

GEF - PROJECT IMPLEMENTATION REPORT (PIR)

Document Generated by: GEF Coordination Office CO
At: 2024-08-28 09:32:46

Table of contents

1 PROJECT IDENTIFICATION	3
1.1 Project Details	3
1.2 Project Description	4
1.3 Project Contacts.....	4
2 Overview of Project Status.....	6
2.1 UNEP PoW & UN.....	6
2.2. GEF Core and Sub Indicators	7
2.3. Implementation Status and Risks	7
2.4 Co Finance	8
2.5. Stakeholder	8
2.6. Gender	10
2.7. ESSM	10
2.8. KM/Learning.....	11
2.9. Stories	12
3 Performance.....	13
3.1 Rating of progress towards achieving the project outcomes	13
3.2 Rating of progress implementation towards delivery of outputs (Implementation Progress)	15
4 Risks.....	25
4.1 Table A. Project management Risk	25
4.2 Table B. Risk-log.....	25
4.3 Table C. Outstanding Moderate, Significant, and High risks.....	29
5 Amendment - GeoSpatial.....	33
5.1 Table A: Listing of all Minor Amendment (TM).....	33
5.2 Table B: History of project revisions and/or extensions (TM)	33

UNEP GEF PIR Fiscal Year 2024
Reporting from 1 July 2023 to 30 June 2024

1 PROJECT IDENTIFICATION

1.1 Project Details

GEF ID: 10580	Umoja WBS: SB-018764
SMA IPMR ID: 124460	Grant ID: S1-32GFL-000674
Project Short Title: PNG Land Restoration and NCA	
Project Title: Integrated land management, restoration of degraded landscapes and natural capital assessment in the mountains of Papua New Guinea	
Duration months planned:	60
Duration months age:	12
Project Type:	Full Sized Project (FSP)
Parent Programme if child project:	
Project Scope:	National
Region:	Asia Pacific
Countries:	Papua New Guinea
GEF Focal Area(s):	Biodiversity, Land Degradation
GEF financing amount:	\$ 3,512,100.00
Co-financing amount:	\$ 19,599,991.00
Date of CEO Endorsement/Approval:	2022-05-19
UNEP Project Approval Date:	2023-06-09
Start of Implementation (PCA entering into force):	2023-06-14
Date of Inception Workshop, if available:	2024-08-21
Date of First Disbursement:	2023-09-27
Total disbursement as of 30 June 2024:	\$ 351,210.00
Total expenditure as of 30 June:	\$ 23,014.00
Midterm undertaken?:	No
Actual Mid-Term Date, if taken:	

Expected Mid-Term Date, if not taken:	2026-08-31
Completion Date Planned - Original PCA:	2027-09-01
Completion Date Revised - Current PCA:	
Expected Terminal Evaluation Date:	2027-06-30
Expected Financial Closure Date:	2027-09-01

1.2 Project Description

The Highlands of Papua New Guinea (PNG) are among the last frontiers, where conservation of globally invaluable biodiversity assets can be achieved in a very cost-effective manner and where the achievement of LDN could curb high rates of land degradation. Yet, land degradation and biodiversity loss continue unabated due to the lack of integrated landscape management, which in turn is a result of weak governance, continued land use conflicts, the lack of understanding of the true costs incurred by land degradation and biodiversity loss, and the limited knowledge and capacities on sustainable land and forest management. Papua New Guinea has not been able to overcome these barriers that prevent Sustainable Land Management (SLM) and biodiversity conservation following an integrated landscape approach and is thus in need of external support to achieve systemic change to align on a long-term sustainable land use trajectory towards Land Degradation Neutrality (LDN). The Project was formulated following guidance provided by the UNCCD Global Mechanism for LDN transformative projects and programmes that encourage innovation, ensure consistency and completeness in the implementation of LDN and support positive transformation by (i) ensuring transformation and innovation; (ii) promoting responsible and inclusive governance; (iii) ensuring sustainability; and (iv) leveraging innovative finance, especially from the private sector.

1.3 Project Contacts

Division(s) Implementing the project	Ecosystems Division
Name of co-implementing Agency	
Executing Agency (ies)	Conservation and Environment Protection Authority (CEPA), Government of Papua New Guinea
names of Other Project Partners	Department of Lands and Physical Planning, Department for Community Development and Religion, Department of National Planning and Monitoring, Department of Agriculture and Livestock, Santos Ltd, National Agricultural Research Institute, Papua New Guinea Forest Authority.
UNEP Portfolio Manager(s)	Johan Robinson
UNEP Task Manager(s)	Sang Jin Lee
UNEP Budget/Finance Officer	Paul Vrontamitis
UNEP Support Assistants	Peerayot Sidonrusmee
Manager/Representative	Joel S. Mebe
Project Manager	Andiki Ikila and Paulus Mosby

Finance Manager	Marry Mosses
Communications Lead, if relevant	Leslie Kilaorou

2 Overview of Project Status

2.1 UNEP PoW & UN

UNEP Current Subprogramme(s):	Thematic: Nature action subprogramme
UNEP previous Subprogramme(s):	Healthy and Productive Ecosystems
PoW Indicator(s):	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Nature: (iii) Number of countries and national, regional and subnational authorities and entities that incorporate, with UNEP support, biodiversity and ecosystem-based approaches into development and sectoral plans, policies and processes for the sustainable management and/or restoration of terrestrial, freshwater and marine areas • Nature: (iv) Increase in territory of land- and seascapes that is under improved ecosystem conservation and restoration • Nature: (vi) Positive shift in the private sector in support of biodiversity and ecosystem approaches
UNSDCF/UNDAF linkages	This Project will contribute to implementation of the UN Development Assistance Framework for Papua New Guinea 2018-2022. The UNDAF for PNG identifies four Outcomes, that include “Planet: Sustainable management of natural resources, biodiversity conservation, strengthened climate & disaster resilience”. The Project is a direct contribution to the achievement of this Outcome, particularly in terms of its contributions towards: i) Sub-Outcome 3.1: “By 2022, Papua New Guinea has strengthened legislative and policy frameworks with institutional support for natural resources management, including climate change mitigation, adaptation and disaster risk reduction”; Sub-Outcome 3.2: “By 2022, Papua New Guinea has enhanced capacity to address climate and disaster risks, deliver clean and affordable energy, improve management and conservation of forest and marine ecosystems”; and Sub-Outcome 3.3: “By 2022, people, particularly marginalized and vulnerable, are empowered to manage climatic risks, develop community resilience and generate development opportunities from protection of land, forests and marine resources”
Link to relevant SDG Goals	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Goal 2: End hunger, achieve food security and improved nutrition and promote sustainable agriculture • Goal 13: Take urgent action to combat climate change and its impacts • Goal 15: Protect, restore and promote sustainable use of terrestrial ecosystems, sustainably manage forests, combat desertification, and halt and reverse land degradation and halt biodiversity loss
Link to relevant SDG Targets:	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 2.3 By 2030, double the agricultural productivity and incomes of small-scale food producers, in particular women, indigenous peoples, family farmers, pastoralists and fishers, including through secure and equal access to land, other productive resources and inputs, knowledge, financial services, markets and opportunities for value addition and non-farm employment • 13.b Promote mechanisms for raising capacity for effective climate change-related planning and management in least developed countries and small island developing States, including focusing on women, youth, and local and marginalized communities • 15.1 By 2020, ensure the conservation, restoration and sustainable use of terrestrial and inland freshwater ecosystems and their services, in particular forests, wetlands, mountains and drylands, in line with obligations under international agreements

