|                                   | UNEP GEF PIR Fiscal Year 2023<br>1 July 2022 to 30 June 2023 |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|-----------------------------------|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| tification                        |  |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Project details                   |  |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| GEF ID                            | 9772   | SMA IPMR ID                                       | 43286                                     |  |  |  |  |  |
| Project Short Title               | Gambia Land/Seascapes  | Grant ID  | S1-32GFL-000621                           |  |  |  |  |  |
|                                   |  | Umoja WBS   | SB-015084                                 |  |  |  |  |  |
|                                   | Landscape Plannir  | g and Restoration to Improve Ecosys               | tem Services, and Livelihoods, Expand and |  |  |  |  |  |
| Project Title                     |  | Effectively Manage Prote                          |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|                                   |  | · · · · ·   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Project Type                      | ✓ Full-Sized Project   | Duration months Planned                           | 60 Months                                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Parent Programme if child project | N/A  | Age   | 40.1 months                               |  |  |  |  |  |
| GEF Focal Area(s)                 | Multi-focal Areas  | Completion Date Planned -original PCA             | 30-Apr-25                                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Project Scope                     | ✓ National   | Revised - Current PCA                             |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|                                   |  |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Region                            | ✓ Africa   | Date of CEO Endorsement/Approval                  | March 31, 2020                            |  |  |  |  |  |
| Countries                         | Gambia, The  | UNEP Project Approval Date (on Decision Sheet)    | 27-May-20                                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| GEF financing amount              | 5,644,685  | Start of Implementation (PCA entering into force) | 27-May-20                                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Co-financing amount               | 19,997,260   | Date of First Disbursement                        | 04-Sep-20                                 |  |  |  |  |  |
|                                   |  | Date of Inception Workshop, if available          |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total disbursement as of 30 June  | USD 2,242,166  | Midterm undertaken?                               | Y No                                      |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total expenditure as of 30 June   | USD 1,695,004  | Actual Mid-term Date, if taken                    |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|                                   |  | Expected Mid-Term Date, if not taken              | 31-Oct-23                                 |  |  |  |  |  |
|                                   |  | Expected Terminal Evaluation Date                 | 30-Jun-25                                 |  |  |  |  |  |
|                                   |  | Expected Financial Closure Date                   | 31-Jul-25                                 |  |  |  |  |  |

