



## **FAO-GEF** Project Implementation Report

2023 – Revised Template

Period covered: 1 July 2022 to 30 June 2023

# **Table of contents**

1.	BASIC PROJECT DATA
2.	PROGRESS TOWARDS ACHIEVING PROJECT OBJECTIVE(S) (DEVELOPMENT OBJECTIVE)
3.	IMPLEMENTATION PROGRESS (IP)12
4.	SUMMARY ON PROGRESS AND RATINGS
5.	ENVIRONMENTAL AND SOCIAL SAFEGUARDS (ESS)
6.	RISKS
7.	FOLLOW-UP ON MID-TERM REVIEW OR SUPERVISION MISSION (ONLY FOR PROJECTS THAT HAVE
CON	IDUCTED AN MTR)
8.	MINOR PROJECT AMENDMENTS
9.	STAKEHOLDERS' ENGAGEMENT
10.	GENDER MAINSTREAMING51
11.	KNOWLEDGE MANAGEMENT ACTIVITIES
12.	INDIGENOUS PEOPLES AND LOCAL COMMUNITIES INVOLVEMENT
13.	CO-FINANCING TABLE

# 1. Basic Project Data

#### **General Information**

Region:	Region of Asia Pacific (RAP)		
Country (ies):	Sri Lanka		
Project Title:	Implementation of the National Biosafety Framework in accordance		
	with the Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety (CPB)		
FAO Project Symbol:	GCP /SRL/066/GFF		
GEF ID:	5720		
GEF Focal Area(s):	Biodiversity		
Project Executing Partners:	Ministry of Environment		
Project Duration (years):	01/01/2017 – 30/09/2022		
Project coordinates:	°40'11.0"N 80°38'45.0"E		

## **Project Dates**

GEF CEO Endorsement Date:	21/06/2016
Project Implementation Start	01/01/2017
Date/EOD :	
Project Implementation End	31/12/2020
Date/NTE <sup>1</sup> :	
Revised project implementation	31/01/2023
end date (if approved) <sup>2</sup>	

#### Funding

GEF Grant Amount (USD):	2,365,964
Total Co-financing amount as	2,958,327
included in GEF CEO	
Endorsement Request/ProDoc <sup>3</sup> :	
Total GEF grant disbursement as	2,358,914
of June 30, 2023 (USD) <sup>4</sup> :	
Total estimated co-financing	5,152,881
materialized as of June 30, 2023 <sup>5</sup>	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> As per FPMIS

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> If NTE extension has been requested and approved by the FAO-GEF CU.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> This is the total amount of co-financing as included in the CEO document/Project Document.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> For DEX projects, the GEF Coordination Unit will confirm the final amount with the Finance Division in HQ. For OPIM projects, the disbursement amount should be provided by Execution Partners.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Please refer to the section 12 of this report where updated co-financing estimates are requested and indicate the total co-financing amount materialized.

#### **M&E** Milestones

Date of Most Recent Project	05/04/2022
Steering Committee (PSC)	
Meeting:	
Expected Mid-term Review date <sup>6</sup> :	Jan 2020
Actual Mid-term review date	July 2020
(when it is done):	
<b>Expected Terminal Evaluation</b>	May 2022
Date <sup>7</sup> :	
Tracking tools/Core indicators	Yes (Annex 2)
updated before MTR or TE stage	
(provide as Annex)	

## **Overall ratings**

Overall rating of progress towards	Satisfactory
achieving objectives/ outcomes	
(cumulative):	
Overall implementation progress	Satisfactory
rating:	
Overall risk rating:	Low

#### **ESS risk classification**

Current ESS Risk classification:	Low
----------------------------------	-----

#### Status

Implementation Status	6th PIR ( <u>Final PIR</u> )
(1 <sup>st</sup> PIR, 2 <sup>nd</sup> PIR, etc. Final PIR):	

### **Project Contacts**

Contact	Name, Title, Division/Institution	E-mail
Project Manager / Coordinator	Shanaka Gunawardena, National Project Manager, FAOLK	Shanaka.Gunawardena@fao.org
Budget Holder	Vimlendra Sharan, FAO Representative	vimlendra.sharan@fao.org
Lead Technical Officer	Chikelu Mba, Senior Officer – Team Leader, Plant Production and Protection Division (AGPMG)	Chikelu.Mba@fao.org
GEF Technical Officer	Lianchawii Chhakchhuak, Technical Advisor - GEF , OCBD	Lianchawii.Chhakchhuak@fao.org

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> The Mid-Term Review (MTR) should take place after the 2<sup>nd</sup> PIR, around half-point between EOD and NTE. The MTR report in English should be submitted to the GEF Secretariat within 4 years of the CEO Endorsement date.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> The Terminal Evaluation date should be discussed with OED 6 months before the project's NTE date.

# 2. Progress towards Achieving Project Objective(s) (Development Objective)

## (All inputs in this section should be cumulative from project start, not annual)

Please indicate the project's main progress towards achieving its objective(s) and the cumulative level of achievement of each outcome since the start of project implementation.

Project or Developmen t Objective	Outcomes	Outcome indicators <sup>8</sup>	Baseline	Mid- term Target	End-of-project Target	Cumulative progress <sup>10</sup> since project start Level at 30 June 2023	Progres s rating <sup>11</sup>
Objective(s): Strengthen Sri Lanka's regulatory, institutional and technical capacities for the effective implementation of the National Biosafety Framework in conformity with the Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety.	Outcome 1.1: Enhanced capacity to develop, implement and coordinate biosafety legislations and regulations	Number of implementation examples (evaluation, management and monitoring of LMOs) in the National Biosafety Framework that is in compliance with the CPB; Number of laws enforced by the enhanced high- level inter- ministerial	Gaps still remain in existing regulatory and institutional frameworks to implement the National Biosafety Framework (NBF); Capacity for sound decision- making processes and law enforcement limited;		At least 5 implementation examples with enhanced framework of evaluation, management and monitoring of LMOs; At least 3 laws enforced by the enhanced mechanism (including Act, Master plan, support regulations);	<ul> <li>i. The draft of the 'Biosafety Regulation of Sri Lanka for LMOs/GMOs' was submitted to the government of Sri Lanka for review and endorsement.</li> <li>i. The 'National Biosafety Master Plan for Sri Lanka' was endorsed by the government of Sri Lanka.</li> <li>i. The revised Biosafety Act for the country is being reviewed by the Legal Draftsman's Department based on the comments from the Attorney General's Department.</li> </ul>	S

<sup>8</sup> This is taken from the approved results framework of the project.

<sup>9</sup> Some indicators may not identify mid-term targets at the design stage (refer to approved results framework) therefore this column should only be filled when relevant.

<sup>10</sup> Please report on results obtained in terms of Global Environmental Benefits and Socio-economic Co-benefits as well.

<sup>11</sup> Use GEF Secretariat required six-point scale system: Highly Satisfactory (HS), Satisfactory (S), Moderately Satisfactory (MS), Moderately Unsatisfactory (MU), Unsatisfactory (U), and Highly Unsatisfactory (HU).

	coordination mechanism;			<ul> <li>Sundry guidelines and manuals (see annex 3) were also produced and guidelines are publicly available on the <u>Sri Lanka</u> <u>Biosafety Clearing House</u>. The manual and regulations will be available once the Biosafety Act is enacted.</li> <li>Additionally, the institutional and human capacities of the national competent authorities were strengthened through the training of personnel and the provision of laboratory equipment and supplies.</li> <li>However, there were no applications for the introduction into, or the release of LMOs in, Sri Lanka in the course of the project to enable the determination of the functioning of the National Biosafety Framework.</li> </ul>	
Outcome 1.2: Administrativ e systems for making biosafety fully functional	Number of implementation examples using fully functional administrative system	Administrative and operational procedures, which are consistent with the requirements of CPB do not exist;	At least 5 implementation examples using a fully functional administrative procedure mechanism as per provisions of the draft Biosafety Act;	The draft 'Manual on Administrative and Operational Procedures for Handling of Living Modified Organisms/ Genetically Modified Organisms in Sri Lanka' was submitted to the government of Sri Lanka for review and endorsement. However, there were no applications for the introduction into, or the release of LMOs in, Sri Lanka in the course of the project to demonstrate the utility of the National Biosafety	S

				Framework, which was strengthened through the project. Nonetheless, the administrative procedure for handing any such requests is contained in the Manual as per the provision of the draft Biosafety Act.	
Outcome 1.3: National Biosafety Clearing House (BCH) operational	Number of visitors accessing the BCH; Satisfaction with level of information and knowledge available in the national BCH;	There is a national BCH established but not operational due to the lack of capacity to collect, process and manage the information required to run it;	At least 5,000 individual accesses to the BCH; At least 70% of satisfaction rate received from multiple stakeholders;	The Sri Lanka BCH was launched on 31 March 2021 <u>http://lk.biosafetyclearinghouse.net</u> / From 31 March 2021 to 06 May 2023, 9,131 views were reported according Google Analytics. The National Focal Points for	S
				Biosafety were trained on maintaining and uploading information to the Sri Lanka BCH.	
Outcome 2.1: National institutions strengthened for RA, RM and RC including monitoring and enforcement	Number of agencies that have institutionalized training on RA, RM and RC; Number of focal points for RA, RM and RC in each institution	The capacity of national institutions is limited to enable formulation and implementation of integrated and coherent Biosafety regulatory	All members, bodies and relevant agencies received institutionalized training and they are capable to work with the RA, RM and RC framework;	Officers of the relevant agencies (Dept. of Agriculture, Dept. of Health Services, Dept. of Animal Production and Health, Dept. of Fisheries and Aquatic Resource, and Dept. of Wildlife Conservation) were trained on the RA with the curricula drawn from the guidelines listed below, which were developed Under the auspices of the project:	S
	identified;	mechanisms;	At least 3 focal points identified for institutional RA, RM and RC;	<ul> <li>Guidelines for safe use of living modified organisms (LMO) in the laboratory;</li> <li>Guidelines for the environmental risk assessment of LM plants:</li> </ul>	

			1 institutional mechanism in place to deal with Biosafety issues in the country;	<ul> <li>Guidelines for conduct of confined field trials of LM plants;</li> <li>Guidelines for the safety assessment foods derived from LM plants;</li> <li>Guidelines for testing of genetically modified mosquitoes;</li> <li>Guidelines for institutional biosafety committees;</li> <li>Risk analysis framework; and</li> <li>Formats for Risk Assessment and Decision Making Tools for Regulatory Authorities in Sri Lanka.</li> <li>Five focal points for Biosafety, one from each of the Departments of Agriculture, Health Services, Wildlife and Conservation, Animal Production and Health and Fisheries and Aquatic Resources were identified.</li> </ul>	
Outcome 3.1: Improved capacity for detection and identification of LMOs	Number of detection and identification processes of LMOs within a certain time period; Number of designated staff;	Capacities in LMO detection and the requirements for the accreditation of laboratories not met for implementation;	At least, 70% of trained staff capable to detect and identify LMOs using upgraded instruments and guidelines developed; At least 20 detection and	A workshop on detection and identification of LMOs was held for two participants from each of the three laboratories that were upgraded for the detection of LMOs, (i) Industrial Technology Institute, (ii) Agricultural Biotechnology Centre, and (iii) National Plant Quarantine Services. Two designated staff of each the three laboratories for the detection of	S
			identification cases processed using improved	LMOs underwent international training on Detection of GMOs/LMOs This was conducted online due to the	

			facilities at the end of the project; At least 3 designated staff in each institution identified;	prevailing COVID-19 pandemic. This was followed by national level training on Strengthening Detection capacity of GMOs/LMOs at the Agricultural Biotechnology Centre of the University of Peradeniya.	
Outcome 3.2: Laboratories fully operational with the necessary 8ccreditation 8 to carry out risk assessment, and detection of LMOs, which allow Sri Lanka to meet its obligations under the CPB	Number of identified laboratories operational with international standards; Number of facilities for contained testing operational; Annual budget allocated for operation and maintenance of laboratories;	The8ccreditatio n of laboratories and strengthening capacities of selected public sector laboratories are required;	2 public laboratories with improved infrastructure and facilities for LMO detection as per international norms and serve as central LMO research and detection labs; 1 upgraded analytical laboratory functional for compositional and nutritional and nutritional analysis with state-of the-art analytical services equipment; These laboratories are showcased as	The three laboratories for the detection of LMOs, National Plant Quarantine Services (NPQS), Industrial Technology Institute (ITI), and Agricultural Biotechnology Centre of the University of Peradeniya (AgBC) were provided with the necessary equipment and supplies for the foreseen laboratory assays for detection and identification of LMOs. The Government Analyst's Department (GAD) was provided with an ELISA (enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay) machine. Sri Lanka Customs was provided with kits for GM testing using lateral flow strips. All the laboratories were provided with copies of the laboratory manual containing the Standard Operating Procedures for LMO testing. Nine (9) representatives from the laboratories were trained by the Sri	S

			technically viable	Internal Auditing of Laboratory	
			examples;	Quality Management Systems As Per ISO/IFC 17025.	
			Efficient	,	
			accreditation	Two staff members of the SLAB were	
			nrocess in place.	trained through online international	
				training on LMO testing	
				training on Live testing.	
				Eight (8) scientists from laboratories	
				and 3 staff members from SLAB	
				obtained hands-on training on	
				detection of GMOs/LMOs at the	
				Export Inspection Agency, Kochi, India	
				from 13 to 16 June 2022.	
				Four (4) officers from SLAB obtained	
				training at the National Accreditation	
				Board for Testing and Calibration	
				Laboratories, India from 20 – 24 June	
				2022 on capacity development for	
				GM testing.	
Outcome 4.1:	Number of	Awareness of	Over 20	i. Twenty seven (27) awareness	S
Enhanced	awareness raising	Biosafety needs	events/campaign	raising events were organized	
awareness,	events/campaign	to be further	s organized with	with 100% of them receiving	
education and	s with positive	enhanced to	At least 70% of	positive overall feedback from	
public	feedback from	broader	activities received	participants (Annex 4).	
participation	various	stakeholders	positive feedback		
in decision-	stakeholders	strategically;	from participants;	i. The National Strategy for	
making on	across the			Biosafety Communication was	
Biosafety	country;			developed and endorsed by the	
				government.	
	Annual budget				
	allocated for			The following biosafety-themed	
	continuous			public awareness materials were	
	actions for			published.	
	Biosafety in the				
	country;				