2.2. GEF Core and Sub Indicators

GEF core or sub indicators targeted by the project as defined at CEO Endorsement/Approval, as well as results

Indicators	Targets - Expected Value			Materialized to date
	Mid-term	End-of-project	Total Target	
3- Area of land under restoration	N/A	39,667		Progress will be reported in next reporting period
4- Area of landscapes under improved practices (excluding protected areas)	N/A	44,742		Progress will be reported in next reporting period
6- Greenhouse gas emissions mitigated	N/A	7,024,789		Progress will be reported in next reporting period
11- People benefitting from GEF-financed investments	N/A	Total: 22,000 Female: 12,000 Male: 10,000		Progress will be reported in next reporting period

Implementation Status 2023: 1st PIR

2.3. Implementation Status and Risks

	PIR#	Rating towards outcomes (section 3.1)	Rating towards outputs (section 3.2)	Risk rating (section 4.2)
FY 2024	1st PIR	MS	MS	M
FY 2023				
FY 2022				
FY 2021				
FY 2020				
FY 2019				
FY 2018				
FY 2017				
FY 2016				
FY 2015				

Summary of status

The GEF Project "Integrated land management, restoration of degraded landscapes and natural capital assessment in the mountains of Papua New Guinea " has been delayed about a year due to unforeseen challenges faced by the EA. As of June 2024, an inception workshop as well as the 1st Project Steering committee (PSC) meeting

have not been organized but expected to be started in Q3 2024 with the engagement of all Project Management Unit (PMU) staff as well as key stakeholders to be engaged in the project. The last quarter of this year will be dedicated to the establishment of partnerships with relevant stakeholders as outlined in Project Document (PD). Meetings will also be held to validate baseline information and methodological aspects of the project's execution under the guidance of UNEP as IA. Again, an official launch of project will be done at the Inception Workshop followed by the 1st PSC meeting and thereafter the Inception report and minutes of meeting will be circulated. The meetings are expected to be organized to discuss technical matters for effective project implementation, review inception report, finalize MOU/LOA with arrangements with key implementing partners and set the stage for project activities. Generally in terms of progress: The PMU has been fully operationalized. Training and adaptive management will be an integral part of the Chief Technical Advisor (CTA) role in building capacity. Regular highly cooperative and productive engagement with project partners will be maintained by PMU. Inception report will be finalized with validation and modification where necessary of the following documents: Logical Framework, Implementation arrangements, Procurement plan, Annual Project Budget. Together with the PD, the TOR for consultants will be drafted.

2.4 Co Finance

Planned Co-finance:	\$ 19,599,991
Actual to date:	
Progress	<p>Justify progress in terms of materialization of expected co-finance. State any relevant challenges:</p> <p>The co-financing contribution is provided in-kind by the executing agency (EA) which is Environment Conservation and Protection Authority (CEPA) to help facilitate recruitment of staff, stationaries support and electricity supply to the project management unit team since the project has not yet started and currently in an initial stage of implementation.</p>

2.5. Stakeholder

Date of project steering committee meeting	2024-08-22
Stakeholder engagement (will be uploaded to GEF Portal)	<p>Since the project is in its orientation stage and implementation of project is yet to be done, there is no new project partners engaged as yet. However, there is an active process of engagement of project stakeholders currently underway through the preparation of inception workshop and project steering committee meetings which will be held on the mentioned date above. Also throughout the process of project implementation, the relevant stakeholders will be engaged following the UNEP guidelines provided in the project document with help of CTA and the EA (CEPA).</p> <p>In addition, the relevant stakeholders has been established during the PPG phase and are continuing to working with them. They will be more engaged during our Inception Workshop in 21 August 2024 and afterwards. To date, there is continues dialogue established to</p>

	<p>strengthen the partnership.</p> <p>For guidance purposes, the "Stakeholder engagement will follow the stakeholder engagement plan as highlighted in ProDoc- in the appendix 19. The Project's key stakeholders will include Government of PNG organizations, with their respective administrative units at the national, provincial, and district levels; local communities, and local community organizations, including women's groups and youth groups; landowners; the private sector; Non-Government and Civil Society Organizations; academic organizations; and international development partners and environmental organizations, as presented in the detailed stakeholder analysis available in Appendix 19 (Stakeholder Engagement Plan) of GEF 7 (10580) UNEP Project Document .</p>
--	---

2.6. Gender

Does the project have a gender action plan?	Yes
Gender mainstreaming (will be uploaded to GEF Portal):	<p>The Gender Action Plan will be validated during the Inception phase. In the interim, gender consideration and gender equality is being promoted in all scheduled meetings and consultations. The project also utilizes a Gender friendly calendar that prioritizes the schedule of women. The membership of all other committees also give special considerations to women where possible. During inception workshop and PSC meetings, the gender inclusivity will be considered with participation of both women and men. Gender mainstreaming will continue to be a priority throughout project implementation with a view of promoting equality of men and women combatting discrimination.</p> <p>To execute the gender main stream component of the project, the project will be implemented following the Gender Analysis, Strategy and Action Plan as indicated in the Appendix 21 of GEF 7 (10580) UNEP Project Document.</p>

2.7. ESSM

Moderate/High risk projects (in terms of Environmental and social safeguards)	<p>Was the project classified as moderate/high risk CEO Endorsement/Approval Stage?</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>If yes, what specific safeguard risks were identified in the SRIF/ESERN?</p> <p>1. Incompatible capacity of CEPA in financial management and accountability and lack of physical presence in the target provinces necessary to execute the Project 2. Targeted local communities do not buy into the Project due to lack of perceived short-term benefit. 3. Contentious land tenure issues prevent, delay, or allow incomplete implementation of interventions, including land use planning, SLM and SFM interventions, etc. 4. Tribal conflicts in the project area prevent effective and efficient project implementation 5. Physical distance to PMU and no presence of CEPA in the targeted provinces prevents effective project implementation. 6. Conflict of interest between land restoration and the mining, oil palm sectors and other development initiatives. 7. COVID-19 impacts may lead to restricted travel of consultants and may hamper project implementation 8. COVID-19 economic impacts on PNG lead to diminished contribution of cofinancing commitments 9. Parliament does not pass legislation prepared by Project in a timely manner 10. Political interest diverts focus of project activities. 11. Climate and disaster risks threaten the sustainability of project interventions 12. Women do not effectively take part in project related decision making 13. Technical and institutional capacity and the information base are insufficient to increase resilience and to implement adaptation 14. Climate sensitivity has not been adequately addressed</p>
New social and/or environmental risks	<p>Have any new social and/or environmental risks been identified during the reporting period?</p> <p>No</p>

	<p>If yes, describe the new risks or changes?</p> <p>N/A</p>
<p>Complaints and grievances related to social and/or environmental impacts</p>	<p>Has the project received complaints related to social and/or environmental impacts (actual or potential) during the reporting period?</p> <p>No</p> <p>If yes, please describe the complaint(s) or grievance(s) in detail, including the status, significance, who was involved and what actions were taken?</p> <p>At this stage, we cannot make any assessment on the risk as the project is in its initial stage of implementation with tentative plan of action set to conduct the 1st PSC meeting on the 22nd of August, 2024.</p>
<p>Environmental and social safeguards management</p>	<p>Since the project is yet to be implemented and in its inception/orientation phase, the environmental and social safe guard management will be executed with the proper guidance and help from the relevant government agency (PNG Community Development and Religion Department) who will be the key implementing partner for gender mainstreaming activities of the project.</p>