| Project objective: To create an enabling environmen                    | for The Gambia in building national capacit     | y to lead the reform of land use and marine     | e spatial planning policies and to implement land,       | /seascape level management that conserves ecosystem services in         |
|--|---|---|--|---|
| productive and protected land/seascapes.                               |   |   |  |   |
| Executing Agency and other partners involved                           |   |   |  |   |
| Main Executing Agency: The National Environment A                      | gency (NEA)                                     |   |  |   |
| Partner Agency: The Department of Parks and                            | Wildlife Management (DPWM)                      |   |  |   |
| Partner Agency: The Department of Forestry (                           | DFor)   |   |  |   |
| Component 1: Improved planning and enforcement s                       | ystem to identify and address causes of lan     | d degradation (LD) and biodiversity (BD) los    | s.   |   |
| The project is supporting the strengthening of land/s                  | ea use policies and national and local capac    | ities for land use and marine spatial plannin   | g and enforcement, which will be decentralized b         | but supported nationally. The project will build on this analysis to    |
| support NEA and other partners in revising policies re                 | lated to national land use and marine spati     | al planning and the management of Local G       | overnment Areas (LGAs) to be better aligned with         | h sustainable land/sea management approaches and to take accour         |
| the interests and objectives of local communities. Fir                 | ally, the project is providing technical traini | ng to officials within national-level and dec   | entralized institutions, local public authorities, an    | nd communities in participatory spatial planning, conflict resolution,  |
| and other approaches to addressing the causes of lar                   | d degradation and biodiversity loss in the c    | ountry.   |  |   |
| Outcomes   |   |   |  |   |
| <ul> <li>Improved planning and enforcement system adopt</li> </ul>     | ed by at least three districts in the Kuntaur   | LGA to identify and address causes of land o    | degradation (LD) and biodiversity (BD) loss.             |   |
| Indicators   |   |   |  |   |
| 1: Improved planning and enforcement system adopt                      | oted by at least three districts in the Kuntau  | r LGA to identify and address causes of land    | l degradation (LD) and biodiversity (BD) loss.           |   |
| 2: At least 3 planning and enforcement systems ado                     | pted by at least 3 districts of the Kuntaur LG  | δA.   |  |   |
| 3: of policies and incentives adopted by at least 4 ke                 | y stakeholders at national and 3 at local lev   | el to support SLM, SFM, and BD mainstrean       | ning.  |   |
| Present a brief project description, stating objective,                | components, executing agency and main go        | overnment/other partners involved. Summa        | rize each component in one short paragraph:              |   |
| Project objective: To create an enabling environmen                    | for The Gambia in building national capacit     | y to lead the reform of land use and marine     | e spatial planning policies and to implement land,       | /seascape level management that conserves ecosystem services in         |
| productive and protected land/seascapes.                               |   |   |  |   |
| Executing Agency and other partners involved                           |   |   |  |   |
| Main Executing Agency: The National Environment A                      | gency (NEA)                                     |   |  |   |
| Partner Agency: The Department of Parks and                            | Wildlife Management (DPWM)                      |   |  |   |
| Partner Agency: The Department of Forestry (                           | DFor)   |   |  |   |
| Component 1: Improved planning and enforcement s                       | vstem to identify and address causes of lan     | d degradation (LD) and biodiversity (BD) los    | is.  |   |
|  |   |   |  | but supported nationally. The project will build on this analysis to    |
|  |   |   | -  | h sustainable land/sea management approaches and to take account        |
| the interests and objectives of local communities. Fir                 | ally, the project is providing technical traini | ng to officials within national-level and dec   | entralized institutions, local public authorities, an    | nd communities in participatory spatial planning, conflict resolution,  |
| and other approaches to addressing the causes of lar                   | d degradation and biodiversity loss in the c    | ountry. Recently the country has initiated c    | onsultation with all available stakeholders to dev       | elop a National land use policy. The National Environment Agency i      |
|  |   |   |  |   |
| oject Contact  |   |   |  | National Facility and America   |
| Division(s) Implementing the project<br>Name of co-implementing Agency |   | UN Environment Programme<br>Ecosystems Division | Executing Agency(ies)<br>Names of Other Project Partners | National Environment Agency<br>Department of Park & Wildlife Management |
| Name of complementing Agency   |   | GEF Biodiversity and Land Degrada               |  | Department of Fark & Wildine Management<br>Department of Forestry       |
| TM: UNEP Portfolio Manager(s)  | Ersin Esen                                      | Ersin Esen                                      | EA: Manager/Representative                               | Dr. Dawda Badgie  |
| TM: UNEP Task Manager(s)   | Adamou Bouhari                                  | Adamou Bouhari                                  | EA: Project Manager                                      | Ousainou Touray   |
| TM: UNEP Budget/Finance Officer  | Paul Vrontamitis                                | Paul Vrontamitis                                | EA: Finance Manager                                      | Abdoulie Jabang   |
| TM: UNEP Support/Assistant   | Eric Mugo                                       | Eric Mugo                                       | EA: Communications lead, if relevant                     |   |
|  |   |   |  |   |
| EW OF PROJECT STATUS   |   |   |  |   |
|  |   |   |  |   |

TM: UNEP previous Subprogramme(s)

Healthy and Productive Ecosystem

TM: UNEP Current Subprogramme(s)