			<ul> <li>[i] Brochure on basic information related to biosafety in Sri Lanka and the project;</li> <li>[ii] Booklet containing information about GM food;</li> <li>[iii] Cartoon depicting regulatory process outlined in the draft Biosafety Act;</li> <li>[iv] List of LMOs approved within regulatory frameworks in countries globally;</li> <li>[v] Booklet containing academic articles related to biosafety;</li> <li>[vi] Booklet titled "What do experts say about GM food and GM plants?"</li> <li>[viii] Organizer with information and cartoon illustrations on biosafety;</li> <li>[ix] Flash cards titled "GM Crops – safety, benefits, risks and global status"</li> <li>[xi] Short storyline with cartoon illustrations on genetically modified (GM) crops;</li> <li>[xi] Short storyline with cartoon illustration on GM Foods;</li> <li>[xii] Booklet with information on Biosafety containing cartoon illustrations;</li> <li>[xiii] Activity Book for kids;</li> <li>[xiv] Animated video on GM crops;</li> <li>[xvi] Animated video on GM Food;</li> <li>[xvi] Documentary on Biosafety;</li> <li>[xvii] Q&amp;A and</li> <li>[xix] Ten (10) Short videos (animated and documentary)</li> </ul>
--	--	--	---

			Seven (7) editions of the Biosafety Newsletter were published.	
			The course outlines and resource books for 3 short courses in Biosafety for tertiary level education were developed.	
			Resource books on Biosafety to be used at secondary level were developed and handed over to the National Institute of Education.	

## Action Plan to address MS, MU, U and HU ratings

Outcome	Action(s) to be taken	By whom?	By when?
Enactment of the draft Biosafety Act	Get the Legal Draftsman's Department to make the Biosafety Act to be compatible with the new National Competent Authority. Expedite the enactment process with subsequent steps.	The Biodiversity Secretariat and the Central Environmental Authority (both under the Ministry of Environment)	To initiate from 01 July 2022. This process is ongoing as of May 2023

# 3. Implementation Progress (IP)

### (Please indicate progress achieved during this FY as per the Implementation Plan/Annual Workplan)

Outcomes and Outputs <sup>12</sup>	Indicators (as per the Logical Framework)	Annual Target (as per the annual Work Plan)	Main achievements <sup>13</sup> (please avoid repeating results reported in previous year PIR)	Describe any variance <sup>14</sup> in delivering outputs
Outcome 1.1: Enhanced capa	acity to develop, implement and	d coordinate biosafety legislatic	ons and regulations	
Output 1.1.1: National Biosafety Act enacted	Number of workshops for enactment process; Number of Biosafety Act enacted by the established decision-making process;		Six consultative workshops with over 50 stakeholders were held to revise the draft Biosafety Act. The Biosafety Act was revised based on the inputs provided and a draft submitted to the Attorney General's Department. The Central Environmental Authority (CEA) assumed the role of the National Competent Authority (NCA) for Biosafety in the course of project implementation. CEA formed a committee, which is working with relevant government offices on the enactment of the Biosafety Act.	Revision of the draft Biosafety Act was not a planned activity under this output. However, at the request of the national counterpart, through the Secretary of the Ministry of Environment, this was carried out. The Act was drafted in 2014 and so required updating in light of more recent developments.

sentence with main achievements)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> Outputs as described in the project Logframe or in any approved project revision.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> Please use the same unit of measurement of the project indicators as per the approved Implementation Plan or Annual Workplan. Please be concise (max one or two short

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> Variance refers to the difference between the expected and actual progress at the time of reporting.

Output 1.1.2: National Biosafety Master Plan (Strategy & Action Plan) elaborated and endorsed	Number of stakeholder consultative meetings; Number of legal documents prepared through the stakeholder consultation as per recommendation in the National Biosafety Framework (I.e. Master Plan);	Five consultative meetings were held to articulate the Master Plan The National Biosafety Masterplan was endorsed by the government.	
Output 1.1.3: Relevant regulations reviewed, drafted and endorsed	Number of regulations reviewed and set of regulations available to support Biosafety Act and Master Plan	Draft regulations on the following six themes relevant to the implementation of the National Biosafety Framework were developed: a. Research and development involving the use of LMOs/GMOs under contained conditions. b. Research and development involving the use of LMOs/GMOs for experimental trials in confined conditions. c. Intentional introduction of LMOs/GMOs into the environment. d. Import of LMOs/GMOs for the purpose of research/ food / feed / processing / environmental release. e. Export of LMOs/GMOs f. Exchange of LMOs/GMOs among institutions for the purpose of research. These regulations will be finalized by CEA on the	

		basis of the texts of the
		Biosarety Act when it is eventually enacted.
Outcome 1.2: Administrative	systems for making biosafety fi	ully functional
Outrast 4.2.4	Number of immersed	Draft manual on
Output 1.2.1: Administrative and operational procedures for Biosafety reviewed and updated	Number of improved administrative and operational procedures in consistent with the requirements of CPB Number of committee meetings;	Dratt manual on administrative and operational procedures for the handling of living modified organisms in Sri Lanka was endorsed by the government. This manual will be finalized by CEA on the basis of the provisions of the Biosafety Act when it is eventually enacted . Five consultative meetings were held to develop the manual.
Output 1.2.2: Guidelines	Number of guidelines for	The regulatory guidelines
developed to support the	handling applications and	were included in the draft
tasks of National	formats for application &	and operational procedures
Competent Authority	communicating decisions in	for the handling of living
(NCA) and Sectoral	place	modified organisms in Sri
(SCAs)		
Output 1.2.3 Staff of NCA, SCAs and related organizations trained	Number of members of regulatory committees and operational staff trained in administrative and operational procedures	Forty-one (41) participants from 21 institutions including SCAs were trained.Further training on the regulatory system is contingent upon the enactment of the Biosafety Act and the availability of budgetary resources.A comprehensive curriculum for an online training course

				1
			in the proposed administrative and operational procedure for handling LMO/GMO applications in Sri Lanka targeting the NCAs, was developed. It is expected that the training courses will be undertaken when the Biosafety Act, which is pertinent to some of the modules, is enacted. The national counterpart, Biodiversity Secretariat under the Ministry of Environment, which took possession of the document, is in agreement with the contents.	
Outcome 1.3: National Biosaf	ety Clearing House (BCH) operative operation opera	ational		
		 []	TI 0.11 / DOLL	
Output 1.3.1: An enhanced	Number of national		The Sri Lanka BCH was	
website established	biosatety web-based		assessed at:	
	information infrastructure		http://lk.biosafetyclearinghou	
	linked to the central portal		se.net/	
	of CBD that included a			
	roster of biosafety experts		The website contains	
	in the country and has		several useful information,	
	database of globally		including in particular:	
	approved LMOs;		National authorities	
			for biosafety;	
			Laws, regulations	
			and guidelines	
			<ul> <li>Awareness</li> </ul>	
			materials, including	
			publications and	
			audio-visual	
			material.	
			• One (1) roster of experts;	

		<ul> <li>Four (4) online databases of globally approved LMOs; and</li> <li>Who to contact for matters related to biosafety.</li> </ul>	
Output 1.3.2: The BCH	Number of trainings for	The BCH focal point, the	Due to restrictions on travel
focal point trained to	BCH organized;	Ministry of Environment, had	and in-person gatherings,
collect and manage		All 10 were trained over four	dovernment in response to
Information	Number of Individuals	workshops on the collection	the COVID-19 pandemic
	trained;	and uploading of information	some training workshops
	Availability of manual	and maintaining the Sri	were conducted online.
	Availability of manual;	Lanka BCH portal.	
		One (1) procedural manual, along with an instructional video, for collecting, uploading and managing information on the National BCH, was prepared.	
Output 1.3.3: Stakeholders	Number of training	Five (5) training modules for	
trained to access and share	modules;	accessing information on the	
information through BCH		national BCH for the	
	Number of training	different stakeholders were	
	organized;	prepared.	
	Number of individuals trained;	Ten (10) training workshops aimed at strengthening the capacities of the stakeholders to access and share information in the BCH were implemented. These were made up of five in-person training workshops, with 30 participants in each and five virtual training workshops	

			with at least 30 participants	
			in each	
			in each.	
			Additionally, an online	
			training module on	
			accessing information	
			through BCH was unloaded	
			to the Sri Lanka BCH	
			to the Sh Lanka DCh	
			websile.	
Outcome 2.1: National institu	utions strengthened for RA, RM	and RC including monitoring ar	nd enforcement	
	Ç .	0 0		
Output 2.1.1:	Number of guidelines for		The following were prepared	
Methodologies for RA, RM	contained use and Risk		and have been endorsed by	
and RC reviewed, refined	Analysis Framework		the government:	
and updated	developed;			
	• •		Guidelines for the safe use	
			of GMOs/LMOs in the	
			laboratory; and	
			Risk Analysis Framework	
Output 2.1.2:	Number of technical		The following guidelines	
Technical guidelines and	guidelines in place covering		were prepared and	
manuals on BA and BM	various aspects of BARM		endorsed by the	
doveloped	various aspects of NARM		government.	
developed			gevenment	
			Guidelines for the	
			environmental risk	
			assessment of LM plants:	
			,	
			Guidelines for the	
			conduct of confined field	
			trials of LM plants:	
			······································	
			Guidelines for the	
			safety assessment foods	
			derived from LM plants:	
			······································	

		Guidelines for	
		testing of genetically	
		modified mosquitoes; and	
		Guidelines for	
		institutional biosofoty	
		commutees.	
Output 2.1.3:	Number of decision-making	One (1) decision-making	
Decision-making tools	tools for RA, RM and RC	tool kit: Formats for Risk	
prepared for RA, RM and		Assessment and Decision	
RC		Making for use by	
		Lanka was prepared and	
		has been endorsed by the	
		government.	
Output 2.1.4:	Number of training	Training need assessment	
Training strategy for RA.	strategy/ manuals for RA.	was conducted and the	
RM and RC developed	BM and BC in place	report was produced.	
		, ,	
		The following six (6) training	
		manuals were prepared and	
		has been endorsed by the	
		government.	
		5	
		Training Manual for the safe	
		use of GMOs/LMOs in the	
		laboratory	
		I raining Manual for the	
		Institutional Biosafety	
		Committees (IBSCs)	
		Training Manual for the	
		Environmental Risk	
		Assassment (FRA) of	
		Genetically Modified (GM)	
		plants in Sri Lanka	
		ριατιίς πι στι Εατικά	

Output 2.1.5: Staff of relevant institutions trained on RA, RM and RC	Number of individuals trained; Number of staff designated for risk infrastructure in	Training Manual on Confined Field Trials of GM Plants in Sri LankaTraining Manual on Testing of GM Mosquitoes in Sri LankaTraining Manual on Safety Assessment of Foods derived from GM Plants in Sri LankaOne (1) Risk Communication strategy was prepared and integrated into the Biosafety Communication Strategy, which was endorsed by the government.A total of 17 sessions of training on Risk Assessment, Risk Management and Risk Communication were conducted virtually based on	Due to restrictions on travel and in-person gatherings, which were imposed by the government in response to the COVID-19 pandemic, the training workshops were
	for risk infrastructure in each institution identified;	conducted virtually based on the 7 risk assessment guidelines developed under the aegis of the project, with at least 25 participants in each – well above the target of 100 individuals to be trained.	the training workshops were conducted online.
Output 2.1.6: National and regional institutional networks strengthened to implement National Biosafety System	Number of international conference organized	A Regional Conference on Biosafety was held on 10 & 11 March 2022 A total of 215 participants from Sri Lanka and other countries of the region, India, Bangladesh, Bhutan, Malaysia, Philippines and Korea, took part in the event, which was	Due to restrictions on travel and in-person gatherings, which were imposed by the government in response to the COVID-19 pandemic, this event was held online.

		implemented virtually the COVID-19 pande event. It was an oppo for national stakehold biosafety to share experiences with	v due to mic. ortunity ders in
		counterparts in the	26
Outcome 3.1: Improved capa	city for detection and identifica	tion of LMOs	
Output 3.1.1: Testing needs and capacities for LMO detection assessed and key public laboratories identified for up-grading and accreditation	Number of assessment report completed; Number of laboratories and facilities identified;	Six national laborator were assessed and ti them, NPQS, ITI and were identified to be upgraded as LMO de laboratories. Two oth laboratories, Governi Analyst's Department and Sri Lankan Custo were identified to be supplied with tools fo specific testing for the presence of LMOs	ries hree of AgBC, etection er ment t [GAD] oms), r e
Output 3.1.2: Inspection plan prepared and inspectors trained	Number of inspection plans/guidelines prepared; Number of staff of enforcement agencies trained Number of workshops/training modules provided;	Ten training sessions biosafety inspection v conducted. These we made up of five in-pe sessions with 30 part in each and 5 virtual sessions with at least each. The trainees consisted of: (i) Custo Officers, (ii) Officers f Plant Quarantine and certification services, Officers from the Department of Agricu (iv) Food safety/Publi Health Officers, (v) individuals from Univ and Research Institut and (vi) Officers from NCA and SCAs.	s on were ere rson icicipantsDue to restrictions on travel and in-person gatherings, which were imposed by the government in response to the COVID-19 pandemic, some training workshops were conducted online.om from d Seed (iii)ulture, ic he