2.8. KM/Learning

<p>Knowledge activities and products</p>	<p>Since the project implementation has not commenced yet, the project has developed a strategy for communications, education and awareness training of the public and development of education materials.</p> <p>As per the GEF 7 (10580) UNEP ProDoc- the project's overall knowledge management strategy is built on the GEF's Knowledge Management Approach. The results of KM activities will be explicitly measured through the Project's results framework (refer to indicator 3.1.1: Increase in use of capacities in practically applying SLM, SFM and the value of biodiversity in land use among targeted beneficiaries, disaggregated by sex as well as the M&E plan (Annual lessons learnt reports; Project monitoring database).</p> <p>The KM activities are contained in Outcome 3 (3.1.) Project results disseminated enabling scaling up and supporting land use planning, ecosystem good and services, and LDN" and particularly Output 3.1.2 "Output 3.1.2. Stakeholders effectively absorb knowledge and best practices emerging from the project to implement SLM and SFM".</p> <p>To utilize the lessons learnt from the PPG phase of project at this stage of implementation, the Executing Agency has so far ensured to establish the project management team by progressive recruitment of PMU Staff. The PMU team is set and now working inline with project framework as per GEF 7 ProDoc to firstly conduct inception workshop and 1st PSC meetings within a month of the project and will follow the framework to implement rest of the activities as laid out.</p>
---	---

Main learning during the period	Inception workshop will be completed at the end of August 2024 and now we are in the early phase of implementation therefore no significant learnings have been realized from project outputs. A more substantial report of this will be reflected in the next reporting period.

2.9. Stories

Stories to be shared	<p>To date, the preparation is in progress for inception workshop and PSC meetings to be conducted and followed by site inspection to validate the baseline survey data as the project staff were recently engaged and introduced to the working environment, hence, the tentative planned tasks were developed as per project document.</p> <p>The PIR for third and final quarter periods for this year will see actual implementation progress for some of the deliverables/outcomes as per laid out in the implementation framework.</p>
-----------------------------	--

3 Performance

3.1 Rating of progress towards achieving the project outcomes

Project Objective and Outcomes	Indicator	Baseline level	Mid-Term Target or Milestones	End of Project Target	Progress as of current period (numeric, percentage, or binary entry only)	Summary by the EA of attainment of the indicator & target as of 30 June	Progress rating
To achieve biodiversity conservation and land degradation neutrality in the Southern Highlands and Hela Provinces of Papua New Guinea through integrated landscape management and natural capital assessment	1. Contribution to national LDN targets. 1. a. Percentage of national SLM targets	N/A	10 %	25 %	0 %	The project is still in inception phase and yet to be implemented	MS
	1.1.b. Percentage of national rehabilitation target met	N/A	20 %	50 %	0 %	Project implementation yet to be done	MS
	2: Area of degraded agricultural land restored	0 ha	15, 000 ha	39, 667 ha	0 %	Project implementation phase yet to be approached	MS
	3. Area of landscapes under improved practices:3.a. Area of landscapes under improved management to benefit biodiversity.	0 ha	10 000 ha	23, 214 ha	0 %	Project implementation is yet to be done.	MS
	3.b. Area of landscapes under sustainable land management production systems	0 ha	8, 500 ha	21, 528 ha	0 %	Project implementation phase is yet to be approached	MS
	4. Carbon sequestration benefits attributed to the project	0 tCO ₂ e	- 2, 000, 000 tCO ₂ e	- 7, 024, 789 tCO ₂ e	0 tCO ₂ e	Project is not yet implemented	MS
	5. Number of direct project beneficiaries disaggregated by sex	0	10, 000 (5, 500 females)	22 000 (12, 000 females)	0	Project implementation phase yet to be approached	MS
Outcome 1.1. Improved policies and legal framework for integration of natural capital valuation into land use planning adopted and implemented at the national level to support the flow of ecosystem	1.1.1. Proportion of adopted policy, legal and regulatory instruments on land use planning that support an enabling environment for gender responsive SLM, SFM and NCA.	TBD	60 %	70 %	0 %	Yet to be validated during project implementation phase	MS

Project Objective and Outcomes	Indicator	Baseline level	Mid-Term Target or Milestones	End of Project Target	Progress as of current period (numeric, percentage, or binary entry only)	Summary by the EA of attainment of the indicator & target as of 30 June	Progress rating
services and LDN.	1.1.2 Number of land use plans integrating environment assessment processes that apply natural capital valuation	0	2	6	0	Project implementation phase yet to be approached.	MS
	1.1.3. Ratio of compliance with land use plan and integrated SEA & EIA provisions. 1.1.3.a. Compliance with stipulated land use as mandated by the land use plan, and 1.1.3.b. Compliance with SEA & EIA linked to the land use plan.	0 %	40 %	80 %	0 %	Project implementation phase not yet approached	MS
Outcome 2.1 Mountain landscapes in the Southern Highlands and Hela Provinces managed sustainably by linking valuation of natural capital, land use planning and SLM/SFM with development policy and financial incentives to reduce degradation, move towards LDN and restore ecosystem services.	2.1.1 Number of landowners and farmers disaggregated by sex reporting the adoption of SFM/SLM technologies promoted by the project	0	7, 500 (4, 000 women)	18, 000 (10, 000 women)	0 %	Project implementation phase yet to be approached	MS
	2.1.2. Number of women reporting a net income rise of at least 10 % as a result adopting the Project's SLM/SFM technologies, or value chains.	0	500 women	2 000 women	0	Project activity yet to be implemented	MS
	2.1.3. Hectares of degraded agricultural land brought under SLM practices	0	15, 000 ha	39, 667 ha	0 %	Relevant project activity is yet to be implemented	MS
	2.1.4. Hectares of degraded land brought under agroforestry practices	0	8, 000 ha	21, 528 ha	0 %	Project activity is yet to be implemented during implementation phase	MS
	2.1.5. Hectares of degraded forest land brought under SFM practices	0	10, 000 ha	23, 214 ha	0 %	Project yet to be implemented	MS
	2.1.6. Volume and outreach of	0	150, 000	500, 000	0	Project activity is yet to be	MS

Project Objective and Outcomes	Indicator	Baseline level	Mid-Term Target or Milestones	End of Project Target	Progress as of current period (numeric, percentage, or binary entry only)	Summary by the EA of attainment of the indicator & target as of 30 June	Progress rating
	sustainable financing mechanisms for LDN generated by the project. 2.1.6.a. US\$ available for sustainable financing for LDN					implemented	
	2.1.6.b. Number of private individuals and SMEs accessing sustainable financing for LDN	0	300	1,000	0%	Project activity yet to be implemented	MS
Outcome 3.1. Project Results disseminated enabling scaling up and supporting land use planning, ecosystem goods and services, and LDN	3.1.1. Increase in use of capacities in practically applying SLM, SFM, and the value of biodiversity in land use among targeted beneficiaries, disaggregated by sex	0	10%	20%	0%	Project implementation phase yet to be approached	MS
	3.1.2 Number of businesses integrating NCA in business planning and operations as a result of project intervention, disaggregated by sex of owner.	0	75 businesses	200 businesses (120 owned by women)	0%	Project activity yet to be implemented and data validated during implementation phase.	MS
	3.1.2 Number of businesses integrating NCA in business planning and operations as a result of project intervention, disaggregated by sex of owner.	0	75 businesses	200 businesses (120 owned by women)	0%	Project activity yet to be implemented and data validated during implementation phase.	MS