Nature Action

Biennium 2020-2021 Heathy and Prc

Healthy and Production

|                 | Indicator (I) Number of national, subnational and private-sector actors th<br>with UNEP support<br>Direct Outcomes: 1.4, 1.8   | at adopt climate change  | mitigation and/or adaptation and di  | saster risk reduction strategies and policies   |
|-----------------|--|--|--|---|
|                 | Indicator (iv) Positive shift in public opinion, attitudes and actions in sup<br>Indicator (v) Positive shift among private sector actors in support of clin<br>Direct Outcomes: 1.3, 1.8  |  |  |   |
| T UNEL FOW & UN | Indicator (i): Number of national or subnational entities that, with UNEP<br>to address environmental and social issues and/or tools for valuing, mor<br>sustainably managing biodiversity<br>Direct Outcomes: 2.6, 2.7  |  | approaches   |   |
| •               | Indicator (iii) Number of countries and national, regional and subnational<br>into development and sectoral plans, policies<br>and processes for the sustainable management and/or restoration of ter<br>Direct Outcomes: 2.3, 2.9, 2.13.  |  |  | biodiversity and ecosystem-based approaches   |
|                 | Indicator (iv) Increase in territory of land- and seascapes that is under im<br>Direct Outcomes: 2.3   | proved ecosystem conse   | ervation and restoration   |   |
|                 | EA: UNSDCF/UNDAF linkages  |  | The Gambia UNDAF 2017 – 2021 S   | trategic Result 3   |
|                 |  |  |  |   |
|                 | EA: Link to relevant SDG Goals   | SDG target 15.3  | EA: Link to relevant SDG Targets   | SDG target 15.3   |
|                 | EA: Link to relevant SDG Goals TM: GEF core or sub indicators targeted by the project as defined at CEO Endorsement/Approval, as well as a   | -  | EA: Link to relevant SDG Targets   | SDG target 15.3   |
| 200             |  | esults   | rgets - Expected value   | SDG target 15.3<br>Materialised to date   |
|                 | TM: GEF core or sub indicators targeted by the project as defined at CEO Endorsement/Approval, as well as r  | esults   |  |   |
| × ×             | TM: GEF core or sub indicators targeted by the project as defined at CEO Endorsement/Approval, as well as r Indicators   | esults   | rgets - Expected value   | Materialised to date  |
| k k k           | TM: GEF core or sub indicators targeted by the project as defined at CEO Endorsement/Approval, as well as r<br>Indicators<br>Indicators<br>I: Terrestrial protected areas created or under improved management f   | esults   | rgets - Expected value<br>End-of-project Total Target  | Materialised to date 9447.4 ha  |
| A A A           | TM: GEF core or sub indicators targeted by the project as defined at CEO Endorsement/Approval, as well as r<br>Indicators<br>1: Terrestrial protected areas created or under improved management for<br>2: Marine protected areas created or under improved management for g   | esults   | rgets - Expected value<br>End-of-project Total Target<br>18,000 ha<br>5,600 ha<br>10,589 ha        | Materialised to date 9447.4 ha 1500 ha  |
|                 | TM: GEF core or sub indicators targeted by the project as defined at CEO Endorsement/Approval, as well as a Indicators<br>Indicators<br>1: Terrestrial protected areas created or under improved management for a constraint of a co | esults   | rgets - Expected value<br>End-of-project Total Target<br>18,000 ha<br>5,600 ha                     | Materialised to date<br>9447.4 ha<br>1500 ha<br>7800 ha   |
|                 | TM: GEF core or sub indicators targeted by the project as defined at CEO Endorsement/Approval, as well as r<br>Indicators<br>1: Terrestrial protected areas created or under improved management f<br>2: Marine protected areas created or under improved management for c<br>3: Area of land and ecosystems under restoration<br>4: Area of landscapes under improved practices (excluding protected ar   | esults   | rgets - Expected value<br>End-of-project Total Target<br>18,000 ha<br>5,600 ha<br>10,589 ha        | Materialised to date<br>9447.4 ha<br>1500 ha<br>7800 ha<br>9,447 ha   |
|                 | TM: GEF core or sub indicators targeted by the project as defined at CEO Endorsement/Approval, as well as a Indicators<br>Indicators<br>1: Terrestrial protected areas created or under improved management for a constraint of a co | esults   | rgets - Expected value<br>End-of-project Total Target<br>18,000 ha<br>5,600 ha<br>10,589 ha        | Materialised to date<br>9447.4 ha<br>1500 ha<br>7800 ha<br>9,447 ha   |
|                 | TM: GEF core or sub indicators targeted by the project as defined at CEO Endorsement/Approval, as well as r<br>Indicators<br>1: Terrestrial protected areas created or under improved management f<br>2: Marine protected areas created or under improved management for c<br>3: Area of land and ecosystems under restoration<br>4: Area of landscapes under improved practices (excluding protected ar<br>5: Area of marine habitat under improved practices to benefit biodiversi<br>6: Greenhouse gas emissions mitigated  | esults       Ta       Mid-term       3rd PIR         Rating towards outcomes (Do)  | Pets - Expected value End-of-project Total Target 18,000 ha 5,600 ha 10,589 ha 29,589 ha 29,589 ha | Materialised to date<br>9447.4 ha<br>1500 ha<br>7800 ha<br>9,447 ha   |
