)	Fee(\$)
World Bank	GET	Tanzania	Chemicals and Waste	Mercury	No	7,339,450	660,550
Total Grant Resources(\$)						7,339,450	660,550

E. Non Grant Instrument

NON-GRANT INSTRUMENT at CEO Endorsement

Includes Non grant instruments? **No**

Includes reflow to GEF? **No**

F. Project Preparation Grant (PPG)

PPG Required

PPG Amount (\$)

PPG Agency Fee (\$)

Agency	Trust Fund	Country	Focal Area	Programming of Funds	NGI	Amount(\$)	Fee(\$)	
						Total Project Costs(\$)	0	0

G. Projects' Target Contributions to Global Environmental Benefits

Corporate Results	Replenishment Targets	PIF Project Targets	Project Targets
1. Maintain globally significant biodiversity and the ecosystem goods and services that it provides to society	Improved management of landscapes and seascapes covering 300 million hectare		
2. Sustainable land management in production systems (agriculture, rangelands, and forest landscapes)	120 million hectares under sustainable land management		
3. Promotion of collective management of transboundary water systems and implementation of the full range of policy, legal, and institutional reforms and investments contributing to sustainable use and maintenance of ecosystem services	<p>Water-food-ecosystems security and conjunctive management of surface and groundwater in at least 10 freshwater basin</p> <hr/> <p>20% of globally over-exploited fisheries (by volume) moved to more sustainable levels</p>		
4. Support to transformational shifts towards a low-emission and resilient development path	750 millions of CO2e mitigated (include both direct and indirect)		
5. Increase in phase-out, disposal and reduction of releases of POPs, ODS, mercury and other chemicals of global concern	<p>Disposal of 80,000 tons of POPs (PCBs, obsolete pesticides)</p> <hr/> <p>Reduction of 1000 tons of Mercury</p> <hr/> <p>Phase-out of 303.44 tons of ODP (HCFC)</p>		38.0

Corporate Results**Replenishment Targets****PIF Project Targets****Project Targets**

6. Enhance capacity of countries to implement MEAs (multilateral Environmental agreements) and mainstream into national and sub-national policy, planning financial and legal frameworks

Development and sectoral planning frameworks integrate measurable targets drawn from the MEA in at least 10 countries

Functional environmental information systems are established to support decision-making in at least 10 countries

1.0

1.0

Core Indicators

Indicator 9 Reduction, disposal/destruction, phase out, elimination and avoidance of chemicals of global concern and their waste in the environment and in processes, materials and products (metric tons of toxic chemicals reduced)

Metric Tons (Expected at PIF)	Metric Tons (Expected at CEO Endorsement)	Metric Tons (Achieved at MTR)	Metric Tons (Achieved at TE)
0.00	38.00	0.00	0.00

Indicator 9.1 Solid and liquid Persistent Organic Pollutants (POPs) removed or disposed (POPs type)

POPs type	Metric Tons (Expected at PIF)	Metric Tons (Expected at CEO Endorsement)	Metric Tons (Achieved at MTR)	Metric Tons (Achieved at TE)
-----------	-------------------------------	---	-------------------------------	------------------------------

Indicator 9.2 Quantity of mercury reduced (metric tons)

Metric Tons (Expected at PIF)	Metric Tons (Expected at CEO Endorsement)	Metric Tons (Achieved at MTR)	Metric Tons (Achieved at TE)
	38.00		

Indicator 9.3 Hydrochlorofluorocarbons (HCFC) Reduced/Phased out (metric tons)

Metric Tons (Expected at PIF)	Metric Tons (Expected at CEO Endorsement)	Metric Tons (Achieved at MTR)	Metric Tons (Achieved at TE)

Indicator 9.4 Number of countries with legislation and policy implemented to control chemicals and waste (Use this sub-indicator in addition to one of the sub-indicators 9.1, 9.2 and 9.3 if applicable)

Number (Expected at PIF)	Number (Expected at CEO Endorsement)	Number (Achieved at MTR)	Number (Achieved at TE)
	1		

Indicator 9.5 Number of low-chemical/non-chemical systems implemented, particularly in food production, manufacturing and cities (Use this sub-indicator in addition to one of the sub-indicators 9.1, 9.2 and 9.3 if applicable)

Number (Expected at PIF)	Number (Expected at CEO Endorsement)	Number (Achieved at MTR)	Number (Achieved at TE)

Indicator 9.6 Quantity of POPs/Mercury containing materials and products directly avoided