3.2 Rating of progress implementation towards delivery of outputs (Implementation Progress)

Component	Output/Activity	Expected completion date	Implementation status as of previous reporting period (%)	Implementation status as of current reporting period (%)	Progress rating justification, description of challenges faced and explanations for any delay	Progress Rating
1 Enabling	Output 1.1.1. A review of policies, legislation and procedures to	2026-09-31	0%	20%	Project still in initial stages thus	MS

Component	Output/Activity	Expected completion date	Implementation status as of previous reporting period (%)	Implementation status as of current reporting period (%)	Progress rating justification, description of challenges faced and explanations for any delay	Progress Rating
environment to support the flow of ecosystem goods and services and achieve land degradation neutrality (LDN)	integrate natural capital values and sustainable land management principles into land use planning is available to stakeholders				progress has been slow. Implementation of year 1 activities is currently underway. More details will be outlined in the next PIR.	
	Activity 1.1.1.1. Validate the PPG review of the policy, legal and regulatory framework, including identified policy, legal and regulatory gaps.	2024-11-20	0 %	50 %	Project documents containing information on PPG phase activities are currently under review while also preparing for inception workshop and 1st PSC which are the dates which the relevant activity should be implemented.	MS
	Activity 1.1.1.2. Prepare integrated and sectoral policies and strategies to integrate the NCA approach, and SLM and SFM principles into land use planning and management.	2025-06-31	0 %	0 %	The relevant project activity is yet to be implemented after the project inception phase.	MS
	Activity 1.1.1.3. Prepare parliament bills to integrate NCA into land use planning	2025-11-20	0 %	0 %	Draft project plan set in place and relevant project activity yet to be implemented.	MS
	Activity 1.1.1.4. Prepare regulations defining the implementation of relevant acts of parliament	2026-09-31	0 %	0 %	The relevant project activity is yet to be implemented.	MS
	Output 1.1.2. Stakeholders can access environmental assessment processes to feed into land use planning.	2028-11-20	0 %	0 %	Relevant project activity is yet to be implemented starting final quarter period of project time frame.	MS
	Activity 1.1.2.1. Develop SEA process	2025-03-31	0 %	0 %	Project activity yet to be implemented.	MS
	Activity 1.1.2.2. Revise the existing EIA process	2025-11-20	0 %	0 %	Project activity is yet to be implemented.	MS
	Activity 1.1.2.3. Develop the NCA process to be piloted by the Project	2025-09-20	0 %	0 %	Project activity yet to be implemented after the inception phase.	MS
	Activity 1.1.2.4. Develop options to integrate environmental assessment processes (EIA, SEA, NCA) into land use planning	2027-11-20	0 %	0 %	Project activity yet to be implemented.	MS
Activity 1.1.2.5. Integrated land use planning including environmental assessment processes into provincial and district development planning	2028-11-20	0 %	0 %	Project activity is yet to be implemented.	MS	

Component	Output/Activity	Expected completion date	Implementation status as of previous reporting period (%)	Implementation status as of current reporting period (%)	Progress rating justification, description of challenges faced and explanations for any delay	Progress Rating
	Output 1.1.3. Conservation and Environment Protection Authority and other relevant central and provincial government agencies benefit from gender-responsive capacity and know how development for environmental assessment, compliance monitoring and enforcement	2027-11-20	0 %	0 %	Project activity yet to be implemented.	
	Activity 1.1.3.1. Update the PPG capacity need assessment and prepare a capacity development strategy and plan	2024-11-20	0 %	0 %	Project activity implementation yet to be done.	MS
	Activity 1.1.3.1. Update the PPG capacity need assessment and prepare a capacity development strategy and plan	2024-11-20	0 %	0 %	Project activity implementation yet to be done.	MS
	Activity 1.1.3.2. Develop and impart trainings on cross-sectoral land use planning, environmental assessment, compliance monitoring, and enforcement procedures	2026-11-20	0 %	0 %	Relevant project activity implementation is yet to be done.	MS
	Activity 1.1.3.3. Integrate gender responsive trainings on land use planning, environmental assessment, SLM and SFM into the curricular of Agriculture and Forestry Training Institutions	2027-11-20	0 %	0 %	Relevant project activity is yet to be implemented.	MS
	Output 1.1.4. Natural capital valuation reports with scenario analyses showing the value of biodiversity and its ecosystem goods and services in mountain landscapes are available to affected stakeholders.	2026-06-20	0 %	0 %	Relevant Project activity yet to be implemented.	MS
	Activity 1.1.4.1. Conduct natural capital assessment for priority landscapes across the Southern Highlands and Hela Provinces.	2025-11-20	0 %	0 %	Project activity yet to be implemented.	MS
	Activity 1.1.4.2. Link Natural Capital Assessment with economic accounting	2026-06-31	0 %	0 %	Relevant project activity yet to be implemented.	MS
	Output 1.1.5. Affected stakeholders in mountain landscapes benefit from comprehensive land-use plans integrating the results of natural capital valuation with scenario analyses for different land-use options, and SLM and SFM principles.	2026-11-20	0 %	0 %	The project activity implementation is yet to be done.	MS
	Output 1.1.5. Affected stakeholders in mountain landscapes benefit from comprehensive land-use plans integrating the results of natural capital valuation with scenario analyses for different land-use options, and SLM and SFM principles.	2026-11-20	0 %	0 %	The project activity implementation is yet to be done.	MS
	Activity 1.1.5.1. Establish Hela and Southern Highlands Provincial	2024-11-20	0 %	0 %	The relevant project activity	MS

Component	Output/Activity	Expected completion date	Implementation status as of previous reporting period (%)	Implementation status as of current reporting period (%)	Progress rating justification, description of challenges faced and explanations for any delay	Progress Rating
	Land Use Boards and cross sectoral district land use planning committees.				implementation is to be done.	
	Activity 1.1.5.2. Collect biophysical and socioeconomic data and conduct participatory land use scenario planning based on NCA data.	2026-06-31	0 %	0 %	Relevant project activity implementation is yet to be done.	MS
	Activity 1.1.5.3. Prepare cost benefit analysis as part of scenario planning demonstrating scenario dependent economic gains and losses to inform land use planning.	2026-06-31	0 %	0 %	Relevant project activity is yet to be implemented.	MS
	Activity 1.1.5.4. Prepare and validate land use plans.	2026-11-20	0 %	0 %	Relevant project activity is yet to be implemented.	MS
	Activity 1.1.5.5. Prepare provincial forest plans for Hela and Southern Highlands Provinces.	2026-11-20	0 %	0 %	Project activity is yet to be implemented.	MS
	Output 1.1.6. A stronger system for compliance monitoring and enforcement of multi-stakeholder land use planning and management system is available to relevant authorities and provincial government	2025-11-20	0 %	0 %	Relevant project activity is yet to be implemented.	MS
	Activity 1.1.6.1. Design cross-sectoral compliance monitoring and enforcement system.	2025-06-31	0 %	0 %	Relevant project activity is yet to be implemented.	MS
	Activity 1.1.6.2. Develop and implement cross sectoral compliance monitoring and enforcement system.	2028-11-20	0 %	0 %	Relevant project activity is yet to be implemented.	MS
2 Natural capital valuation and implementation of sustainable land and forest management (SLM/SFM) practices in mountain landscapes of Southern						
	Output 2.1.1. SLM practices through restored soil fertility, improved income, and environmentally sound production demonstrated to landowners and farmers.	2028-11-20	0 %	0 %	Relevant project output is yet to be achieved after the activities are implemented.	MS
	Activity 2.1.1.1. Conduct climate and disaster vulnerability assessment	2024-11-20	0 %	0 %	Relevant project activity is yet to be implemented.	MS
	Activity 2.1.1.2. Conduct an analysis of land degradation (inc. deforestation and forest degradation) and its drivers in Hela and Southern Highlands Provinces.	2025-03-31	0 %	0 %	The relevant project activity is yet to be implemented.	MS
	Activity 2.1.1.3. Prioritize communities, engage with them, and plan local project activities considering full FPIC	2025-11-20	0 %	0 %	The relevant project activity is yet to be implemented.	MS
	Activity 2.1.1.4. Identify, customize, demonstrate and test SLM	2026-11-20	0 %	0 %	The relevant project activity is yet to	MS