|                 | TM: GEF core or sub indicators targeted by the project as defined at CEO Endorsement/Approval, as well as related or under improved management for a strength or the protected areas created or under improved management for a strength of and and ecosystems under restoration         1: Terrestrial protected areas created or under improved management for a strength of and and ecosystems under restoration         4: Area of land and ecosystems under restoration         4: Area of landscapes under improved practices (excluding protected are strength or the provide practices to benefit biodiversite)         6: Greenhouse gas emissions mitigated         Implementation Status       2023         FY 2023       3rd PIR   | esults   | Rating towards outputs (IP) (section 3.2)  | Materialised to date           9447.4 ha           1500 ha           7800 ha           9,447 ha           2630 ha |
|                 | TM: GEF core or sub indicators targeted by the project as defined at CEO Endorsement/Approval, as well as reacted or under improved management for a series of land and ecosystems under restoration         4: Area of land and ecosystems under restoration         4: Area of land and ecosystems under restoration         4: Area of landscapes under improved practices (excluding protected ar for a series of marine habitat under improved practices to benefit biodiversite)         6: Greenhouse gas emissions mitigated         Implementation Status       2023         FY 2023       3rd PIR         FY 2023       3rd PIR         FY 2023       2nd PIR  | esults Ta Mid-term Aid-term Ai | Rating towards outputs (IP)<br>(section 3.2)       S   | Materialised to date           9447.4 ha           1500 ha           7800 ha           9,447 ha           2630 ha |
|                 | TM: GEF core or sub indicators targeted by the project as defined at CEO Endorsement/Approval, as well as related or under improved management for a strength or the protected areas created or under improved management for a strength of and and ecosystems under restoration         1: Terrestrial protected areas created or under improved management for a strength of and and ecosystems under restoration         4: Area of land and ecosystems under restoration         4: Area of landscapes under improved practices (excluding protected are strength or the provide practices to benefit biodiversite)         6: Greenhouse gas emissions mitigated         Implementation Status       2023         FY 2023       3rd PIR   | esults Ta Mid-term Srd PIR Rating towards outcomes (D0) (section 3.1) S  | Rating towards outputs (IP) (section 3.2)  | Materialised to date           9447.4 ha           1500 ha           7800 ha           9,447 ha           2630 ha |
|                 | TM: GEF core or sub indicators targeted by the project as defined at CEO Endorsement/Approval, as well as reacted or under improved management for contract or the protected areas created or under improved management for contract of land and ecosystems under restoration         4: Area of land and ecosystems under restoration         4: Area of land and ecosystems under restoration         4: Area of landscapes under improved practices (excluding protected areas created or under improved practices to benefit biodiversite)         6: Greenhouse gas emissions mitigated         Implementation Status       2023         FY 2023       3rd PIR         FY 2023       3rd PIR         FY 2021       1st PIR         FY 2020       1st PIR         FY 2020       1st PIR  | esults Ta Mid-term Aid-term Ai | Rating towards outputs (IP)<br>(section 3.2)       S   | Materialised to date           9447.4 ha           1500 ha           7800 ha           9,447 ha           2630 ha |
|                 | TM: GEF core or sub indicators targeted by the project as defined at CEO Endorsement/Approval, as well as related or under improved management for contract or under improved management for contract of and and ecosystems under restoration         1: Terrestrial protected areas created or under improved management for contract of and and ecosystems under restoration         4: Area of land and ecosystems under restoration         4: Area of landscapes under improved practices (excluding protected areas of marine habitat under improved practices to benefit biodiversition)         6: Greenhouse gas emissions mitigated         Implementation Status       2023         PIR #         FY 2023       3rd PIR         FY 2021       1st PIR         FY 2020       FY 2021         FY 2021       Tst PIR         FY 2023       Tst PIR         FY 2020       FY 2021         FY 2021       Ft PIR         FY 2023       Ft PIR   | esults Ta Mid-term Aid-term Ai | Rating towards outputs (IP)<br>(section 3.2)       S   | Materialised to date           9447.4 ha           1500 ha           7800 ha           9,447 ha           2630 ha |
|                 | TM: GEF core or sub indicators targeted by the project as defined at CEO Endorsement/Approval, as well as reacted or under improved management for contract or the protected areas created or under improved management for contract of land and ecosystems under restoration         4: Area of land and ecosystems under restoration         4: Area of land and ecosystems under restoration         4: Area of landscapes under improved practices (excluding protected areas created or under improved practices to benefit biodiversite)         6: Greenhouse gas emissions mitigated         Implementation Status       2023         FY 2023       3rd PIR         FY 2023       3rd PIR         FY 2021       1st PIR         FY 2020       1st PIR         FY 2020       1st PIR  | esults Ta Mid-term Aid-term Ai | Rating towards outputs (IP)<br>(section 3.2)       S   | Materialised to date           9447.4 ha           1500 ha           7800 ha           9,447 ha           2630 ha |