Output 3.1.3:	Number of individuals	An international training	Due to restrictions on travel
Personnel trained on IMO	trained:	workshop on LMO testing	and in-person gatherings,
detection and		for twelve (12)	which were imposed by the
	Number of training	representatives from the 3	government in response to
	modules developed:	selected labs and Sri Lanka	the COVID-19 pandemic,
	modules developed,	Accreditation Board was	this event was held online.
		programme included (i)	
		Virtual tour of the	
		laboratories at Export	
		Inspection Agency (EIA) in	
		India, (ii) Pre-recorded	
		videos to demonstrate the	
		experimental protocols, (III)	
		notocols by scientists of	
		EIA. (iv) practical session by	
		local	
		scientists in the upgraded	
		laboratories, and (iv)	
		discussions of results and	
		issues faced during the	
		practical sessions.	
		This was followed by in-	
		person national training for	
		six (6) representatives from	
		the 3 selected laboratories	
		(the number was limited to	
		imposed by the government	
		due to the COVID-19	
		pandemic).	
		Since international travel	
		was feasible for fully	
		vaccinated travellers in	
		2022, 3 scientists from	
		AYBC, 3 SCIENTISTS TROM	
		ITI obtained hands-on	
		training on detection of	
		GMOs/LMOs at the Export	
		Inspection Agency, Kochi,	

		India from 13 to 16 June 2022.					
Outcome 3.2: Laboratories fu	Outcome 3.2: Laboratories fully operational with the necessary infrastructures to carry out risk assessment, and detection of LMOs, which allow Sri						
Lanka to meet its obligations	under the CPB						
Output 3.2.1:	Number of laboratories and         All the equipment were						
Key government	facilities assessed;	delivered to the identified					
laboratories identified,		laboratories, NPQS, ITI,					
established, strengthened	Number of identified	AgBC, GAD and SL					
and appropriately	laboratories and facilities	Customs, and					
equipped for risk	for contained testing	commissioned.					
management and	equipped;						
detection of LMOs		The consumables, reagents					
		and probes for testing of					
		LMOs were delivered to the					
		upgraded laboratories.					
		Laboratory and SOP					
		manuals (with international					
		standards) were prepared					
		for all the upgraded					
		laboratories.					
Output 3.2.2:	Number of laboratories	Nine (9) representatives					
Laboratories accredited by	accredited	from the 3 upgraded					
SLAB for risk assessment,		laboratories were trained by					
LMO detection and		the Sri Lanka Accreditation					
identification based on ISO		Board (SLAB) on Internal					
and ISTA standards		Auditing of Laboratory					
		Quality Management					
		Systems As Per ISO/IEC					
		17025.					
		$T_{WO}(2)$ staff members of					
		the SLAB were trained on					
		LMO testing by Export					
		Inspection Agency, Kochi,					
		India. They obtained hands-					
		on training on detection of					
		13 to 16 June 2022					

		Four (4) officers from SLAB	
		obtained training at the	
		National Accreditation Board	
		for Tosting and Calibration	
		Laboratories, India from 20	
		– 24 June 2022 on capacity	
		development for GM testing.	
Outcome 4.1: Enhanced awar	reness, education and public pa	rticipation in decision-making on Biosafety	
Output 4.1.1:	Number of framework for	The Biosafety	
Public awareness and	public participation and	communication strategy,	
narticination strategy	database of stakeholders in	which was developed	
		t6hrough the project, was	
developed	place	endorsed by the	
		government.	
Output 4.1.2:	Number of targeted	The following awareness	
Targeted awareness-raising	activities accomplished:	raising activities were	
angetee awareness raising	activities accomplished,	conducted:	
activities implemented			
		[i] Media Conference	
		<i><i>liil Training of Trainers of</i></i>	
		Biosafety resource persons	
		lijii Awareness for school	
		children of 10 schools from	
		Kalluy	
		[IV] For undergraduates from	
		University of Peradeniya	
		[v] For higher ranking	
		officers at the Ministry of	
		Health (MoH)	
		[vi] For Public Health	
		Officers and other field	
		officers at MoH	
		Iviil For officers at the	
		National Focal Point for	
		Piocofoty (Ministry of	
		Environment)	
		trom University of Colombo	
		and University of Visual Arts	
		[ix] For Prepare short-stories	
		on Biosafety (posters and	
		drama).	

	[x] Six live radio discussions	
	under various topics of	
	biosatety were held in Sri	
	Lanka Broadcasting	
	Corporation	
	[xi] For Officers at the	
	Central Environmental	
	Authority (National	
	Authonity (National	
	Competent Authority)	
	[xii] For University of	
	Pubupo	
	[xiii] Online ToT of Biosafety	
	resource persons	
	[viv] For Mayamba	
	University	
	[xv] For South Eastern	
	University	
	Ixvil For University of	
	Moratuwa	
	[vy/ii] For Poioroto University	
	[xviii] For Hillwood College,	
	Kandv	
	[viv] For University of Sri	
	Jayawardenapura	
	[xx] For NCA and SCAs	
	Ixxil For Environmental	
	NGOS	
	[xxii] For University of Jattna	
	[xxiii] For private sector	
	involved in biotechnology	
	and agriculture	
	[xxiv] For undergraduate	
	and post-graduate students	
	at the South Fostern	
	at the South Eastern	
	University	
	[xxv] For School teachers	
	from the Oluvil area	
	[xxvi] For Agriculture	
	Extension Officers and	
	Farmers	
	haniil For Ligher replice	
	[xxvii] For Higner-ranking	
	officer from the Department	
	of Aariculture.	

		The fall and in a such lie	
		The following public	
		awareness raising materials	
		were published and posted	
		in the Sri Lanka BCH	
		website;	
		[i] Short storyline with	
		cartoon illustrations on GM	
		crops:	
		[ii] Short storyline with	
		cartoon illustration on GM	
		Foods:	
		[iii] Booklet with information	
		on Biosafety with cartoon	
		illustrations:	
		[iv] Activity Book for kids	
		demonstrating .	
		Ivi Animated video on GM	
		Crops:	
		Ciups, Ivil Animated video on CM	
		End:	
		ruuu, [viii] Dooumonton, on	
		[VII] Documentary on	
		Biosalety;	
		[VIII] Glossary of terms; and	
		[IX] Questions and answers	
		on Biotechnology and	
		Biosafety.	
Output 4.1.3:	Number of training courses	The course outlines for short	
Curriculum, syllabus and	developed;	courses in Biotechnology	
course materials prepared	• •	and Biosafety (tertiary level)	
for post graduate source		were developed and handed	
for post-graduate course		over to the government.	
for Biosafety, and the gaps			
in primary (Ordinary Level),		The three (3) resource	
secondary and university		books to facilitate teaching	
level education for		of short courses on	
Biosafety filled through		biotechnology and biosafety	
		in tertiary educational	
improvement of curricula.		institutions were developed	
		and handed over to the	
		government.	
		Two (2) resource books for	
		the teaching of	
		biotechnology/biosafetv in	

		secondary sc developed an the National I Education.	hools were d submitted to nstitute of
Output 4.1.4: Information materials developed and	Number of issues of the biosafety newsletter;	The seventh Biosafety New published.	edition of the vsletter was
disseminated through	Number of webpages with		
various media	information sources;		

# 4. Summary on Progress and Ratings

Please provide a summary paragraph on progress, challenges and outcome of project implementation consistent with the information reported in sections 2 and 3 of the PIR.

All the project activities were completed by 30 June 2022. Therefore, there is no additional progress to be reported during the reporting period covered in this report. During the previous reporting period, significant progress was made towards the actualization of the project's deliverables, especially with regard to the strengthening of institutional and human capacities needed for the implementation of the biosafety framework in Sri Lanka. Of note, the Central Environmental Authorization (CEA) assumed the statutory responsibility of National Competent Authority for Biosafety in Sri Lanka and promptly initiated action on the remaining steps to the enactment of the Biosafety Act. In furtherance of the aim to keep the public informed and engaged in biosafety issues in the country, the Risk Communication Strategy was finalized and integrated into the Biosafety Communication Strategy. Also, a Regional Conference on Biosafety was held as a means for the biosafety stakeholders in Sri Lanka to share experiences with counterparts in the neighboring countries of the region. All the equipment that were earmarked for making the designated LMO testing laboratories in the country fit for purpose were procured, delivered and commissioned. Similarly, the associated consumables, chemicals and reagents were procured and delivered to these laboratories. The staff of these laboratories also benefitted from three cohorts of training on the detection and identification of LMOs. The first one, which involved international resource person was held online; this was followed by an in-person training for all the scientists who had undergone the prior online one in order to obtain hands-on experience with the procedures using the recently provided equipment and sundry supplies. The same scientist obtained hands-on training at the Export Inspection Agency in India. The personnel of these designated laboratories were also trained on the accreditation process by the Sri Lanka Accreditation Board. As a means to 'institutionalize' a biosafety mindset in the country, the curriculum and contents of short courses in biosafety were prepared while a three-volume resource book for biotechnology and biosafety for secondary schools were prepared and handed over to the National Institute of Education. Finally, workshops aimed at enhanced public awareness for biosafety were conducted for different segments of the society. These were buttressed with the production of biosafety public awareness materials, especially for digital media, and the publication of the edition of the 7<sup>th</sup> biosafety newsletter. A major constraint to project implementation was the restrictions on travel and in-person gatherings in response to the COVID-19 pandemic. This was mitigated as much as practicable by conducting events online and through the extension of the duration of the project. Similarly, the economic crisis during the second quarter of 2022, which manifested in insufficient supply of fuel and other essential items, resulted in the inability to hold in-person events. Again this was mitigated by organizing virtual rather than in-person events.

The total budget of the project USD 5,324,291 is consisted of USD 2,958,327 from co-financing and USD 2,365,964 from GEF allocation. As of 21 June 2022, the co-financing institutions have contributed a cumulative amount of USD 5,152,880.87, which is more than the committed amount. The delivery as of 31 May 2023 from the GEF allocation is USD 2,323,653.

#### Development Objective (DO) Ratings, Implementation Progress (IP) Ratings and Overall Assessment

Please note that the overall DO and IP ratings should be substantiated by evidence and progress reported in the Section 2 and Section 3 of the PIR. For DO, the ratings and comments should reflect the overall progress of project results.

	FY2023Developm ent Objective rating <sup>15</sup>	FY2023 Implementation Progress rating <sup>16</sup>	Comments/reasons <sup>17</sup> justifying the ratings for FY2022 and any changes (positive or negative) in the ratings since the previous reporting period
Project Manager / Coordinator	S	S	All the project activities were completed by 30 June 2022. Therefore, there is no additional progress to be reported during the reporting period covered in this report. Ratings refer to the progress in the previous report.
Budget Holder	S	S	Concur with the Project Manager's assessment. Most of the planned activities have been completed and the project has received a very positive response from all stakeholders.
Lead Technical Officer <sup>18</sup>	S	S	I concur with Project Manager's positive assessment of project implementation and the improvisations adopted to mitigate constraints.
FAO-GEF Funding Liaison Officer	S	S	The Terminal Evaluation concluded in July 2022 and no additional activities were undertaken in the reporting period. The TE provided a number of recommendations that have been shared with the government. These include ensuring that the Biosafety Act is made legal, esp since the sustainability of the project investments depend on the Act's enactment and implementation; continue training and awareness programmes to enhance competence to handle biosafety and GMO/LMO topics and to initiate a second phase of the project to fill the critical gaps.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> **Development Objectives Rating** – A rating of the extent to which a project is expected to achieve or exceed its major objectives.

For more information on ratings and definitions, please refer to Annex 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> **Implementation Progress Rating** – A rating of the extent to which the implementation of a project's components and activities is in compliance with the projects approved implementation plan. For more information on ratings and definitions, please refer to Annex 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> Please ensure that the ratings are based on evidence

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> The LTO will consult the HQ technical officer and all other supporting technical Units.

# 5. Environmental and Social Safeguards (ESS)

#### Under the responsibility of the LTO (PMU to draft)

Please describe the progress made complying with the approved ESM plan. Note that only projects with <u>moderate</u> or <u>high</u> Environmental and Social Risk, approved from June 2015 should have submitted an ESM plan/table at CEO endorsement. This does not apply to <u>low</u> risk projects. Add new ESS risks if any risks have emerged during this FY.

Social & Environmental Risk Impacts identified at CEO Endorsement	Expected mitigation measures	Actions taken during this FY	Remaining measures to be taken	Responsibility	
ESS 1: Natural Resource Management		•			
ESS 2: Biodiversity, Ecosystems and Natural Habita	ts				
ESS 3: Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agricu	lture				
ESS 4: Animal - Livestock and Aquatic - Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture					
ESS 5: Pest and Pesticide Management					
ESS 6: Involuntary Resettlement and Displacement					
ESS 7: Decent Work					
ESS 8: Gender Equality					
ESS 9: Indigenous Peoples and Cultural Heritage					
New ESS risks that have emerged during this FY					

In case the project did not include an ESM Plan at CEO endorsement stage, please indicate if the initial Environmental and Social (ESS) Risk classification is still valid; if not, what is the new classification and explain.

Current ESS risk classification
Please indicate if the Environmental and Social Risk classification is still valid <sup>19</sup> . If not, what is the new
classification and explain.
No change in risk

Please report if any grievance was received as per FAO and GEF ESS policies. If yes, please indicate how it is being/has been addressed.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> **Important:** please note that if the Environmental and Social Risk classification has changed, the ESM Unit should be contacted and an updated Social and Environmental Management Plan addressing new risks should be prepared.

