

Project evaluation series

**“Strengthening capacity for climate
change adaptation through support to
Integrated Watershed Management
Programme in Lesotho”**

**GCP /LES/049/LDF
GEFID 5124**

MANAGEMENT RESPONSE

**FOOD AND AGRICULTURE ORGANIZATION OF THE UNITED NATIONS
Rome, 2021**

Management response matrix¹

Strengthening capacity for climate change adaptation through support to Integrated Watershed Management Programme in Lesotho					Date
Evaluation Recommendation (a)	Management response (b) Accepted, Partially Accepted or Rejected	Management plan			Further funding required (Y or N) (f)
		Actions to be taken, and/or comments about partial acceptance or rejection (c)	Responsible unit (d)	Time frame (e)	
Insert title of section, if any					
Recommendation 1. To future GEF project developers: Ensure that the Project Indicators take gender into account.	Accepted	This message is reinforced in all programmatic meetings within the country and region	Country office and GEF coordinating unit	Now and throughout project pipeline development	N
Recommendation 2. To the Project Team: Develop case studies to showcase how women’s circumstances were improved through the project, examining their livelihoods, and socio-economic and environmental factors.	Accepted	This is appropriate and relevant to the work of the project in building resilience to climate change. The Final PIR (2020-21) has depicted a success story showcasing women’s improvement by the project. In addition a booklet is going to be published showcasing human interest stories from women that benefited from the project.	Country Office	August 2021	N

¹ Each column is cross-referenced to the bullet letters above.

Strengthening capacity for climate change adaptation through support to Integrated Watershed Management Programme in Lesotho

– Management Response

<p>Recommendation 3. To Project Management: Showcase local conflict management strategies on natural resource management so that they may be replicated and upscaled.</p>	<p>Accepted</p>	<p>Policy advisory note on conflict management to be draft based on lessons learnt from implemented strategies and their associated success stories</p>	<p>Country office</p>	<p>August 2021</p>	<p>N</p>
<p>Recommendation 4. To FAO: For future projects, FAO/the GEF should consider providing funds for a socio-economic survey involving the project's participants to track changes in sample beneficiaries' lives</p>	<p>Accepted</p>	<p>True, socio-economic surveys are important to track positive changes and impact of the project on the livelihoods of the target beneficiaries. Ideally such studies could be done during the project preparatory stages (using PPG resources). That way they will inform both project design and implementation</p>	<p>GEF coordinating unit and Country Office</p>	<p>future projects</p>	<p>Y</p>
<p>Recommendation 5: To government partners: Alternative livelihood activities promoted by the project should be followed up by relevant project partners to ensure their sustainability and verify whether they require any additional inputs.</p>	<p>Accepted</p>	<p>What is key is for the project activities and initiatives to be mainstreamed into regular government programmes. This message has been relayed in all exit meetings with different structures and levels of government.</p>	<p>Host ministry and related technical departments</p>	<p>July</p>	<p>N</p>