| 2.3 Implementation status & Risk | EA: Summary of status<br>(will be uploaded to GEF Portal)   | Outcome-specific comments         Outcome 1.1. Rating - Satisfactory (S) Key factors that determine successful implementing SLM.         The rating of Satisfactory under this Outcome is because of the successful implementation (complete or on-going) of the following activities: <ul> <li>i. Livelihood initiatives have been identified and mapped. Some of them are already being supported. For example, beekeeping, the development of woodlots, tree planting, etc.</li> <li>iii. The pricipatory review of policy gaps to address issues of SLM and biodiversity at Local Government and National level is completed.</li> <li>iv. Training manual has been developed on capacity building for local communities and government institutions on sustainable natural resources management.</li> <li>v. Three is an on-going training of staff from the three main implementing institutions (DPWM, DFor, and NEA) on spatial analysis of environmental data.</li> <li>vii. An analysis of Drivers Pressures State Impact and Response (DPSIR) for the Kuntaur LGA is completed.</li> </ul> Outcome 2.1. Rating - Satisfactory (S) The Strategic Environmental Assessments and ther key assessments (including the baseline study) have identified the gaps in SLM and there practices within the landscape and seascape management context. These assessments now form the basis for the implementation of SLM and NINRM in both project locations. The setting up of the demonstration sites and the types of SLM practices to be supported are a direct result of these assessments. Results were disaggregated according to gender, with the interests of other vulnerable groups within the final product. The technical synopsis has been developed and shared with key statesholders. <li>i. The assessment of foreat areas and users and their interests and capacity for landscape and seascape</li> |
|----------------------------------|---|---|
| 2.4 Co-finance                   | EA: Planned Co-finance<br>EA: Justify progress in terms of materialization of expected co-<br>finance. State any relevant challenges. | \$ 19,997,260EA: Actual to date:12,004,500Co-financing is a very relevant issue in the Gambia but the concept remains less understood to the<br>general public. Institutions can pledge during project development but to provide the cash for the<br>implementation is a big challenge. The co-financing was fixed at around \$20,000,000 and as of this<br>report development date 40% of the amount is spent and this is in Kind estimate.<br>Project Like EbA, partners such as NEA, DoF, DPWM and agriculture contributed significantly towards the<br>implementation of the project and fulfilling their commitment towards their pledge for co-financing.  |
|                                  | EA: Date of project steering committee meeting  | 17 <sup>th</sup> of December, 2022  |