Component	Output/Activity	Expected completion date	Implementation status as of previous reporting period (%)	Implementation status as of current reporting period (%)	Progress rating justification, description of challenges faced and explanations for any delay	Progress Rating
Highlands and Hela Provinces.	technologies based on stakeholder priorities and a climate vulnerability assessment				be implemented.	
	Activity 2.1.1.5. Upscale Sustainable Land Management technologies	2028-11-20	0 %	0 %	The relevant project activity is yet to be implemented.	MS
	Output 2.1.2. Communities Benefits from community-based restoration of degraded forests through SFM and agroforestry.	2028-11-20	0 %	0 %	Implementation of relevant project output is yet to be done.	MS
	Activity 2.1.2.1. Establish gender responsive governance structure for community based sustainable forest management	2025-06-31	0 %	0 %	Project activity implementation is yet to be done.	MS
	Activity 2.1.2.2. Prepare sustainable community-based forest management plans in priority degraded areas.	2027-06-31	0 %	0 %	The relevant project activity is yet to be implemented.	MS
	Activity 2.1.2.3. Establish community-based nurseries to propagate local trees species	2025-11-20	0 %	0 %	Implementation of relevant project activity is yet to be done.	MS
	Activity 2.1.2.4. Carry out climate-resilient restoration of degraded forest using native species.	2028-09-31	0 %	0 %	The relevant project activity is yet to be implemented.	MS
	Activity 2.1.2.5. Establish woodlots to meet urgent local needs.	2028-09-31	0 %	0 %	The relevant project activity is yet to be implemented as per scheduled date set.	MS
	Activity 2.1.2.6. Restore degraded agricultural land through agroforestry	2028-09-31	0 %	0 %	Project activity is yet to be implemented.	MS
	Activity 2.1.2.6. Restore degraded agricultural land through agroforestry	2028-09-31	0 %	0 %	Project activity is yet to be implemented.	MS
	Output 2.1.3. Gender sensitive strategies for SLM and SFM demonstrated at project sites to foster women's livelihood empowerment.	2028-11-20	0 %	0 %	Project activity yet to be implemented as the project is in inception phase.	MS
	Activity 2.1.3.1. Review and update the Gender Analysis, Strategy and Action Plan developed during the PPG Phase	2024-11-20	0 %	0 %	Relevant activity to be done during 1st PSC and also training on gender mainstream training with UNEP Task Manager and CTA and TAC.	MS
	Activity 2.1.3.2. Train all project staff, consultants and key stakeholders on gender mainstreaming and safeguards	2024-11-20	0 %	0 %	The relevant project activity is yet to be implemented after the 1st PSC.	MS
	Activity 2.1.3.3. Implement the gender action plan.	2028-11-20	0 %	20 %	Little progress has been made as per PPG	MS

Component	Output/Activity	Expected completion date	Implementation status as of previous reporting period (%)	Implementation status as of current reporting period (%)	Progress rating justification, description of challenges faced and explanations for any delay	Progress Rating
					phase gender and mainstream framework and will continue throughout the project life and validated.	
	Activity 2.1.3.4. Develop gender sensitive livelihood improvement programme	2026-06-31	0 %	0 %	The relevant project activity is yet to be done.	MS
	Activity 2.1.3.5. Develop sustainable land-based value chains	2028-06-31	0 %	0 %	The project activity is not yet implemented and will be done with help of relevant stakeholder.	MS
	Output 2.1.4. Stakeholders benefits from piloted sustainable financing mechanisms for LDN to incentivize Land Restoration	2028-11-20	0 %	0 %	The project output activities are yet to be implemented.	MS
	Activity 2.1.4.1. Develop sustainable financing strategy and mechanisms for LDN based on small and medium Enterprise priorities.	2025-11-20	0 %	0 %	The relevant project activity is yet to be implemented.	MS
	Activity 2.1.4.2. Source sustainable financing for SLM through dedicated microfinance and industry offsetting mechanism.	2027-11-20	0 %	0 %	The relevant project activity has not been done yet and will be implemented as per scheduled time frame.	MS
	Activity 2.1.4.3. Establish micro credit groups to support the smallest female owned land-based businesses s	2028-11-20	0 %	0 %	The relevant project activity is yet to be implemented.	MS
	Activity 2.1.4.4. Disburse sustainable financing to SME's on the condition of contributing to upscaling towards LND.	2028-11-20	0 %	0 %	The relevant project activity is yet to be implemented.	MS
	Activity 2.1.4.5. Pilot subsidies, tax assessment and permit fees for financing LDN	2028-11-20	0 %	0 %	The relevant project activity is yet to be implemented.	MS
	Activity 2.1.4.6. Pilot organic coffee certification in project landscapes	2028-11-20	0 %	0 %	Project activity is yet to be done.	MS
	Activity 2.1.4.7. Validate and register carbon projects for areas put under restoration or improved management.	2028-06-31	0 %	0 %	The relevant project activity is yet to be implemented.	MS
3 Knowledge management, monitoring and evaluation, awareness raising and						
	Output 3.1.1. Effective results-based adaptive management and dissemination of results supported by participatory monitoring and evaluation system.	2028-11-20	0 %	0 %	The project output is yet to be achieved as the relevant activities are yet to be implement.	MS
	Activity 3.1.1.1. Convene Project inception workshop	2024-09-31	0 %	50 %	The preparation for project inception workshop is in progress with proposed	MS