## 6. Risks

The following table summarizes risks identified in the Project Document and reflects also any new risks identified in the course of project implementation (including COVID-19 related risks). The last column should be used to provide additional details concerning manifestation of the risk in the project, as relevant.

	Type of risk	Risk rating <sup>20</sup>	Identified in the ProDoc Y/N	Mitigation Actions	Progress on mitigation actions	Notes from the Budget Holder in consultation with Project Management Unit
	Delay to the enactment	S	Y	Facilitate and encourage	Engagement between the	
	of the Biosafety Act;			coordination between the	Biodiversity Secretariat	
				implementing centre for Biosafety	and the CEA was	
				(Biodiversity Secretariat) and other	facilitated, resulting in	
				relevant stakeholders, like Legal	the agreement of the	
				Draftsman's Department, Attorney	latter to take on the role	
				General's Department and the	of the National	
1				Central Environmental Authority	Competent Authority	
				(CEA).	(NCA) and take charge of	
					the steps to the	
					enactment of the	
					Biosafety Act.	
					Unfortunately, the Act	
					was not enacted at the	
1					time of this filing this PIR	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> Risk ratings means a rating of accesses the overall risk of factors internal or external to the project which may affect implementation or prospects for achieving project objectives. Risk

of projects should be rated on the following scale: Low, Moderate, Substantial or High. For more information on ratings and definitions please refer to Annex 1.

	Type of risk	Risk rating <sup>20</sup>	Identified in the ProDoc Y/N	Mitigation Actions	Progress on mitigation actions	Notes from the Budget Holder in consultation with Project Management Unit
2	Lack of effective linkages between Sectoral Competent Authorities to effectively implement the project due to the different levels of capacities and involvement;	L	Y	Make all the Sectoral Competent Authorities to participate in all the meetings, workshops and trainings relevant to them and facilitate cross- sectoral interactions.	Successful.	
3	Low level of awareness on biosafety may make it difficult to gain support, especially from senior government officials and policy makers for the project;	L	Y	Involvement of senior governmental officials and policy makers in project related meetings and workshops as means to assist them to understand the need for Biosafety in Sri Lanka. Further, conduct of biosafety awareness raising workshops for high-ranking officers at the Ministry of Health, the Ministry of Environment (focal point for biosafety) and the CEA (NCA).	Successful.	
4	The capacity of stakeholders to conduct risk analysis and detection of LMOs is weak and therefore cannot support the full operationalization of the NBF;	L	Y	Involving relevant stakeholders in the drafting and reviewing of the risk assessment guidelines. Also, conducting awareness and training workshops on detection of LMO for the relevant stakeholders. Strengthening of institutional capacities through the upgrade of laboratories and the procurement of equipment and sundry supplies	Successful.	
5	Climate change threatens biodiversity and impacts ecosystem functions of Sri Lanka. Potential harm arising from LMOs may worsen those vulnerabilities.	L	Y	Currently, there are no known LMOs in Sri Lanka to have an impact on the biodiversity. Therefore, no mitigation action is needed.	Not applicable	

Project overall risk rating (Low, Moderate, Substantial or High):

FY2022	FY20223ra	Comments/reason for the rating for FY2023 and any changes (positive or negative) in the rating since the
rating	ting	previous reporting period
Low	Low	This project builds capacity of Sri Lanka for the eventual safe release of GMOs into the environment or use as
		feeds and/or food. The involvement of the widest possible stakeholder base is required for every stage of the
project development and implementation. Though a		project development and implementation. Though an extremely sensitive undertaking, the project design enables
		continued stakeholder engagement.

# 7. Follow-up on Mid-term review or supervision mission (only for projects that have conducted an MTR)

If the project had an MTR or a supervision mission, please report on how the recommendations were implemented during this fiscal year as indicated in the Management Response or in the supervision mission report.

MTR or supervision mission recommendations	Measures implemented during this Fiscal Year
Recommendation 1: The Project Management Unit should either consider specific activities to support enactment of the National Biosafety Act or revise this output such that it can credibly be attained in the remaining project term. Recommendation 2: A 1-year, no cost extension – which would move the date of project conclusion to 31 December 2021 – is highly recommended.	As contribution towards the enactment of the Biosafety Act, engagement between the Biodiversity Secretariat of the Ministry of Environment, the project Executing Agency and the NCA, i.e. CEA, was facilitated as means to work pool resources and efforts for advocating for the enactment of the Act. CEA set up a committee mandated with driving the process, in concert with the other stakeholders and statutory bodies, for the enactment of the Act. Project was extended by one year to 31 December 2021. The NTE was subsequently further extended for an additional six months, i.e. until 30 June 2022.
<b>Recommendation 3:</b> A more targeted and integrated implementation of the projects' communication and knowledge management strategy is recommended to more effectively accomplish public outreach, awareness, education, participation and general interaction with existing knowledge products.	As outlined in the Communication Strategy, public awareness activities on biosafety were to be conducted through electronic (i.e. television, radio, websites) and print (i.e. newspapers, magazines, brochures, policy papers and briefs, booklets, comic books) media; social media (e. g. Facebook, Instagram, Twitter, LinkedIn, YouTube); and face-to-face (i.e. seminars, focus group dialogues, meetings, visits, hands-on engagements, conferences, roadshows, school visits, school competitions, public forum); and public materials (e.g. stickers, for instance on Tuk Tuk, T-shirts, caps, umbrella etc.). Some products were designed to target the general public while the vast majority were specific to particular focus groups of stakeholders, in particular scientists; policymakers and regulators; politicians; the mass media; farmers; medical practitioners; religious scholars and leaders; industry (traders, millers, enterprises); and civil society. Considering the limitations on the movements of people and large gatherings due to the evolving COVID-19 pandemic, a greater emphasis was placed on above activities that could be conducted remotely and products that could be disseminated electronically via digital media platforms. In this regard, webinars with live debates and poster presentations were conducted. Further, animation and documentary style short videos were prepared and uploaded to the internet streaming platform, Youtube for sharing via digital media platforms (e.g. Whatsapp).
<b>Recommendation 4:</b> The project should retain, for those activities to which it is conducive, online trainings, to continue leveraging the enhanced reach and cost- efficiency of this modality over the remaining project term.	During travel-restrictions, all the trainings were conducted online and awareness raising activities were webinars. Public awareness materials were customized to be compatible with digital media, including social media, using cartoons, illustrations and short videos (motion graphic and documentary style).
<b>Recommendation 5:</b> As the Central Environmental Authority is set to replace the BDD as National Competent Authority; the project should conduct scenario forecasting, to determine what actions steps and adjustments to project implementation may be needed to	This matter was discussed during the project steering committee (PSC) meeting that was held on 31 December 2020. It was decided that CEA should, as the national competent authority for biosafety in Sri Lanka, establish a committee to conduct the relevant activities, including leading the efforts towards the enactment of the Biosafety Act for the country.

facilitate capacitation and support to the	Although it was decided during the PSC for FAO to hire a full-time consultant to
Central Environmental Authority to	technically support the CEA, the project director informed later that the CEA
ensure the continued successful	has indicated that a FAO consultant was not needed.
attainment of project outcomes over the	
remaining project term.	However, the CEA was provided with the relevant information pertaining to
	biosafety in Sri Lanka.
Recommendation 6: The project should	The Sinhalese and Tamil languages versions of the Biosafety Newsletter and
leverage existing local expertise to	material listed under output 4.1.2 were published .
translate technical jargon into lay-	
accessible language and ensure the	
availability of accurate translations in the	
most widely spoken local languages	
(Sinhalese and Tamil).	
Recommendation 7: The project should	National subject matter specialists, e.g. scientists from the local universities,
support integration of existing Sri Lankan	served as resource persons for project activities, including public awareness
scientific expertise on biotechnology and	and training programs.
biosafety for enhanced sustainability of	
project outcomes.	
Recommendation 8: The project should	The disaggregation of participants in all project activities by gender was
more proactively collect gender	implemented. This included the indication of gender for the entries in the
disaggregated data, by means of activity	database of stakeholders. This information is reported in the 6-months Project
assessments and surveys, to better	Progress Reports.
understand its beneficiaries' gender-	
specific needs and to be able to conduct	
analysis of the project's gendered	
impacts.	
Recommendation 9: The project log	The project log frame was not reformulated since this change was explained in
frame should be reformulated because	all the reports.
Output 1.2.2 (Guidelines developed to	
support the tasks of NCA and SCAs is	
reflected in Output 1.2.1 (Administrative	
and operational procedures for biosafety	
reviewed and updated) rendering the	
former obsolete.	

Has the project developed an	
Exit Strategy? If yes, please	No
describe	

## **8.** Minor project amendments

Minor amendments are changes to the project design or implementation that do not have significant impact on the project objectives or scope, or an increase of the GEF project financing up to 5% as described in Annex 9 of the GEF Project and Program Cycle Policy Guidelines<sup>21</sup>. Please describe any minor changes that the project has made under the relevant category or categories. And, provide supporting documents as an annex to this report if available.

Category of change	Provide a description of the change	Indicate the timing of the change	Approved by
Results framework	None		
Components and cost	None		
Institutional and implementation arrangements	None		
Financial management	None		
Implementation schedule	None		
Executing Entity	None		
Executing Entity Category	None		
Minor project objective change	None		
Safeguards	None		
Risk analysis	None		
Increase of GEF project financing up to 5%	None		
Co-financing	None		
Location of project activity	None		
Other			

<sup>21</sup> Source: https://www.thegef.org/council-meeting-documents/guidelines-project-and-program-cycle-policy-2020-update

## 9. Stakeholders' Engagement

Please report on progress and results and challenges on stakeholder engagement (based on the description of the Stakeholder engagement plan) included at CEO Endorsement/Approval during this reporting period. Progress and results on Stakeholders' **Challenges on stakeholder** Stakeholder name Role in project execution Engagement engagement **Government Institutions** The Secretary of the Ministry of Environment, the Biodiversity Secretariat and, later in project implementation, the CEA were all involved actively in the relevant activities. For instance, the NCA and NEA participated actively in the preparations for, and hosting of the following meetings: The Secretary of the Project inception workshop, • ministry to chair the PSC 29-30 August 2017: that will coordinate and 1<sup>st</sup> Project Steering Committee • supervise the project as meeting, 31 October 2017; nodal ministry of CPB; Consultative meeting for the • The Biodiversity Secretariat draft Biosafety Act,13 as the NEA for November 2017; implementation of the 1<sup>st</sup> National Coordinating project; . Committee on Biosafetv Ensure administrative meeting, 26 January 2018; processing for the Biosafety 1<sup>st</sup> Technical Expert Group Ministry of Environment Act, rules and regulations; . meeting, 23 February 2018; and Wildlife Resources Implement the Difficulties in obtaining dates 1<sup>st</sup> Working Group meeting, 21 through Biodiversity administrative procedures • for meetings due to other March 2018: Secretariat. and technical guidelines meetings in the Ministry. Media Conference, 29 March **Central Environment** developed as part of the . 2018: Authority project; 2<sup>nd</sup> Working Group meeting, Ensure enhanced public awareness through regular 25 June 2018; information dissemination Focus Group Discussion . about the project activities; (FGD) with Sectoral Competent Authorities, 25 July Ensure setting up of information portal and 2018; managing nBCH; FGD with decision-makers The Central Environment and enforcement authorities, 20 August 2018; Authority as the new NCA for implementation of the 2<sup>nd</sup> Technical Expert Group meeting, 26 October 2018; **Biosafety Act** 2<sup>nd</sup> Project Steering . Committee meeting, 08 November 2018; Component 1 workshop, 26-27 February 2019; 1<sup>st</sup> Training for component 1, 28 February 2019; 1<sup>st</sup> Meeting for Component 3. 28 March 2019;

-		
•	2 <sup>nd</sup> National Coordinating	
	Committee on Biosofety	
	meeting, 5 April 2019;	
	1 <sup>st</sup> Workshop for LMO	
-		
	detection, 27 May 2019;	
•	Workshop on Risk	
	account muldelines 0.4	
	assessment guidelines ,3-4	
	Julv 2019:	
	Maating on Dissofaty Clearing	
•	Meeting on biosalety Cleaning	
	House (BCH) with the	
	concultants and IT company 5	
	consultants and in company, 5	
	July 2019;	
	Monting on biosofoty	
•	weeting on blosalety	
	education with the	
	international consultant 29	
	July 2019;	
	Consultative workshop for the	
-		
	biosatety communication	
	strategy 31 July 2019	
	Maatian on DOLLadibatha	
•	weeting on BCH with the	
	consultants and IT company. 9	
	August 2010	
	August 2019,	
•	Consultative workshop on	
	integrating biosafety into	
	tertiary education, 22 August	
	2019:	
	Maating on BCLL 24	
•	Meeting on BCH, 24	
	September 2019;	
	Stakeholder consultative	
•	Stakenoluer consultative	
	workshop for risk assessment	
	quidelines 25-26 Sentember	
	2019;	
•	Training workshop on	
	monitoring and increation of	
	monitoring and inspection of	
	LMOs, 27 September 2019;	
	Workshop on monitoring and	
•	workshop on monitoring and	
	inspection of LMOs to Custom	
	officers and officers from the	
	Department of agriculture	
	including plant guarantine	
	convisos 16 20 December	
	2019;.	
•	Training workshop on access	
-	and charing information	
	and sharing information	
	through BCH, 16-20	
	December 2019	
	Dissofation 2010,	
•	Biosatety awareness	
	workshop to the focal point 23	
	January 2020	
•	I raining of focal point to	
	maintain and unload	
	information to DOLL 00	
	inition to BCH, 29	
	January 2020;	
	3rd Project steering committee	
•		
	meeting, 20 February 2020;	
•	2 <sup>nd</sup> Training of focal point to	
-		
	maintain and upload	
	information to BCH, 30 June	
	2020:	
	2020,	
•	Awareness on Biosafety for	
	the new NCA – Central	
	and how replaced by 07 1 1	
	environment authority, 07 July	
	2020:	
	Monting on average -	
•	weeting on awareness	
	material with NSF. 15 August	
	2021	
•	2 <sup>114</sup> Monitoring & inspection	
	workshop on GMOs 21-25	
	Cantomb an 0000	
	September 2020	