| 2.5. Stakeholder | EA: Stakeholder engagement (will be uploaded to<br>GEF Portal)   | <ul> <li>The partner institutions (Department of Forestry and Department of Parks &amp; Wildlife Management) are engaged in implementing relevant activities under their mandate. These include pursuing their relevant process frameworks for the creation of ICCAs (DPWM) and CF (DFor). Towards this end, MOUs were signed with each of these institutions in pursuant of these endeavours.</li> <li>MOUs have also been signed with the Chiefs and their communities for the establishment of demonstration plots, and relevant infrastructure to support the implementation of SLM in their various communities.</li> <li>The project is currently working in close collaboration with the West African Bird Study Association (WABSA) in implementing the designation of marine protected areas in the Kombos. The wildlife affiliated NGO has been very instrumental during the training programme and other meetings held in Kartong for the benefit of the MPA establishment process.</li> <li>There is direct collaboration between the PMU and the Kuntaur Area Council on the designation of areas of connectivity between identified KBAs, as well as the creation of important biodiversity sanctuaries within these connected areas. Examples of these sanctuaries will be the hippopotamus and a bird sanctuary.</li> </ul> |
|------------------|--|---|
|                  | TM: Does the project have a gender action plan?  | Y Yes   |
| 2.6. Gender      | EA: Gender mainstreaming (will be uploaded to GEF Portal)  | Gender equality continues to be a guiding principle of the project. At the very beginning of the project, a gender analysis was undertaken through consultation. The purpose was to identify gender gaps, as well as challenges to attaining gender equity in access to project resources and benefits. This study came up with a proposed gender action plan that has been guiding the implementation of project activities. This among other things includes equal representation of the genders in all aspects of project life (capacity building, consultations, access to services and information, etc.)<br>Two interns have joined the project for a 6-month term to support the cataloguing of gender dimensions of project implementation. They will also support data collection for the monitoring of gender indicators.   |
|                  | TM: Was the project classified as moderate/high risk at CEO Endorsement/Approval Stage?  | Y     No     TM: Have any new social and/or environmental risks been identified during the reporting period?     Y     No   |
|                  | TM: If yes, what specific safeguard risks were identified in the SRIF/ESERN?   | TM: If yes, please describe the new risks, or changes   |
|                  | TM & EA: Has the project received complaints related to social and/or environmental impacts (actual or<br>potential) during the reporting period?                | Y No  |
| Ň                | TM & EA: If yes, please describe the complaint(s) or grievance(s) in detail including the status,<br>significance, who was involved and what actions were taken. |   |