Component	Output/Activity	Expected completion date	Implementation status as of previous reporting period (%)	Implementation status as of current reporting period (%)	Progress rating justification, description of challenges faced and explanations for any delay	Progress Rating
training.					activity to be completed in the 21st - 22nd of August, 2024.	
	Activity 3.1.1.2. Update and expand participatory monitoring and evaluation plan.	2024-11-20	0 %	0 %	The relevant task is yet to be done after the inception workshop and 1st PSC with help of PSC and TACs	MS
	Activity 3.1.1.3. Develop and implement project communication strategy and action plan.	2028-11-20	0 %	20 %	The project communication strategy is initially being prepared during the PPG phase and will be continued to be validated and implemented during the project life.	S
	Activity 3.1.1.4. Validate log frame indicator baselines and track progress of logframe indicators using a monitoring database.	2028-06-31	0 %	2024-11-20	The specific project activity has not yet implemented and will be done prior to end of the PY 1.	MS
	Activity 3.1.1.5. Provide training on financial management and reporting according to UNEP-GEF standards to member of the PMU and other relevant staff of the CEPA and national partners.	2024-11-20	0 %	50 %	The relevant training on reporting of project following UNEP/GEF standard through online platform has been provided, however the training on financial management is yet to be provided after the full PMU Team is established with CTA and other relevant project staff.	S
	Activity 3.1.1.6. Conduct participatory process according to annual work plans, the annual qualitative reviews of the workplans, including information on the project progress, challenges and knowledge needs and participate in coordinated M&E.	2028-11-20	0 %	0 %	The project activity will be implemented starting after the IC and during PIC and throughout the project life.	MS
	Activity 3.1.1.7. Hold project steering committee (PSC) and Technical Advisory Committee Meetings	2028-11-20	0 %	50 %	The preparation for first PSC and TAC is in progress with proposed date set to implement the meeting on 22nd of August, 2024.	S
	Activity 3.1.1.8. Prepare half-annual progress reports, quarterly, financial reports, annual non-expandable material purchase reports and annual implementation reviews	2028-11-20	0 %	20 %	The other relevant project activity is proposed to be implemented after the inception workshop and 1st PSC. The Q	S

Component	Output/Activity	Expected completion date	Implementation status as of previous reporting period (%)	Implementation status as of current reporting period (%)	Progress rating justification, description of challenges faced and explanations for any delay	Progress Rating
					-1, PIR for the PY - 1 is under drafting and will be submitted as per dateline set for July 31st while the other activities are in progress.	
	Activity 3.1.1.9. Prepare annual cofinancing reports.	2028-11-20	0 %	0 %	The relevant project activity is yet to be done in the end of PY - 1.	MS
	Activity 3.1.1.10. Conduct annual financial audit of expenditure of GEF funds.	2028-11-20	0 %	0 %	To be done prior to end of PY - 1 and after the IW and PSC meetings and project site visits at the two provinces.	MS
	Activity 3.1.1.10. Conduct annual financial audit of expenditure of GEF funds.	2028-11-20	0 %	0 %	To be done prior to end of PY - 1 and after the IW and PSC meetings and project site visits at the two provinces.	MS
	Activity 3.1.1.11. Conduct annual implementation review of the gender analysis, strategy and action plan, stakeholder engagement plan, project communication strategy, and action plan, project management risks, social and environment safeguards, climate change risks and mitigation measures, and assumptions underlying the project theory of change.	2028-11-20	0 %	0 %	The relevant activity is yet to be implemented prior to end of the PY -1	MS
	Activity 3.1.1.11. Conduct annual implementation review of the gender analysis, strategy and action plan, stakeholder engagement plan, project communication strategy, and action plan, project management risks, social and environment safeguards, climate change risks and mitigation measures, and assumptions underlying the project theory of change.	2028-11-20	0 %	0 %	The relevant activity is yet to be implemented prior to end of the PY -1	MS
	Activity 3.1.1.12. Conduct the Midterm Reviews/Evaluation	2026-03-31	0 %	0 %	The midterm project review is scheduled for Q - 1 period of PY- 3.	MS
	Activity 3.1.1.13. Prepare the project final report.	2028-09-31	0 %	0 %	The project final report to be completed in the third quarter period of project year 5/ the final year of project year.	MS
	Output 3.1.2. Stakeholders effectively absorb knowledge and best	2028-09-31	0 %	0 %	Project activity implementation is yet	MS

Component	Output/Activity	Expected completion date	Implementation status as of previous reporting period (%)	Implementation status as of current reporting period (%)	Progress rating justification, description of challenges faced and explanations for any delay	Progress Rating
	practices emerging from the project to implement SLM and SFM.				to be done.	
	Activity 3.1.2.1. Continuously capture and manage knowledge emerging from the project.	2028-03-31	0 %	0 %	Project activities has not been fully implemented and there is no new knowledge realized as yet.	MS
	Activity 3.1.2.2. Develop awareness materials, best practices notes, and videos displaying key project messages.	2028-09-31	0 %	0 %	The relevant project activity has not been implemented as yet and the PMU team are currently preparing for IW and PSC. After the meetings, the team will work with the stakeholders to implement the tasks.	MS
	Activity 3.1.2.2. Develop awareness materials, best practices notes, and videos displaying key project messages.	2028-09-31	0 %	0 %	The relevant project activity has not been implemented as yet and the PMU team are currently preparing for IW and PSC. After the meetings, the team will work with the stakeholders to implement the tasks.	MS
	Activity 3.1.2.3. Deliver community and public awareness campaigns	2028-06-31	0 %	0 %	The project activity is yet to be implemented.	MS
	Activity 3.1.2.4. Design and develop national database for best practices of SLM, SFM and NCV.	2026-06-31	0 %	0 %	Project activity is yet to be implemented.	MS
	Activity 3.1.2.5. Conduct exchange visits to learn relevant lessons from other GEF projects.	2028-03-31	0 %	0 %	The project activity is yet to be implemented.	MS
	Activity 3.1.2.6. Establish land use planning policy and practice platform	2028-09-31	0 %	0 %	The relevant project activity is yet to be implemented.	MS
	Output 3.1.3. Small and medium size enterprises and cooperatives are able to integrate natural capital valuation and SLM into feasibility plans and business models	2026-11-31	0 %	0 %	The relevant project activity is yet to be implemented as per the project activity plan draft as per CEO endorsed ProDoc-.	MS
	Activity 3.1.3.1. Capacitate land based SME's	2025-03-31	0 %	0 %	The relevant project activity is yet to be implemented.	MS
	Activity 3.1.3.2. Customize natural capital - based tools for use by business	2025-09-31	0 %	0 %	The relevant project tasks are yet to be implemented.	MS

Component	Output/Activity	Expected completion date	Implementation status as of previous reporting period (%)	Implementation status as of current reporting period (%)	Progress rating justification, description of challenges faced and explanations for any delay	Progress Rating
	Activity 3.1.3.3. Develop and impart hands-on trainings on the integration of NCA into business planning.	2026-11-20	0 %	0 %	The relevant project activity is yet to be implemented.	MS
	Activity 3.1.3.4. Train bank and financial institution members in improved lending standards incorporating NCA.	2026-11-20	0 %	0 %	Project activity is yet to be implemented.	MS
	Output 3.1.4. Landowner, communities, and government institutions benefit from gender responsive capacity building on SLM and SFM in local languages.	2028-09-31	0 %	0 %	The relevant project activity is yet to be implemented.	MS
	Activity 3.1.4.1. Develop and conduct community training modules based on project results and best practices on SLM approached.	2027-06-31	0 %	0 %	The relevant project activity is yet to be implemented.	MS
	Activity 3.1.4.2. Develop and conduct community training modules based on project results and best practices on SFM and NCA approaches.	2027-06-31	0 %	0 %	The relevant project activity is yet to be implemented.	MS
	Activity 3.1.4.3. Conduct provincial exchange visits pf farmers to learn about best practices of SLM and SFM.	2028-09-31	0 %	0 %	The project relevant activity is yet to be implemented.	MS
	Activity 3.1.4.4. Train government officials and NGO members of best practices of SLM.	2026-09-31	0 %	0 %	The relevant project activity is yet to be implemented.	MS
	Activity 3.1.4.5. Train government officials and NGO members of the best practices of SFM and NCA.	2026-09-31	0 %	0 %	The relevant project activity will be implemented in the PY 2 - PY 3.	MS

The Task Manager will decide on the relevant level of disaggregation (i.e. either at the output or activity level).