		<ul> <li>Training on Guidelines for contained use, 22-24 September 2020;</li> <li>Training on Guidelines for Institutional Biosafety Committees (IBCs) 30</li> </ul>	
		<ul> <li>September 2020;</li> <li>Training on Guidelines for Food and Feed, 6-8 October 2020;</li> <li>Training on Guidelines for GM</li> </ul>	
		<ul> <li>Training on Guidelines for Giv mosquitoes, 12-14 October 2020;</li> <li>Training on Guidelines for confined field trials (CFTs), 20.0 October 2020);</li> </ul>	
		<ul> <li>Meeting with the Ministry of Environment on the Communication strategy, 23 October 2020;</li> </ul>	
		<ul> <li>Training on Guidelines for Environmental risk assessment, 27-29 October 2020;</li> <li>Training on Guidelines for</li> </ul>	
		<ul> <li>Environmental risk analysis framework, 03 November 2020;</li> <li>3nd training on uploading information to BCH to the focal</li> </ul>	
		<ul> <li>point, 18 November 2020;</li> <li>4<sup>th</sup> Project steering committee meeting, 31 December 2020;</li> <li>Consultative meeting on the communication strategy, 18</li> </ul>	
		<ul> <li>February 2021;</li> <li>4th training on uploading information to BCH to the focal point, 23 March 2021;</li> <li>Laugeb of the BCH website</li> </ul>	
		<ul> <li>Seminar on IBCs, 10 June 2021;</li> <li>Biosafety awareness for</li> </ul>	
		<ul> <li>Sectoral competent authorities (SCAs), 06 August 2021;</li> <li>Biosafety awareness for NGOs, 20 August 2021;</li> <li>Meeting for Regional Biosafety</li> </ul>	
		Conference, 29 October 2021; • Regional biosafety conference, 10 March 2022 – 11 March 2022; • 5 <sup>th</sup> Project Steering Committee	
		<ul> <li>(PSC) meeting, 05 April 2022; and</li> <li>Biosafety awareness for Department of Agriculture officers, 05 May 2022</li> </ul>	
Parliamentarians and Legal experts from Legal Draftsmen Department	<ul> <li>Facilitate the process of examination, adopting and enactment of the proposed Biosafety Act;</li> <li>Ensure consultative process for finalizing guidelines, administrative procedures, SOPs etc.</li> </ul>	Although parliamentarians didn't get involved in any of the activities yet, Legal Draftsmen Department contributed towards the revision of the Biosafety Act and took part in the following meetings:	N/A

		<ul> <li>1<sup>st</sup> National Coordinating Committee on Biosafety meeting, 26 January 2018;</li> <li>1<sup>st</sup> Working Group meeting, 21 March 2018;</li> <li>Media Conference, 29 March 2018;</li> <li>2<sup>nd</sup> Working Group meeting, 25 June 2018;</li> <li>FGD with decision-makers and enforcement authorities, 20 August 2018;</li> <li>2<sup>nd</sup> Technical Expert Group meeting, 26 October 2018;</li> <li>Component 1 workshop, 26- 27 February 2019;</li> <li>1<sup>st</sup> Training for component 1, 28 February 2019;</li> <li>2<sup>nd</sup> National Coordinating Committee on Biosafety meeting, 5 April 2019;</li> <li>1<sup>st</sup> Workshop for LMO detection, 27 May 2019; and</li> <li>Regional biosafety conference, 10 March 2022 – 11 March 2022</li> </ul>
Department of Agriculture, Department of Animal Production and Health, Department of Health, Department of Fisheries and Aquatic Resources, Department of Wildlife Conservation and Ministry of Industry.	<ul> <li>Provide inputs on the development of regulatory and other relevant documents as SCAs;</li> <li>Participate in training programmes on RA, RM and RC;</li> <li>Participate in national and international events during the project;</li> <li>Provide technical inputs to awareness raising workshops;</li> <li>Ensure institutional mechanism for Biosafety;</li> <li>Provide inputs as food safety inspectorate for the enforcement of Biosafety regulations;</li> <li>Participate in trainings on biosafety guidelines</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The Sectoral competent authorities supported the NCA and participated and provided input during the following events:</li> <li>Inception workshop, 29-30 August 2017;</li> <li>1<sup>st</sup> Project Steering Committee meeting, 31 October 2017;</li> <li>Consultative meeting for the draft Biosafety Act, 13 November 2017;</li> <li>1<sup>st</sup> National Coordinating Committee on Biosafety meeting, 26 January 2018;</li> <li>Media Conference, 29 March 2018;</li> <li>Media Conference, 29 March 2018;</li> <li>Focus Group Discussion (FGD) with Sectoral Competent Authorities, 25 July 2018;</li> <li>2<sup>nd</sup> Project Steering Croup meeting, 26 October 2018;</li> <li>2<sup>nd</sup> Technical Expert Group meeting, 26 October 2018;</li> <li>2<sup>nd</sup> Technical Expert Group meeting, 26 October 2018;</li> <li>2<sup>nd</sup> Technical Expert Group meeting, 26 October 2018;</li> <li>2<sup>nd</sup> Project Steering Committee meeting, 08 November 2018;</li> <li>Component 1 workshop, 26-27 February 2019;</li> <li>1<sup>st</sup> Meeting for Component 1, 28 February 2019</li> <li>1<sup>st</sup> Meeting for Component 3, 28 March 2019;</li> <li>2<sup>nd</sup> National Coordinating Committee on Biosafety meeting, 5 April 2019;</li> </ul>

	•	1 <sup>st</sup> Workshop for LMO	
		detection 27 May 2019	
		Market an and a second second	
	•	workshop on risk assessment	
		guidelines, 3-4 July 2019;	
		Consultative workshop for the	
	•	bis a fature workshop for the	
		biosarety communication	
		strategy, 31 July 2019;	
		Consultative workshop on	
	-	integrating biosofety into	
		integrating biosarety into	
		tertiary education, 22 August	
		2019:	
		Stakeholder consultative	
	•		
		workshop for risk assessment	
		guidelines, 25-26 September	
		2019	
		Dissefet: european	
	•	biosalety awareness	
		workshop, 24-25 October	
		2019	
		Markahan an manitaring and	
	•	workshop on monitoring and	
		inspection of LMOs to Custom	
		officers and officers from the	
		department of agriculture	
		including plant quaranting	
		including plant quarantine	
		services, 16-20 December	
		2019.	
		Training workshop on access	
	•		
		and sharing information	
		through BCH, 16-20	
		December 2019.	
		3 <sup>rd</sup> Project steering committee	
	•	5 Project steering committee	
		meeting, 20 February 2020;	
	•	2 <sup>nd</sup> Monitoring & inspection	
		workshop on GMOs 21-25	
		September 2020:	
		September 2020,	
	•	Training on Guidelines for	
		contained use. 22-24	
		September 2020	
		Training on Onidaling (or	
	•	I raining on Guidelines for	
		Institutional Biosafety	
		Committees (IBCs), 30	
		September 2020	
		Training on Quidelings for	
	•	I raining on Guidelines for	
		Food and Feed, 6-8 October	
		2020:	
	_	Training on Guidelines for GM	
	· · ·	magnitage 12 11 October	
		mosquiloes, 12-14 October	
		2020;	
	•	Training on Guidelines for	
		confines field trials (CETa) 20	
		Commes neu mais (CFTS), 20-	
		22 October 2020;	
	•	Meeting with the Ministry of	
		Environment on the	
		Communication strategy 22	
		October 2000	
		October 2020;	
	•	Training on Guidelines for	
		Environmental risk	
		accomment 27.20 October	
		2000	
		2020;	
	•	Training on Guidelines for	
		Environmental risk analysis	
		fromowork 02 November	
		namework, US NOVEIIIDEI	
		2020;	
	•	4 <sup>th</sup> Project steering committee	
		meeting 31 December 2020	
		Concultative meeting on the	
	•	consultative meeting on the	
		communication strategy, 18	
1		February 2021;	

			<ul> <li>Training of trainers in biosafety communication, 19 February 2021;</li> <li>Launch of the BCH website, 31 March 2021;</li> <li>Seminar on IBCs, 10 June 2021;</li> <li>Biosafety awareness for SCAs, 06 August 2021;</li> <li>Meeting for Regional Biosafety Conference, 29 October 2021;</li> <li>Regional biosafety conference, 10 March 2022 – 11 March 2022;</li> <li>5<sup>th</sup> Project Steering Committee (PSC) meeting, 05 April 2022; and</li> <li>Biosafety awareness for Department of Agriculture officers, 05 May 2022</li> </ul>	
En inc Na Qu Se sci lab de	forcement officials luding Customs, tional Plant uarantine Services, ed Inspectors, entists/technical perts from research poratories involved in tection and monitoring	<ul> <li>Support strengthening of infrastructure and capacities for detection of LMOs;</li> <li>Provide inputs on the transboundary movement of GMOs/ LMOs and procedures/ guidelines for sampling, field trials inspection and monitoring etc.;</li> <li>Participate in training programs on procedures for sampling, detection, inspection and monitoring, and BCH;</li> <li>Provide inputs on training modules for BCH access;</li> <li>Participate in consultations on documents and training modules related to sampling, detection, inspection and monitoring;</li> <li>Assist in strengthening enforcement systems for effective Biosafety regulations related to transgenic animals and animal feed; Participate in trainings on biosafety guidelines</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>These stakeholders participated in, and provided inputs to, project implementation, in particular during the following events:</li> <li>Inception workshop, 29-30 August 2017;</li> <li>1<sup>st</sup> Project Steering Committee meeting, 31 October 2017;</li> <li>Consultative meeting for the draft Biosafety Act, 13 November 2017;</li> <li>1<sup>st</sup> National Coordinating Committee on Biosafety meeting, 26 January 2018;</li> <li>1<sup>st</sup> Working Group meeting, 21 March 2018;</li> <li>Media Conference, 29 March 2018;</li> <li>Focus Group Discussion (FGD) with Sectoral Competent Authorities, 25 July 2018;</li> <li>FGD with decision-makers and enforcement authorities, 20 August 2018;</li> <li>FGD with decision-makers and enforcement authorities, 20 August 2018;</li> <li>Component 1 workshop, 26-27 February 2019;</li> <li>1<sup>st</sup> Maeting for Component 1, 28 February 2019;</li> <li>1<sup>st</sup> Meeting for Component 3, 28 March 2019;</li> <li>1<sup>st</sup> Meeting for Component 3, 28 March 2019;</li> <li>1<sup>st</sup> Workshop for LMO detection, 27 May 2019;</li> <li>Consultative workshop on integrating biosafety into</li> </ul>	Delays in upgrading selected laboratories with equipment for GMO/LMO detection before training

tertiary education, 22 August
2019
Stakeholder consultative
workshop for risk assessment
quidelines 25.26 Sentember
guidelines, 25-20 September
2019;
<ul> <li>Training workshop on</li> </ul>
manifering and increation of
monitoring and inspection of
LMOs, 27 September 2019;
<ul> <li>Workshop on monitoring and</li> </ul>
inspection of LiviOs to Custom
officers and officers from the
department of agriculture
including plant quarantine
services, 16-20 December
2019
I raining workshop on access
and sharing information
through BCH 16-20
December 0040:
December 2019; .
<ul> <li>3<sup>rd</sup> Project steering committee</li> </ul>
meeting 20 February 2020
<ul> <li>2<sup>nd</sup> Monitoring &amp; inspection</li> </ul>
workshop on GMOs, 21-25
Sontombor 2020:
September 2020,
<ul> <li>Training on Guidelines for</li> </ul>
contained use. 22-24
September 2020
I raining on Guidelines for
Institutional Biosafety
Committees (IBCs) 30
Sontombor 2020:
<ul> <li>Training on Guidelines for</li> </ul>
Food and Feed, 6-8 October
2020-
2020,
<ul> <li>Training on Guidelines for GM</li> </ul>
mosquitoes, 12-14 October
2020.
<ul> <li>I raining on Guidelines for</li> </ul>
confines field trials (CFTs), 20-
22 October 2020
- Maating with the Ministry of
• Meeting with the Ministry of
Environment on the
Communication strategy, 23
October 2020
Troining on Cuidelines for
Training on Guidelines for
Environmental risk
assessment, 27-29 October
2020
Zozo, Tariaia a an Osidalian II
I raining on Guidelines for
Environmental risk analysis
framework 03 November
2020.
4 <sup><sup>w</sup></sup> Project steering committee
meeting, 31 December 2020:
<ul> <li>Meeting on lab accreditation</li> </ul>
07 April 2021;
Meeting on GMO detection
and lab accreditation-L 20
April 2021:
Meeting on GMO detection
and lab accreditation-ii. 22
April 2021
Seminar on IBCs, 10 June
2021;
<ul> <li>International Training on GMO</li> </ul>
Detection 04 October 2021-
UN UCTODER 2021;