Metric Tons (Expected at PIF)	Metric Tons (Expected at CEO Endorsement)	Metric Tons (Achieved at MTR)	Metric Tons (Achieved at TE)
-------------------------------	---	-------------------------------	------------------------------

Indicator 10 Reduction, avoidance of emissions of POP to air from point and non-point sources (grams of toxic equivalent gTEQ)

Grams of toxic equivalent gTEQ (Expected at PIF)	Grams of toxic equivalent gTEQ (Expected at CEO Endorsement)	Grams of toxic equivalent gTEQ (Achieved at MTR)	Grams of toxic equivalent gTEQ (Achieved at TE)
--	--	--	---

Indicator 10.1 Number of countries with legislation and policy implemented to control emissions of POPs to air (Use this sub-indicator in addition to Core Indicator 10 if applicable)

Number (Expected at PIF)	Number (Expected at CEO Endorsement)	Number (Achieved at MTR)	Number (Achieved at TE)
--------------------------	--------------------------------------	--------------------------	-------------------------

1			
---	--	--	--

Indicator 10.2 Number of emission control technologies/practices implemented (Use this sub-indicator in addition to Core Indicator 10 if applicable)

Number (Expected at PIF)	Number (Expected at CEO Endorsement)	Number (Achieved at MTR)	Number (Achieved at TE)
--------------------------	--------------------------------------	--------------------------	-------------------------

Indicator 11 Number of direct beneficiaries disaggregated by gender as co-benefit of GEF investment

	Number (Expected at PIF)	Number (Expected at CEO Endorsement)	Number (Achieved at MTR)	Number (Achieved at TE)
--	--------------------------	--------------------------------------	--------------------------	-------------------------

Female		700		
--------	--	-----	--	--

Male		1,300		
------	--	-------	--	--

Total	0	2000	0	0
-------	---	------	---	---

PART II: Project JUSTIFICATION

Child Project?

If this is a child project under a program, describe how the components contribute to the overall program impact.

This is a child project under the overall program and has been developed within the context of implementing a regional approach to improve the management and reduce exposure to mercury and UPOPs. As designed the components of the Tanzania child project contribute towards strengthening of the relevant institutional capacities to manage and regulate mercury use in ASGM sector in Tanzania to help address the goal of addressing pollution management and environment health issues at the national and regional levels. The PID provides individual country level annexes detailing the component contributions and activities which are aligned with the PFD.

Stakeholders

Please provide the Stakeholder Engagement Plan or equivalent assessment.

Please see the detailed Stakeholder Engagement Plan (SEP) included in the package. Stakeholders engagement is a key element of the project and key stakeholders are identified in the SEP.

The project's primary audience includes the Governments entities in particular the Ministries of Environment, Industries, Mines, Chemicals, ICT and Health as relevant, their regulatory enforcement agencies, and municipalities. They will benefit from the enhancement of policies, and development of guidelines and monitoring systems for the management of mercury and hazardous chemical waste, including e-waste.

The project's secondary audience will be industries, industry associations, NGOs, including CBOs, local organizations and communities affected by harmful chemicals and wastes. They will be actively involved in the design and implementation of country projects

Documents

Title

Submitted

In addition, provide a summary on how stakeholders will be consulted in project execution, the means and timing of engagement, how information will be disseminated, and an explanation of any resource requirements throughout the project/program cycle to ensure proper and meaningful stakeholder engagement.

In general, the project will work closely with community-based organizations, private sectors, NGOs and local communities as relevant, who are invested in pollution management issues, including opportunities for income generation and green job opportunities. This engagement will go beyond consultation to actively involve communities in the design and implementation of child projects and in the learning across the Program. Special attention will be given to ensure the participation of indigenous people and local communities at the site level if applicable. It has been obvious that indigenous and local communities play a crucial role in environmental governance as traditional knowledge and practices can be used to manage and preserve natural areas as well as restore polluted or contaminated areas.

The national project will be implemented through collaboration and partnership directly and indirectly with stakeholders in the management of mining industry in Tanzania. Participatory approaches will be prioritized in order to help stakeholders participate in the implementation of the project to reduce environmental and health risks related to use of mercury in ASGM in Tanzania. Consideration will also be on mobilizing and training beneficiaries hence helping to raise awareness, adopt alternative technologies, change perceptions and identify economic approaches that are more profitable and capable of reducing environmental and health risks from exposure to harmful chemicals and wastes.