4 Risks

4.1 Table A. Project management Risk

Please refer to the Risk Help Sheet for more details on rating

Risk Factor	EA Rating	TM Rating
1 Management structure - Roles and responsibilities	Low	Low
2 Governance structure - Oversight	Low	Low
3 Implementation schedule	Low	Low
4 Budget	Low	Low
5 Financial Management	Low	Low
6 Reporting	Low	Low
7 Capacity to deliver	Low	Low

If any of the risk factors is rated a Moderate or higher, please include it in Table B below

4.2 Table B. Risk-log

Implementation Status (Current PIR)

Insert ALL the risks identified either at CEO endorsement (inc. safeguards screening), previous/current PIRs, and MTRs. Use the last line to propose a suggested consolidated rating.

Risks	Risk affecting: Outcome / outputs	CEO ED	PIR 1	PIR 2	PIR 3	PIR 4	PIR 5	Current PIR	Δ	Justification
Incompatible capacity of CEPA in financial management and accountability and lack of physical presence in the target provinces necessary to execute the Project	3	M	M							At PIR 1. the specified risk on the CEO endorsed level or PPG phase cannot be justified. since most of the project activities has not been implemented yet.
Targeted local communities do not buy into the Project due to lack of perceived short-	1	H	M							At PIR 1. the specified risk on the CEO endorsed level or PPG phase cannot

Risks	Risk affecting: Outcome / outputs	CEO ED	PIR 1	PIR 2	PIR 3	PIR 4	PIR 5	Current PIR	Δ	Justification
term benefits										be justified. since most of the project activities has not been implemented yet.
Contentious land tenure issues prevent. delay. or allow incomplete implementation of interventions. including land use planning. SLM and SFM interventions. etc	3	H	M							At PIR 1. the specified risk on the CEO endorsed level or PPG phase cannot be justified. since most of the project activities has not been implemented yet.
Tribal conflicts in the project area prevent effective and efficient project implementation	2&3	M	M							At PIR 1. the specified risk on the CEO endorsed level or PPG phase cannot be justified. since most of the project activities has not been implemented yet.
Inefficient cross-sectoral coordination between government stakeholders	1.2&3	M	M							At PIR 1. the specified risk on the CEO endorsed level or PPG phase cannot be justified. since most of the project activities has not been implemented yet.
Physical distance to PMU and no presence of CEPA in the targeted provinces prevents effective project implementation	2&3	H	M							At PIR 1. the specified risk on the CEO endorsed level or PPG phase cannot be justified. since most of the project activities has not been implemented yet.
Conflict of interest between land restoration and the mining. oil palm sectors and other development initiatives	2	M	M							At PIR 1. the specified risk on the CEO endorsed level or PPG phase cannot be justified. since most of the project activities has not been implemented yet.
COVID-19 impacts may lead to restricted travel of consultants and may hamper project implementation	1.2&3	H	M							At PIR 1. the specified risk on the CEO endorsed level or PPG phase cannot be justified. since most of the project

Risks	Risk affecting: Outcome / outputs	CEO ED	PIR 1	PIR 2	PIR 3	PIR 4	PIR 5	Current PIR	Justification
									activities has not been implemented yet.
COVID-19 economic impacts on PNG lead to diminished contribution of cofinancing commitments	1.2&3	S	M						At PIR 1. the specified risk on the CEO endorsed level or PPG phase cannot be justified. since most of the project activities has not been implemented yet.
Parliament does not pass legislation prepared by Project in a timely manner	1	M	M						At PIR 1. the specified risk on the CEO endorsed level or PPG phase cannot be justified. since most of the project activities has not been implemented yet.
Political interests divert focus of project activities	2 and 3	M	M						At PIR 1. the specified risk on the CEO endorsed level or PPG phase cannot be justified. since most of the project activities has not been implemented yet.
Climate and disaster risks threaten the sustainability of project interventions	2	M	M						At PIR 1. the specified risk on the CEO endorsed level or PPG phase cannot be justified. since most of the project activities has not been implemented yet.
Women do not effectively take part in projectrelated decision making	3	M	M						At PIR 1. the specified risk on the CEO endorsed level or PPG phase cannot be justified. since most of the project activities has not been implemented yet.
Limited public awareness results in limited community support for SLM and SFM activities	1 and 2	L	L						At PIR 1. the specified risk on the CEO endorsed level or PPG phase cannot be justified. since most of the project activities has not been implemented

Risks	Risk affecting: Outcome / outputs	CEO ED	PIR 1	PIR 2	PIR 3	PIR 4	PIR 5	Current PIR	Δ	Justification
										yet.
Elite capture of project benefits	3	L	L							At PIR 1. the specified risk on the CEO endorsed level or PPG phase cannot be justified. since most of the project activities has not been implemented yet.
Insufficient knowledge of projected climate parameters and expected climate change impacts	2	L	L							At PIR 1. the specified risk on the CEO endorsed level or PPG phase cannot be justified. since most of the project activities has not been implemented yet.
Technical and institutional capacity and the information base are insufficient to increase resilience and to implement adaptation	3	L	L							At PIR 1. the specified risk on the CEO endorsed level or PPG phase cannot be justified. since most of the project activities has not been implemented yet.
Climate sensitivity has not been adequately addressed	2	L	L							At PIR 1. the specified risk on the CEO endorsed level or PPG phase cannot be justified. since most of the project activities has not been implemented yet.
Project outcomes are vulnerable to climate change	1&2	L	L							At PIR 1. the specified risk on the CEO endorsed level or PPG phase cannot be justified. since most of the project activities has not been implemented yet.
Resilience practices and measures are maladaptive	2&3	L	L							At PIR 1. the specified risk on the CEO endorsed level or PPG phase cannot be justified. since most of the project activities has not been implemented yet.

Risks	Risk affecting: Outcome / outputs	CEO ED	PIR 1	PIR 2	PIR 3	PIR 4	PIR 5	Current PIR	Δ	Justification
		M	L							

4.3 Table C. Outstanding Moderate, Significant, and High risks

Additional mitigation measures for the next periods

Risk	Actions decided during the previous reporting instance (PIRt-1, MTR, etc.)	Actions effectively undertaken this reporting period	What	When	By Whom
Incompatible capacity of CEPA in financial management and accountability and lack of physical presence in the target provinces necessary to execute the Project		To manage this risk effectively. the CEPA maintains the communication with the project stakeholders and the authorities of the two provinces by establishing the two project unit staff in respective provinces to coordinate the project activities.	Careful financial management. which will ensure that the periodic (quarterly) release of funds is subject to adequate spending. Accounting. and reporting on previous spending.	Quarterly	CTA and UNEP Task Manager
Targeted local communities do not buy into the Project due to lack of perceived short-term benefits		At PIR 1. the specified risk on the CEO endorsed level or PPG phase cannot be justified since the relevant project activities has not been implemented yet.	Full FPIC process to be followed for community engagement. clarifying realistic expectations in terms of project benefits. Additionally. early scheduling of activities that yield direct benefits at community level. Actions:	PY - 1-2	PPCs. HRDF
Contentious land tenure issues prevent. delay. or		At PIR 1. the specified risk on the CEO endorsed level	Project follows full FPIC process in engaging with	Throughout Project life	NPM. CTA. PPC and DLPP