		<ul> <li>Training by SLAB, 12 October 2021-14 October 2021;</li> <li>Lab workshop on GMO detection, 13 December 2021-14 December 2021;</li> <li>Regional biosafety conference, 10 March 2022 – 11 March 2022; and</li> <li>5<sup>th</sup> Project Steering Committee (PSC) meeting, 05 April 2022</li> </ul>	
Sri Lanka Accreditation Board for Conformity Assessment (SLAB)	<ul> <li>Assist in the process for accreditation of identified laboratories</li> <li>Participate in training programs/information exchange with other accreditation bodies at international level</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>SLAB participated in project implementation and, in particular, provided inputs during the following events:</li> <li>Inception workshop, 29-30 August 2017;</li> <li>FGD with decision-makers and enforcement authorities, 20 August 2018;</li> <li>Component 1 workshop, 26- 27 February 2019;</li> <li>1<sup>st</sup> Meeting for Component 3, 28 March 2019;</li> <li>Meeting on lab accreditation, 07 April 2021;</li> <li>Meeting on GMO detection and lab accreditation-I, 20 April 2021;</li> <li>Meeting on GMO detection and lab accreditation-I, 20 April 2021;</li> <li>Training by SLAB, 12 October 2021-14 October 2021; and</li> <li>Regional biosafety conference, 10 March 2022 – 11 March 2022</li> </ul>	N/A
Scientific Agencies including NSF, CARP, National Research Council, COSTI	<ul> <li>Review and draft guidelines for RA, RM and RC on Biosafety;</li> <li>Develop outreach materials for different target groups;</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Scientific Agencies, in particular the NSF, CARP, National Research Council and COSTI, participated in project implementation, and, in particular provided inputs during the following events:</li> <li>Inception workshop, 29-30 August 2017;</li> <li>1<sup>st</sup> Project Steering Committee meeting, 31 October 2017;</li> <li>Consultative meeting for the draft Biosafety Act, 13 November 2017;</li> <li>1<sup>st</sup> National Coordinating Committee on Biosafety meeting, 26 January 2018;</li> <li>1<sup>st</sup> Working Group meeting, 21 March 2018;</li> <li>Media Conference, 29 March 2018;</li> <li>2<sup>nd</sup> Working Group meeting, 25 June 2018;</li> <li>2<sup>nd</sup> Working Group meeting, 000000000000000000000000000000000000</li></ul>	N/A

•	Component 1 workshop, 26-	
	27 February 2010	
	27 Febluary 2019,	
•	1 <sup>st</sup> I raining for component 1,	
	28 February 2019	
	Ast Maating for Company at 2	
•	1 <sup>er</sup> Meeting for Component 3,	
	28 March 2019;	
	2 <sup>nd</sup> National Coordinating	
•	2 National Coordinating	
	Committee on Biosafety	
	meeting 5 April 2019	
	Ast Markets and Carl MO	
•	1 <sup>st</sup> workshop for LiviO	
	detection. 27 May 2019:	
	Maating on owaranges	
•	Meeting on awareness	
	material, 18 June 2019;	
	Workshop on risk assessment	
	avidalizas 2.4 July 2010	
	guidelines, 3-4 July 2019;	
•	Consultative workshop for the	
	biosofety communication	
	biosalety communication	
	strategy, 31 July 2019;	
•	Workshop for awareness	
· ·	motorial with the interaction -	
	material with the international	
	consultant, 1 August 2019;	
	Consultative workshop on	
•	internation blace faits inte	
	integrating biosatety into	
	tertiary education. 22 August	
	2019	
	2019,	
•	Stakeholder consultative	
	workshop for risk assessment	
	guidelines 25-26 Sentember	
	2019;	
•	3 <sup>rd</sup> Project steering committee	
	meeting 20 February 2020	
	Mosting, 201 Obracily 2020,	
•	Meeting on awareness	
	material with NSF, 15 August	
	2020.	
•	2 <sup>nd</sup> Monitoring & inspection	
	workshop on GMOs, 21-25	
	September 2020	
	Training of Children (an	
•	I raining on Guidelines for	
	contained use, 22-24	
	September 2020:	
	Training on Guidelines for	
•	Inatility of Outdelines for	
	Institutional Biosafety	
	Committees (IBCs), 30	
	September 2020;	
	Training on Guidelines for	
•		
	Food and Feed, 6-8 October	
	2020:	
	Training on Guidelines for CM	
•		
	mosquitoes, 12-14 October	
	2020;	
	Training on Guidelines for	
•		
	contines tield trials (CFTs), 20-	
	22 October 2020;	
	Meeting with the Ministry of	
·	Environment on the	
	Communication strategy, 23	
	October 2020;	
	Training on Guidelines for	
•		
	Environmental fisk	
	assessment, 27-29 October	
	2020 <sup>.</sup>	
	Training on Cuidelines for	
•	Fraining on Guidelines for	
	Environmental risk analysis	
	framework. 03 November	
	2020	
	4 <sup>th</sup> Project steering committee	
•	4 Project steering committee	
	meeting, 31 December 2020;	

		<ul> <li>Launch of the BCH website</li> </ul>	
		21 March 2021	
		31 March 2021,	
		<ul> <li>Seminar on IBCs, 10 June</li> </ul>	
		2021	
		2021,	
		<ul> <li>Regional biosafety</li> </ul>	
		conference 10 March 2022 -	
		11 March 2022;	
		and	
		<ul> <li>5<sup>th</sup> Project Steering</li> </ul>	
		Committee (PSC) meeting ,	
		05 April 2022	
		Universities and research institutes	
		participated in project	
		implementation in particular	
		implementation, in particular	
		through their staff being resource	
		persons and providing inputs	
		during the following events:	
		during the following events.	
	<ul> <li>Provide technical support in</li> </ul>		
	anhonoing consoity for DA	<ul> <li>Inception workshop 29-30</li> </ul>	
	ennancing capacity for RA	August 2017.	
	and LMO detection;	August 2017;	
	Provide technical inputs for	<ul> <li>Consultative meeting for the</li> </ul>	
		draft Biosafety Act 13	
	the development of safety		
	assessment guidelines and	November 2017;	
	manuals for PA and PM of	<ul> <li>1<sup>st</sup> National Coordinating</li> </ul>	
		Committee on Biosofoty	
	GMOS/LMOS, formats for	Committee on biosalety	
	RA summaries and conduct	meeting, 26 January 2018;	
	trainings.	<ul> <li>1<sup>st</sup> Technical Expert Group</li> </ul>	
		monting 23 Entry 2018:	
Liniversity and research	<ul> <li>Provide technical inputs on</li> </ul>	meeting, 251 ebruary 2010,	
University and research	the national biosafety	<ul> <li>1<sup>st</sup> Working Group meeting, 21</li> </ul>	
institutions such as	masternlan website F-	March 2018	
University of Peradeniva		Madia Carfaranaa 20 Marah	
and University of	learning tools on Biosatety	<ul> <li>Media Conference, 29 March</li> </ul>	
and University of	regulations etc.;	2018;	
Colombo, Tea Research	Support consultativo	<ul> <li>2<sup>nd</sup> Working Group meeting</li> </ul>	
Institute, Rubber	• Support consultative		
Research Institute	meetings for finalizing	25 June 2018;	
Research Institute,	various Biosafety	<ul> <li>Component 1 workshop, 26-</li> </ul>	
Coconut Research	regulations and guidelines.	27 February 2019	
Institute and Rice		Ast Training for some of A	
Research and	<ul> <li>Provide technical inputs to</li> </ul>	• 1 <sup>st</sup> I raining for component 1,	
Resolution and	training workshops;	28 February 2019;	
Development Institute	Coordinate post graduate	<ul> <li>1<sup>st</sup> Meeting for Component 3</li> </ul>	
and Horticultural Crop	dialama and integrate	20 March 2010	N/A
Research and	dipioma and integrate	20 March 2019,	
Development Institute	Biosatety with other	<ul> <li>2<sup>nd</sup> National Coordinating</li> </ul>	
	courses:	Committee on Biosafety	
Other universities	<ul> <li>Ensure ungrade and</li> </ul>	monting 5 April 2010:	
University of Ruhuna,			
South Fastern	accreditation of laboratory	<ul> <li>1<sup>ev</sup> Workshop for LMO</li> </ul>	
University Meyombo	for LMOs/GMOs detection:	detection, 27 May 2019:	
University, wayamba	<ul> <li>Provide technical support to</li> </ul>	Workshop on risk accomment	
University, University of			
Moratuwa, University of	regulatory authorities for	guidelines, 3-4 July 2019;	
Jaffna University of Sri	risk assessment and	<ul> <li>Meeting on biosafety</li> </ul>	
lovowordononuro	management, and	education with the	
Jayawaruenapura,	enforcement officials for	international assoultant 00	
Rajarata University		international consultant,29	
	detection of LMOs/GMOs;	July 2019;	
	<ul> <li>Develop capacities.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Training of trainers as</li> </ul>	
	curriculum and a poet	biosafaty communicators 20	
	graduate course on	July 2019;	
	Biosafety;	<ul> <li>Consultative workshop for the</li> </ul>	
	Ensure the establishment of	biosafety communication	
	a post graduate source 's		
	a posi graduate course In	strategy, 31 July 2019;	
	consultation with Ministry of	<ul> <li>Consultative workshop on</li> </ul>	
	Education;	integrating biosafety into	
	Participate in trainings on	tortion, education 22 August	
	hissofety suidalings Off	tertiary education, 22 August	
	biosatety guidelines	2019;	
		<ul> <li>Biosafetv awareness</li> </ul>	
		workshop to university	
		atudanta and asheal shildress	
1		students and school children,	
1		11-12 September 2019;	
1		<ul> <li>Stakeholder consultative</li> </ul>	
		workshop for risk assessment	
		workshop for lisk assessifient	

guidelines, 25-26 September
2019:
- Dissofaty awaranaaa
Biosalety awareness
workshop to university
students in science and non-
science streams 10-11 March
2020;
<ul> <li>Meeting on awareness</li> </ul>
material with NSE 15 August
material with NSF, 15 August
2021;
<ul> <li>Training on Guidelines for</li> </ul>
contained use, 22-24
September 2020;
<ul> <li>Training on Guidelines for</li> </ul>
Institutional Biosafety
Committees (IBCs), 30
September 2020:
September 2020,
I raining on Guidelines for
Food and Feed, 6-8 October
2020:
Training on Guidelines for GM
mosquitoes, 12-14 October
2020.
Training on Guidelines for
confines field trials (CETs) 20-
22 October 2020:
22 October 2020;
<ul> <li>Meeting with the Ministry of</li> </ul>
Environment on the
Communication strategy 22
Continuincation strategy, 25
October 2020;
<ul> <li>Training on Guidelines for</li> </ul>
Environmental risk
Environmental 17.00 October
assessment, 27-29 October
2020;
<ul> <li>Training on Guidelines for</li> </ul>
Environmental fisk analysis
framework, 03 November
2020:
<ul> <li>Biosofaty awaronoss</li> </ul>
workshop for University of
Ruhuna students, 27 January
2021
Training of trainars in
• Training of trainers in
biosafety communication, 19
February 2021:
Biosafety awareness
workshop for South eastern
university students, 10 March
2021:
31 March 2021;
<ul> <li>Meeting on lab accreditation.</li> </ul>
07 April 2021
Biosatety awareness
workshop for Wayamba
University 09 April 2021
Masting of OMO data star
<ul> <li>weeting on GWU detection</li> </ul>
and lab accreditation-I, 20
April 2021:
Meeting on GMO detection
and lab accreditation-II, 22
April 2021;
Meeting on biosafety
advantion material by the
education material by the
AgBC, University of
Peradeniya with National
institute of education, 23 April
2021.
2021,

		<ul> <li>Biosafety awareness workshop for University of Moratuwa, 20 May 2021;</li> <li>Biosafety awareness workshop for Rajarata University, 18 June 2021;</li> <li>Biosafety awareness workshop for Sri Jayawardenapura University, 28 June 2021;</li> <li>Biosafety awareness for University of Jaffna, 31 August 2021;</li> <li>International Training on GMO Detection, 04 October 2021- 08 October 2021;</li> <li>Training by SLAB, 12 October 2021-14 October 2021;</li> <li>Lab workshop on GMO detection, 13 December 2021- 14 December 2021;</li> <li>Awareness workshop for South Eastern university students and School teachers , 25 January 2022; and</li> <li>Regional biosafety conference, 10 March 2022 – 11 March 2022</li> </ul>	
Non-Government organizati	ons (NGOs)		
NGOs, CSOs and local communities	<ul> <li>Support awareness activities to incorporate views and perspectives into the planning and implementation of the project;</li> <li>Support knowledge management on Biosafety;</li> <li>Support and participate in workshops, particularly those related to communication and dissemination;</li> <li>Consensus building for the national Biosafety issues;</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>NGOs, CSOs and local communities were active participants in project implementation, in particular through their involvement in the following:</li> <li>Media workshop, 29 March 2018;</li> <li>Training of trainers as biosafety communicators, 30 July 2019;</li> <li>Consultative workshop for the biosafety communication strategy, 31 July 2019;</li> <li>Meeting on awareness material with NSF, 15 August 2021;</li> <li>Meeting with the Ministry of Environment on the Communication strategy, 23 October 2020;</li> <li>Consultative meeting on the communication strategy, 18 February 2021;</li> <li>Training of trainers in biosafety communication, 19 February 2021;</li> <li>Launch of the BCH website, 31 March 2021;</li> <li>Biosafety awareness workshop for Hillwood College, 21 June 2021;</li> <li>Biosafety awareness for NGOS, 20 August 2021; and</li> </ul>	N/A

		Regional biosafety conference, 10 March 2022 – 11 March 2022	
Private sector entities	•		
Private sector, Media	<ul> <li>Support awareness activities to incorporate views and perspectives into the planning and implementation of the project;</li> <li>Support knowledge management on Biosafety;</li> <li>Support and participate in workshops, particularly those related to communication and dissemination;</li> <li>Consensus building for the national Biosafety issues;</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The private sector and mass media entities were active participants in project implementation, in particular through their involvement in the following:</li> <li>Media workshop, 29 March 2018);</li> <li>Workshop on risk assessment guidelines, 3-4 July 2019;</li> <li>Training of trainers as biosafety communicators, 30 July 2019;</li> <li>Consultative workshop for the biosafety communication strategy, 31 July 2019;</li> <li>Consultative workshop on integrating biosafety into tertiary education, 22 August 2019;</li> <li>Stakeholder consultative workshop for risk assessment guidelines, 25-26 September 2019;</li> <li>Meeting on awareness material with NSF, 15 August 2021;</li> <li>Meeting with the Ministry of Environment on the Communication strategy, 23 October 2020;</li> <li>Consultative meeting on the communication strategy, 18 February 2021;</li> <li>Training of trainers in biosafety communication, 19 February 2021;</li> <li>Launch of the BCH website, 31 March 2021;</li> <li>Biosafety awareness for Private sector, 29 October 2021;</li> <li>Biosafety awareness for Private sector, 29 October 2021; and</li> <li>Regional biosafety conference, 10 March 2022 – 11 March 2022</li> </ul>	N/A
Others[1]	Γ		
N/A			
New stakeholders identified,	/engaged	Although the OFA has been	
Central Environment Authority (CEA)	As the new NCA, support the National Focal Point the	nvolved with the National biosafety project from the beginning of the	Delays in enacting the draft Biosafety Act

 <sup>[1]</sup> They can include, among others, community-based organizations (CBOs), Indigenous Peoples organizations, women's groups, private sector companies, farmers, universities, research institutions, and all major groups as identified, for example, in Agenda
 21 of the 1992 Rio Earth Summit and many times again since then.