Lastly, being part of the overall program, the the regional coordination project will establish the coordination framework for the Program and will enable a sustained communication with and among Program stakeholders through stakeholder consultations at the national and regional levels to support all components

Stakeholders Engagement and Information Disclosure: A wide range of stakeholders have been consulted and their roles and responsibilities have been clearly defined in the SEP. Stakeholders will be actively involved in decision making and project implementation processes through established project implementation framework. A Communication Strategy will be prepared to keep stakeholders informed on the project progress. This will ensure appropriate project information on environmental and social risks and impacts is disclosed to stakeholders in a timely, understandable, accessible and appropriate manner format.

Select what role civil society will play in the project:

Consulted only; Yes

Member of Advisory Body; Contractor;

Co-financier;

Member of project steering committee or equivalent decision-making body;

Executor or co-executor;

Other (Please explain) No

N/A

Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment

Provide the gender analysis or equivalent socio-economic assessment.

The SEP and Environment and Social Management Framework (ESMF) capture the gender considerations for the project and are attached to the package. As the specific sites are confirmed during the first year, site specific gender analysis as part of the socio economic assessment will be conducted.

Documents

Title

Submitted

Does the project expect to include any gender-responsive measures to address gender gaps or promote gender equality and women empowerment?

Yes

If yes, please upload document or equivalent here

The project as designed will provide opportunities for women to increase benefits and minimize health risks. As mentioned, it will undertake site specific gender analysis as part of the socio-economic assessment (once the site selection is confirmed); will highlight best practices in integrating gender aspects in “empowerment” activities; and will help improve their livelihoods and scope of decision making. Specifically, under component 2, gender considerations will be integrated as part of the policy dialogue to build women’s capacity to actively participate and have a voice in key decision-making, while providing dialogue platforms that are inclusive and action-oriented. Under components 1 and 3, the project will address various gender gaps in access to information (e.g. on safety measures, adoption to cleaner technology, availability of training and other public programs) and opportunities for decent work terms and conditions.

Women have the potential to play an important role in behavioral change that could significantly reduce exposure of children to hazardous environment, and can therefore play an important role in changing health seeking behavior, including mitigation of health impacts due to lead poisoning. Thus, the project has a strong emphasis on inclusion of women in the sensitization and communication campaign, participation in the health interventions that target affected children, and local level nutritional support, livelihood support activities. In addition, the project will build upon selected municipalities that have already implemented a number of initiatives targeting groups such as women headed households, the elderly, the disabled and youth. The project will provide special attention to these groups with dedicated grant opportunities under subcomponent 3.2 and targeted sensitization and education campaigns.

If possible, indicate in which results area(s) the project is expected to contribute to gender equality:

Closing gender gaps in access to and control over natural resources;

Improving women's participation and decision making Yes

Generating socio-economic benefits or services or women Yes

Does the project's results framework or logical framework include gender-sensitive indicators?

No

While the project does not include a specific indicator for gender action, several activities as relevant will measure success and report results disaggregated by gender.

PART III: Certification by GEF partner agency(ies)

A. GEF Agency(ies) certification

GEF Agency Coordinator	Date	Project Contact Person	Telephone	Email
Shaanti Kapila	4/16/2020	Gayatri Kanungo	2024587870	skapila@worldbank.org

ANNEX A: STATUS OF IMPLEMENTATION OF PROJECT PREPARATION ACTIVITIES AND THE USE OF FUNDS.

A. Provide detailed funding amount of the PPG activities financing status in the table below:

N/A

ANNEX B: CALENDAR OF EXPECTED REFLOWS (if non-grant instrument is used)

Provide a calendar of expected reflows to the GEF/LDCF/SCCF/CBIT Trust Funds or to your Agency (and/or revolving fund that will be set up)

N/A.

ANNEX C: GEF 7 Core Indicator Worksheet

Use this Worksheet to compute those indicator values as required in Part I, Table G to the extent applicable to your proposed project. Progress in programming against these targets for the program will be aggregated and reported at any time during the replenishment period. There is no need to complete this table for climate adaptation projects financed solely through LDCF and SCCF.

GEF 7 Core Indicator Worksheet for Tanzania attached in GEF portal

ANNEX: Project Taxonomy Worksheet

Use this Worksheet to list down the taxonomic information required under Part1 by ticking the most relevant keywords/topics//themes that best describes the project

Project Taxonomy Worksheet for Tanzania attached in GEF portal



Submitted to GEF Secretariat Review

[Go To Home](#)