Risk	Actions decided during the previous reporting instance (PIRt-1, MTR, etc.)	Actions effectively undertaken this reporting period	What	When	By Whom
allow incomplete implementation of interventions. including land use planning. SLM and SFM interventions. etc		or PPG phase cannot be justified since the relevant project activities has not been implemented yet.	communities interventions that potentially infringe on land tenure are designed and implemented in a tenure-responsive manner. accompanied by awareness raising and providing livelihood development and sustainable financing.		
Tribal conflicts in the project area prevent effective and efficient project implementation		At PIR 1. the specified risk on the CEO endorsed level or PPG phase cannot be justified since the relevant project activities has not been implemented yet.	Broad participatory stakeholder processes to design land-based interventions. livelihood development. facilitation of sustainable financing. and dedicated grievance redress mechanisms to avoid and manage potentially emerging conflicts	Throughout the project life	NPM. PPC. CTA
Inefficient cross-sectoral coordination between government stakeholders		At PIR 1. the specified risk on the CEO endorsed level or PPG phase cannot be justified since the relevant project activities has not been implemented yet.		PY - 1-2	NPM. PPC. NPD
Physical distance to PMU and no presence of CEPA in the targeted provinces prevents effective project implementation		At PIR 1. the specified risk on the CEO endorsed level or PPG phase cannot be justified since the relevant project activities has not	Strong external support to PMU. including through Provincial Project Coordinators. Partners in Provinces & regular UNEP	Throughout the project life	NPD. NPM. PPCs. UNEP PM

Risk	Actions decided during the previous reporting instance (PIRt-1, MTR, etc.)	Actions effectively undertaken this reporting period	What	When	By Whom
		been implemented yet.	supervisory missions Actions: establish project execution structures in Provinces. ensure regular communication. intensive backup		
Conflict of interest between land restoration and the mining, oil palm sectors and other development initiatives		At PIR 1. the specified risk on the CEO endorsed level or PPG phase cannot be justified since the relevant project activities has not been implemented yet.	Closely engage large land base industries	Throughout project life	NPM. NPD. CTA. PSC
COVID-19 impacts may lead to restricted travel of consultants and may hamper project implementation		At PIR 1. the specified risk on the CEO endorsed level or PPG phase cannot be justified since the relevant project activities has not been implemented yet.	The project will engage a consultant that is responsible of COVID 19 related travel restrictions	PY 1	CTA. NPM
COVID-19 economic impacts on PNG lead to diminished contribution of cofinancing commitments		At PIR 1. the specified risk on the CEO endorsed level or PPG phase cannot be justified since the relevant project activities has not been implemented yet.	PSC meetings will regularly review cofinancing contributions and identify priorities in case the government's ability to contribute co-financing diminish.	Throughout project life	CTA. NPM
Parliament does not pass legislation prepared by Project in a timely manner		At PIR 1. the specified risk on the CEO endorsed level or PPG phase cannot be justified since the relevant project activities has not	Development of close partnership with policy makers and legislators. effective policy advocacy actions.	Throughout Project Life	CTA. NPM

Risk	Actions decided during the previous reporting instance (PIRt-1, MTR, etc.)	Actions effectively undertaken this reporting period	What	When	By Whom
		been implemented yet.			
Political interests divert focus of project activities		At PIR 1. the specified risk on the CEO endorsed level or PPG phase cannot be justified since the relevant project activities has not been implemented yet.	Close engagement with policy makers so that they have clarity on the project result framework and maintain streamlined. transparent participatory processes for the selection of sites. beneficiaries and activities.	Throughout Project Life	NPD. NPM. CTA. PPCs
Climate and disaster risks threaten the sustainability of project interventions		At PIR 1. the specified risk on the CEO endorsed level or PPG phase cannot be justified since the relevant project activities has not been implemented yet.	Mainstream climate change into project implementation	Project inception phase and throughout the project life.	CTA. NPM
Women do not effectively take part in projectrelated decision making		At PIR 1. the specified risk on the CEO endorsed level or PPG phase cannot be justified since the relevant project activities has not been implemented yet.	Enforce female quotas and remove barriers for women's participation.	Throughout project life	NPM. CTA and PPCs

High Risk (H): There is a probability of greater than 75% that assumptions may fail to hold or materialize, and/or the project may face high risks. Significant Risk (S): There is a probability of between 51% and 75% that assumptions may fail to hold and/or the project may face substantial risks. Moderate Risk (M): There is a probability of between 26% and 50% that assumptions may fail to hold or materialize, and/or the project may face only modest risks. Low Risk (L): There is a probability of up to 25% that assumptions may fail to hold or materialize, and/or the project may face only modest risks.

5 Amendment - GeoSpatial

Project Minor Amendments

Minor amendments are changes to the project design or implementation that do not have significant impact on the project objectives or scope, or an increase of the GEF project financing up to 5% as described in Annex 9 of the Project and Program Cycle Policy Guidelines. Please tick each category for which a change occurred in the fiscal year of reporting and provide a description of the change that occurred in the textbox. You may attach supporting document as appropriate

5.1 Table A: Listing of all Minor Amendment (TM)

Minor Amendments	Changes
Results Framework:	No
Components and Cost:	No
Institutional and implementation arrangements:	No
Financial Management:	No
Implementation Schedule:	
Executing Entity:	No
Executing Entity Category:	No
Minor project objective change:	No
Safeguards:	No
Risk analysis:	No
Increase of GEF financing up to 5%:	No
Location of project activity:	No
Other:	No

Minor amendments

This could be done after the actual implementation of the project and during reporting periods as stated in the project document.

5.2 Table B: History of project revisions and/or extensions (TM)

Version	Type	Signed/Approved by UNEP	Entry Into Force (last signature Date)	Agreement Expiry Date	Main changes introduced in this revision

GEO Location Information:

The Location Name, Latitude and Longitude are required fields insofar as an Agency chooses to enter a project location under the set format. The Geo Name ID is required in instances where the location is not exact, such as in the case of a city, as opposed to the exact site of a physical infrastructure. The Location & Activity Description fields are optional. Project longitude and latitude must follow the Decimal Degrees WGS84 format and Agencies are encouraged to use at least four decimal points for greater accuracy. Users may add as many locations as appropriate. Web mapping applications such as OpenStreetMap or GeoNames use this format. Consider using a conversion tool as needed, such as: <https://coordinates-converter.com> Please see the Geocoding User Guide by clicking here

Location Name	Latitude	Longitude	GEO Name ID	Location Description	Activity Description
Hela Province	-5.772978	142.779248	3778575	Proposed Project Location	GEF 7 project - PNG Land Restoration and NCA Project Site of intervention
Southern Highlands Province	-6.447152	143.600661	311770	Proposed Project Location	GEF 7 project - PNG Land Restoration and NCA Project Site of intervention

Please provide any further geo-referenced information and map where the project interventions is taking place as appropriate. *

Currently, there is no project intervention is seen as yet as the project is still yet to be implemented and is in the inception phase.

[Annex any linked geospatial file]