Ministry of Environment       become the NCA in biosafety         CEA, in particular participated in, and provided inputs during the following events:       Inception workshop, 29-30 August 2017;         Component 1 workshop, 26-27 February 2019;       Tail Training for component 1, 28 February 2019;         Consultative workshop for the biosafety communication strategy, 31 July 2019;       Consultative workshop for the biosafety communication strategy, 31 July 2019;         Stakeholder consultative workshop for the biosafety communication strategy, 2020;       Stakeholder consultative workshop for the sasessment guidelines, 25-26 September 2019;         Biosafety awareness workshop to the focal point, 23 January 2020;       3 <sup>rd</sup> Project steering committee meeting, 20 February 2020;         Awareness on Biosafety for the new NCA – Central environment authority, 07 July 2020;       2 <sup>rd</sup> Monitoring & inspection workshop for GMOS, 21-25 September 2020;         2 <sup>rd</sup> Monitoring & inspection workshop and GMOS assessment, 27-29 October 2020;       Training on Guidelines for Environmental risk assessment, 27-29 October 2020;	project the role has changed to	Biodiversity Secretariat of the
<ul> <li>CEA, in particular participated in, and provided inputs during the following events:</li> <li>Inception workshop, 29-30 August 2017;</li> <li>Component 1 workshop, 26-27 February 2019;</li> <li>1<sup>st</sup> Training for component 1, 28 February 2019;</li> <li>Consultative workshop for the biosafety communication strategy, 31 July 2019;</li> <li>Stakeholder consultative workshop for risk assessment guidelines, 25-26 September 2019;</li> <li>Biosafety awareness workshop to the focal point, 23 January 2020;</li> <li>3<sup>rd</sup> Project steering committee meeting, 20 February 2020;</li> <li>Awareness on Biosafety for the new NCA – Central environment authority, 07 July 2020;</li> <li>2<sup>rd</sup> Monitoring &amp; inspection workshop ro GMOs, 21-25 September 2020;</li> <li>Meeting with the Ministry of Environment on the Communication strategy, 23 October 2020;</li> <li>Training on Guidelines for Environmental risk assessment, 27-29 October 2020;</li> <li>Training on Guidelines for Environmental risk assessment, 27-29 October 2020;</li> <li>Training on Guidelines for Environmental risk assessment, 27-29 October 2020;</li> </ul>	become the NCA in biosafety	Ministry of Environment
<ul> <li>the new NCA – Central environment authority, 07 July 2020;</li> <li>2<sup>nd</sup> Monitoring &amp; inspection workshop on GMOs, 21-25 September 2020;</li> <li>Meeting with the Ministry of Environment on the Communication strategy, 23 October 2020;</li> <li>Training on Guidelines for Environmental risk assessment, 27-29 October 2020;</li> <li>Training on Guidelines for Environmental risk assessment, 27-29 October 2020;</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>project the role has changed to become the NCA in biosafety</li> <li>CEA, in particular participated in, and provided inputs during the following events: <ul> <li>Inception workshop, 29-30 August 2017;</li> <li>Component 1 workshop, 26-27 February 2019;</li> <li>1<sup>st</sup> Training for component 1, 28 February 2019;</li> <li>Consultative workshop for the biosafety communication strategy, 31 July 2019;</li> <li>Stakeholder consultative workshop for risk assessment guidelines, 25-26 September 2019;</li> <li>Biosafety awareness workshop to the focal point, 23 January 2020;</li> <li>3<sup>rd</sup> Project steering committee meeting, 20 February 2020;</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	Biodiversity Secretariat of the Ministry of Environment
<ul> <li>2020;</li> <li>2<sup>nd</sup> Monitoring &amp; inspection workshop on GMOs, 21-25 September 2020;</li> <li>Meeting with the Ministry of Environment on the Communication strategy, 23 October 2020;</li> <li>Training on Guidelines for Environmental risk assessment, 27-29 October 2020;</li> <li>Training on Guidelines for Environmental risk analysis</li> </ul>	Awareness on Biosafety for the new NCA – Central environment authority, 07 July	
<ul> <li>Meeting with the Ministry of Environment on the Communication strategy, 23 October 2020;</li> <li>Training on Guidelines for Environmental risk assessment, 27-29 October 2020;</li> <li>Training on Guidelines for Environmental risk analysis</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>2020;</li> <li>2<sup>nd</sup> Monitoring &amp; inspection workshop on GMOs, 21-25 September 2020;</li> </ul>	
Training on Guidelines for Environmental risk assessment, 27-29 October 2020;     Training on Guidelines for Environmental risk analysis	Meeting with the Ministry of Environment on the Communication strategy, 23 October 2020;	
Training on Guidelines for     Environmental risk analysis	Training on Guidelines for Environmental risk assessment, 27-29 October 2020;	
framework, 03 November 2020:	Training on Guidelines for Environmental risk analysis framework, 03 November 2020;	
Biosafety awareness for Sectoral competent authorities (SCAs), 06 August 2021;	Biosafety awareness for Sectoral competent authorities (SCAs), 06 August 2021;     Mactine for Particular International Particular Internatio	
Meeting for Regional Biosafety Conference, 29 October 2021;     Regional biosafety conference, 10 March 2022	<ul> <li>Meeting for Regional Biosafety Conference, 29 October 2021;</li> <li>Regional biosafety conference, 10 March 2022</li> </ul>	
Conterence, To March 2022 –     11 March 2022;     and     5 <sup>th</sup> Project Steering Committee     (PSC) meeting, 05 April 2022	<ul> <li>5<sup>th</sup> Project Steering Committee (PSC) meeting, 05 April 2022</li> </ul>	

# **10.** Gender Mainstreaming

	N /21	
Category	Yes/No	Briefly describe progress and results achieved during this reporting period
Gender analysis or an equivalent socio- economic assessment made at formulation or during execution stages.	No	
Any gender-responsive measures to address gender gaps or promote gender equality and women's empowerment?	No	
Indicate in which results area(s) the project is expected to contribute to gender equality (as identified at project design stage):	Not applicable	
<ul> <li>a) closing gender gaps in access to and control over natural resources</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>b) improving women's participation and decision making</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>c) generating socio-economic benefits or services for women</li> </ul>		
M&E system with gender-disaggregated data?	No	
Staff with gender expertise	Yes	FAO-LK has a gender focal point, who provided guidance on matters related to gender.
Any other good practices on gender		This project does not apply a gender sensitive approach. However, gender disaggregation was was implemented in the registration for project activities as means to evidence-based gender mainstreaming in the project activities.
		Based on the collected information on gender, the proportion of female participants in project events ranged 30 to 72 percent. This information is reported in the 6-months Project Progress Reports.

# **11.** Knowledge Management Activities

Knowledge activities / products (when applicable), as outlined in Knowledge Management Approach approved at CEO Endorsement / Approval <u>during this reporting period.</u>			
Does the project have a knowledge management strategy? If not, how does the project collect and document good practices? Please list relevant good practices that can be learned and shared from the project thus far.	All the project activities are reported in the Biosafety Newsletter, which was initiated by the project. This is an FAO publication. All the newsletters can be accessed via the Sri Lanka Biosafety Clearing House (SL BCH) website, which is also an output of the project. <u>http://lk.biosafetyclearinghouse.net/Publications2.shtml</u> Additionally, all the project activities are published with photographs and other details in the SL BCH website. <u>lk.biosafetyclearinghouse.net/project.shtml</u> Gender mainstreaming was institutionalized.		
Does the project have a communication strategy? Please provide a brief overview of the communications successes and challenges this year.	Yes, a communication strategy for biosafety was developed under the auspices of the project. During the latter part of 2021, the travel and gatherings were restricted to prevent the spread of COVID-19. This was a challenge for in-person awareness workshops. However, this challenge was overcome by online activities (e.g. online workshops and webinars). The webinars, which included online debates and digital poster presentations by the participants, were a successful means for engaging a wide stakeholder base.		
Please share a human-interest story from your project, focusing on how the project has helped to improve people's livelihoods while contributing to achieving the expected Global Environmental Benefits. Please indicate any Socio-economic Co-benefits that were generated by the project. Include at least one beneficiary quote and perspective, and please also include related photos and photo credits.	The benefits of the biosafety project are mainly towards preventing the damage to the environment, biodiversity and human health from potential adverse effects of genetically modified organisms. Therefore, there is no one particular human-interest story from the project – more so as framework was never tested in a real-life situation involving the handling and/or transport of LMOs.		
Please provide links to related website, social media account	http://lk.biosafetyclearinghouse.net/default.shtml https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=WnwmXe7x8UY		
Please provide a list of publications, leaflets, video materials, newsletters, or other communications assets published on the web.	<ul> <li>[i] Brochure on basic information related to biosafety in Sri Lanka and the project;</li> <li>[ii] Booklet containing information about GM food;</li> <li>[iii] Cartoon depicting regulatory process outlined in the draft Biosafety Act;</li> <li>[iv] List of LMOs approved within regulatory frameworks in countries globally;</li> <li>[v] Booklet containing academic articles related to biosafety by individuals from academia;</li> </ul>		

	[vi] Booklet titled "What do experts say about GM food
	and GM plants?"
	[viii] Organizer with information and cartoon
	illustrations on biosafety;
	[ix] Flash cards titled "GM Crops – safety, benefits, risks
	and global status"
	[x] Short storyline with cartoon illustrations on
	genetically modified (GM) crops;
	[xi] Short storyline with cartoon illustration on GM
	Foods;
	[xii] Booklet with information on Biosafety containing
	cartoon illustrations;
	[xiii] Activity Book for kids;
	[xiv] Animated video on GM crops;
	[xv] Animated video on GM Food;
	[xvi] Documentary on Biosafety;
	[xvii] Glossary of terms;
	[xviii] Questions and Answers on Biotechnology and
	Biosafety;
	[xix] Ten (10) Short videos (animated and documentary);
	and
	[xx] Seven (7) editions of the Biosafety Newsletter.
Please indicate the Communication and/or knowledge	FAO-LK communication focal point
management focal point's Name and contact details	Name: Mahina Hussain
	Email: <u>mahina.hussain@tao.org</u>
	Biosafety Project communication focal point
	Name: Mihiri Kandanaarachchi
	Email: Mihiri.Kandanaarachchi@fao.org

# 12. Indigenous Peoples and Local Communities Involvement

Are Indigenous Peoples and local communities involved in the project (as per the approved Project Document)? If yes, please briefly explain.

Indigenous people are not involved in this project.

13.	Co-Finar	ncing Table
-----	----------	-------------

Sources of Co-financing <sup>22</sup>	Name of Co-financer	Type of Co- financing	Amount Confirmed at CEO endorsement / approval	Actual Amount Materialized at 30 June 2023	Actual Amount Materialized at closure (confirmed by the evaluation team)
National Government	Ministry of Mahaweli Development and Environment	In-Kind	85,714	56,439.06	56,439.06
National Government	Ministry of Health Nutrition and Indigenous	In-Kind	8,571	337,266.49	337,266.49
National Government	ernment Department of Animal Production and Health		357,143	216,641.19*	216,641.19*
National Government	nment Department of Agriculture		405,714	264,630.44*	264,630.44*
National Government	National Plant Quarantine Services	In-Kind	291,143	770,489.15 **	770,489.15 **
National Government	Department of Fisheries and Aquatic Resources	In-Kind	36,143	22,094.60*	22,094.60*
National Government	Department of Wildlife Conservation	In-Kind	285,714	400,251.07	400,251.07
National Government	Sri Lanka Customs	In-Kind	382,471	903,098.11	903,098.11
National Government	University of Colombo	In-Kind	300,000	565,116.65*	565,116.65*
National Government	University of Peradeniya	In-Kind	300,000	546,921.58*	546,921.58*
National Government	National Science Foundation	In-Kind	105,714	669,932.52	669,932.52

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> Sources of Co-financing may include: Bilateral Aid Agency(ies), Foundation, GEF Agency, Local Government, National Government, Civil Society Organization, Other Multi-lateral Agency(ies), Private Sector, Beneficiaries, Other.

Food and Agriculture Organization	In-Kind	400,000	400,000.00	400,000.00
	TOTAL	2,958,327	5,152,880.87	5,152,880.87

Please explain any significant changes in project co-financing since Project Document signature, or differences between the anticipated and actual rates of disbursement

\* Excluding form 01 July 2020 to 30 June 2021 contributions (pending)

\*\* Excluding form 01 July 2019 to 30 June 2021 contributions (pending)

## Annex 1. – GEF Performance Ratings Definitions

Development Objectives Rating. A rating of the extent to which a project is expected to achieve or exceed its major objectives.				
Highly Satisfactory (HS)	Project is expected to achieve or exceed <b>all</b> its major global environmental objectives, and yield substantial global environmental benefits,			
	without major shortcomings. The project can be presented as "good practice"			
Satisfactory (S)	Project is expected to achieve <b>most</b> of its major global environmental objectives, and yield satisfactory global environmental benefits, with			
	only minor shortcomings			
Moderately Satisfactory (MS)	Project is expected to achieve most of its major relevant objectives but with either significant shortcomings or modest overall relevance.			
	Project is expected not to achieve <b>some</b> of its major global environmental objectives or yield some of the expected global environment			
	benefits			
Moderately Unsatisfactory	Project is expected to achieve of its major global environmental objectives with major shortcomings or is expected to achieve only some of			
(MU)	its major global environmental objectives)			
Unsatisfactory (U)	Project is expected <b>not</b> to achieve <b>most</b> of its major global environment objectives or to yield any satisfactory global environmental benefits)			
Highly Unsatisfactory (HU)	The project has failed to achieve, and is not expected to achieve, <b>any</b> of its major global environment objectives with no worthwhile benefits.)			

Implementation Progress Rating. A rating of the extent to which the implementation of a project's components and activities is in compliance with the project's approved implementation plan.

Highly Satisfactory (HS)	Implementation of <b>all</b> components is in substantial compliance with the original/formally revised implementation plan for the project. The
	project can be resented as "good practice
Satisfactory (S)	Implementation of most components is in substantial compliance with the original/formally revised plan except for only a few that are
	subject to remedial action
Moderately Satisfactory (MS)	Implementation of some components is in substantial compliance with the original/formally revised plan with some components requiring
	remedial action
Moderately Unsatisfactory	Implementation of some components is not in substantial compliance with the original/formally revised plan with most components
(MU)	requiring remedial action.
Unsatisfactory (U)	Implementation of most components is not in substantial compliance with the original/formally revised plan
Highly Unsatisfactory (HU)	Implementation of none of the components is in substantial compliance with the original/formally revised plan.

**Risk rating.** It should access the overall risk of factors internal or external to the project which may affect implementation or prospects for achieving project objectives. Risk of projects should be rated on the following scale:

High Risk (H)	There is a probability of greater than <b>75%</b> that assumptions may fail to hold or materialize, and/or the project may face high risks.
Substantial Risk (S)	There is a probability of between 51% and 75% that assumptions may fail to hold or materialize, and/or the project may face substantial risks
Moderate Risk (M)	There is a probability of between <b>26%</b> and <b>50%</b> that assumptions may fail to hold or materialize, and/or the project may face only moderate risk.
Low Risk (L)	There is a probability of up to 25% that assumptions may fail to hold or materialize, and/or the project may face only low risks.

Issue	Please select your score drop down menu	from	Scoring Criteria	Comment	Next Steps
Biosafety Policy					
1) Has a biosafety policy been developed and is it being fully implemented?	4		<ul> <li>0: A stand alone biosafety policy does not exist</li> <li>1: A stand alone biosafety policy has been produced</li> <li>2: A stand alone biosafety policy has been produced and has been formally adopted by the government</li> <li>3: A legally approved biosafety strategy has been incorporated into broader sectoral policies (e.g. agriculture, biotechnology, science and technology, health, etc) and is being enforced</li> <li>4: A biosafety policy is implemented through a multiyear Action Plan that involves more than one sector of Government or society.</li> </ul>	Comment: National Policy on Biosafety has been proposed in 2005 and formally adopted by Government	Next Steps: The implementation of National Policy on Biosafety will be facilitated through the National Biosafety Master Plan, , which was developed through the project.
Biosafety Regulatory Regime					

# Annex 2. – Tracking tools updated during the TE

2) Has a regulatory regime been developed and does it have full legal force?	2	<ul> <li>0: A regulatory regime has not been developed</li> <li>1: Interim measures for biosafety decision making, including some modification of existing regulations, have been put in place.</li> <li>2: A regulatory regime has been developed and adopted but does not yet have full legal force</li> <li>3: The regulatory regime has full legal force, is operational and linked to the administrative system -i.e. used for decisions</li> <li>4: The regulatory regime covers all the types of LMOs and transboundary movements referred to in the Cartagena Protocol, including agreements with Non-Parties</li> </ul>	Comment: The Food (Control of Import, Labelling and Sale of GM Foods) Regulation, 2006 are being used presently to regulate GM food items. The regulatory committees for biosafety related issues and for conducting risk assessments viz., National Coordination Committee on Biosafety, Sectoral Competent Authorities exist. The institutions carrying out modern biotechnology research are yet to inform the National Competent Authority on their Institutional Biosafety Committees. The national biosafety framework has been prepared and adopted in 2005. The draft Biosafety Act has been prepared and is presently with legal draftsmen department.	Next Steps: CEA to set up the advisory committee as per draft Biosafety Act CEA to facilitate public awareness raising and advocacy for the enactment of Biosafety Act and preparing biosafety regulations.
Administrative System				

## 2023 Project Implementation Report

<i>3) Is an administrative system in place and fully operational?</i>	1	<ul> <li>0: Focal Points and National Competent Authorities not appointed nor available via BCH</li> <li>1: All Focal Points and National Competent Authorities appointed, and roles &amp; responsibilities stated and available on BCH</li> <li>2: Procedures for handling requests have been designed, legally adopted, and made available to the public.</li> <li>3: Requests have been received, processed, and decisions communicated to the BCH. Appeal procedures designed and operational.</li> <li>4: Administrative system fully supported by national budget allocation or alternative (non- donor) system of revenue generation</li> </ul>	Comment: The administrative and operational procedures for a fully functional biosafety management system have been put in place. However, the Biosafety Act is not enacted yet. Once the Biosafety Act is enacted, the established biosafety administrative system will be legally recognized	Next Steps: CEA to prepare a manual on biosafety administration and operational procedures for assisting in the functioning of the focal points and national competent authorities ; Trained manpower
Risk Assessment and Decision-making				

4) Are risk assessment procedures employed and contributing to decision-making?	3	<ul> <li>0: No risk assessment is applied to LMOs</li> <li>1: Sectoral risk assessment dossiers are required to accompany LMO requests</li> <li>2: Risk assessment/risk management system involves case-by-case analyses by scientific experts that provide recommendations to decision-making bodies. Composition and responsibilities of the decision-making bodies clearly stated and publicized.</li> <li>3: Decisions on LMOs are integrated across sectors (e.g. take into account risks to human health)</li> <li>4: Decision-making system allows for socio-economic considerations and for review of decisions based on new evidence</li> </ul>	Comment: There exist Sectoral Competent Authorities for conduct of risk assessment. However application for risk assessment of LMO have not been received so far; Guidelines for the conduct of safety assessment of various types of LMOs and formats for risk assessment and for communicating decision are existing.	Next Steps: The government of Sri Lanka to leverage the strengthened institutional and human capacities, enabled by the tools developed through the project, to implement the framework as the needs arise.
Monitoring				

<i>5) Does an operational follow-up and monitoring system exist?</i>	2	<ul> <li>0: No system for follow-up and monitoring exists</li> <li>1: Institutional and human capacity in place to follow-up and monitor, including Risk Management for field-trials and post-release</li> <li>2: Compliance mechanisms for Risk Management established</li> <li>3: Liability and redress mechanisms in place</li> <li>4: Decisions, risk management plans, and reports on compliance and liability have been posted to the BCH</li> </ul>	Comment: The system is consisting of skilled personnel of regulatory and enforcement authorities, equipped laboratories for detection and identification of GMOs, guidelines/ manuals for regulatory functions, risk assessment, monitoring and inspection of activities related to GMOs.	Next Steps: NCA to conduct regular inspection of possible GM products in the market.
Public awareness, education and participation awareness				

6) Is information on LMOs made available to public?	4	<ul> <li>0: Little or no official information on LMOs available to the general public</li> <li>1: Information on LMOs generally available in at least one national language</li> <li>2: Information on LMOs generally available in at least one national language and is kept updated</li> <li>3: Information on LMOs is used for awareness-raising campaigns</li> <li>4: Survey results on levels of public awareness available</li> </ul>	Comment: A trilingual (English/ Sinhalese/Tamil) website, the <u>Sri Lanka BCH</u> (biosafetyclearinghouse.net) was established. This website contains several biosafety awareness materials (publications and audio/visual) and biosafety newsletters. Several awareness workshops were conducted to disseminate information on GMOs/LMOs and biosafety.	Next Steps: Continue to make the public aware about GMOs/LMOs and biosafety through the dissemination of the developed materials, conducting more workshops in the future and continuing the publication of the biosafety newsletter
Education				

## 2023 Project Implementation Report

7) Has coursework and training on biosafety been integrated into higher education?	2	<ul> <li>0: Modern biotechnology and biosafety available in the formal (i.e. technical, academic, extramural) education system.</li> <li>1: Basic modern biotechnology and biosafety information included in the curricula at technical and college levels.</li> <li>2: Dedicated short-term courses on biosafety available for government staff at technical schools and higher education institutions.</li> <li>3: National association for biosafety established</li> <li>4: Undergraduate and graduate degree programs offering concentrations and/or degree programs on modern biotechnology, including biosafety</li> </ul>	Comment: Topics related to modern biotechnology is a part of both the GCE (Ordinary Level) and the GCE (Advanced level) curricula. Course materials on biosafety were developed for secondary level education and handed over to the National Institute of Education (NIE). Three short courses on biosafety were developed for tertiary level education.	Next Steps: Integrate biosafety (key issues) in curriculum at various levels of education Integrate biosafety during the next round of revision of the O/level and A/level syllabi by NIE National universities to offer the 3 short courses and advertise them for wider publicity.
raiucipauoli				

<i>8) Has the public been engaged in LMO decision- making?</i>	2	0: Little or no direct involvement of public in LMO decision-making 1: Access to information includes other mechanisms in addition to the BCH (i.e. radio and television programs, newspapers columns, blogs, etc.). 2: Mechanism for public involvement in LMO decision-making established 3: Evidence of level of public involvement in LMO decision-making available via BCH or other means 4: Regular open consultation meetings held on biosafety	Comment: So far no decision have been taken	Next Steps: Implement the communication strategy, which foresees; [i] Development of a roster of 20 to 25 science communicators per year; [ii] Development of more awareness materials suitable for target audience groups; [iii] Setting up of a public awareness committee comprising the trained science communicators for content development and outreach programmes; [iv] Conducting outreach programmes and events targeting specific target audience group at least two programmes per year [v] Consultations and focus group meetings on risk communication
	20	TOTAL SCORE		
	32	TOTAL POSSIBLE		

## Annex 3. – Technical documents for strengthening the regulatory framework

- Draft manual on administrative and operational procedure for handling of genetically modified organisms (GMOs)
- Draft Biosafety Regulations
- Guidelines for safe use of GMOs in the lab
- Guidelines for the environmental risk assessment of GM plants
- Guidelines for conduct of confined field trials of GM plants
- Guidelines for the safety assessment foods derived from GM plants
- Guidelines for testing of genetically modified mosquitoes
- Guidelines for institutional biosafety committees
- Risk analysis framework

## Annex 4. – Biosafety Awareness events that were organized

[i] Media Conference

[ii] Training of Trainers of Biosafety resource persons

[iii] Awareness event for school children of 10 schools from Kandy

[iv] Awareness event for undergraduates from University of Peradeniya

[v] Awareness event for higher ranking officers at the Ministry of Health (MoH)

[vi] Awareness event for Public Health Officers and other field officers at MoH

[vii] Awareness event for officers at the National Focal Point for Biosafety (Ministry of Environment)

[viii] Awareness event for undergraduates from University of Colombo and University of Visual Arts

[ix] Workshop to Prepare short-stories on Biosafety (posters and drama).

[x] Six live radio discussions under various topics of biosafety were held in .....

[xi] Awareness event for Officers at the Central Environmental Authority (National Competent Authroity)

[xii] Webinar for University of Ruhuna

[xiii] Online ToT of Biosafety resource persons

[xiv] Webinar for Wayamba University

[xv] Webinar for South Eastern University

[xvi] Webinar for University of Moratuwa

[xvii] Webinar for Rajarata University

[xviii] Webinar for Hillwood College, Kandy

[xix] Webinar for University of Sri Jayawardenapura

[xx] Webinar for NCA and SCAs

[xxi] Webinar for Environmental NGOs

[xxii] Webinar for University of Jaffna

- [xxiii] Webinar for private sector involved in biotechnology and agriculture
- [xxiv] In-person workshop at the South Eastern University for undergraduate and post-graduate students
- [xxv] In-person workshop at the South Eastern University for School teachers from the Oluvil area.
- [xxvi] Webinar for Agriculture Extension Officers and Farmers
- [xxvii] Webinar for Higher-ranking officers at the Department of Agriculture