| 2.7. 555         | EA: Environmental and social safeguards manageme<br>to GEF Portal) | ent (will be uploaded                              | The original safeguards identified in the "Summary of the Safeguard Risks" in the CEO Endorsement remain unchanged. According to the Environment, Social and Economic screening determinations at the time of project conception, the project activities maintain very low risk. Gender equity remains at the center of project implementation vision with benefits and indicators being disaggregated by gender whenever possible. The potential effects of the project's actions on indigenous communities remain none. There is as of date, no identified risk of involuntary resettlement resulting from the project's implementation. Finally, the economic sustainability of local populations continues to be at the forefront of the project's vision as activities are being implemented. |
|------------------|--|--|--|
| 2.8. KM/tearning | EA: Knowledge activities and products (will t                      | be uploaded to GEF Portal)                         | (i) Baseline survey on the project area (with recommendations); (ii) Training Manual on the Sustainable Management of Natural Resources; (iii) Report on the Establishment of Local Environment Committees; and iv) the Strategic Environmental Assessment; the Gambia Environment Action Plan III (partly financed by the project). Each of these resources consists of gender sensitive analysis and information required to ensure the achievement of equal outcomes for men and women; has recommendations for actions on biodiversity conservation, land degradation and sustainable land management. These resources are also being used by partner institutions and decision-makers at different levels of environmental governance as sources of data-driven information.                  |
|                  | EA: Main learning during the period                                |  | Please attach a copy of any products Attached  |
| 2.9. Stories     | EA: Stories to be shared (sect communication)                      | tion to be shared with communication division/ GEF |  |

## 

# 3. RATING PROJECT PERFORMANCE

3.1 Rating of progress towards achieving the project outcomes (Development Objectives)

| Project objective and Outcomes   | Indicator  | Baseline level  | Mid-Term Target or<br>Milestones  | End of Project Target  | Progress as of<br>current period<br>(numeric,<br>percentage, or<br>binary entry only) | EA: Summary by the EA of attainment of the indicator & target as of 30 June   | TM: Progress<br>rating |
|--|--|---|---|--|---|---|------------------------|
| bjective   |  |   |   |  |   |   | »                      |
|  | 1. Increased area of<br>landscapes and seascapes<br>under sustainable land<br>management   | Protected areas are under<br>severe management threats<br>that contribute to land<br>degradation and degradation in<br>the value of ecosystem<br>services.  |   | <ul> <li>At least 28,589 hectares of<br/>landscapes and seascapes under<br/>SLM, BD management, and INRM</li> <li>Al 5 district councils of the Kuntaur<br/>LGA have management plans to guide<br/>SLM, BD management, and INRM<br/>efforts</li> </ul> | 80%   | The ICCAs include Jarumeh koto covering an area 1,481.34 h, Jailani<br>Bakadagi with an area of 4,117.28 ha, Kayai with an area of 861.02 ha,<br>and Sukuta with an area of 2,987.73 ha making it a total of 9,447.37 ha<br>With regards to seascapes, the Allahein MPA in Kartong covering an area<br>of 2,630 ha plus associated marine area of about 1500 ha under<br>seascapes (making a total of 4,130 ha). This designation when completed<br>equals a total of 13,577.37 ha increased area of landscapes and<br>seascapes under sustainable land management.<br>the process framework for the establishment of ICCAs and Kartong MPA<br>is complete. The no claim has been provided to the Ministry and the<br>gazette notice is to be submitted by the Minister of Environment to the<br>atomey General Chambers for records This is the ultimate act for<br>designation.and the submission date to gazette will be the milestone.  | S                      |
| Ecosystem services in productive and protected<br>land/seascapes conserved by improved land use and<br>marine spatial planning policies and land/seascape level<br>management in Gambia. | 2. Number of land use plans<br>supporting SLM, BD<br>management, and INRM<br>implemented   | <ul> <li>There are no integrated land<br/>use plans to support planning<br/>and decision-making ion the<br/>management of landscape and<br/>seascape resources of the<br/>Kuntaur LGA</li> <li>There is limited technical<br/>capacity for the implementation<br/>of land use planning in support<br/>of SLM, SFM, and BD<br/>management</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>At least 3 of the 5 districts<br/>of the Kuntaur LGA are<br/>implementing ILUMPs in<br/>support of SLM, INRM and<br/>improved BD management</li> <li>At least 3 representatives<br/>from each local community in<br/>the project pilot has benefited<br/>from training on spatial land<br/>use decision-making to</li> </ul> |  | 80%   | A guidelines for land-use planning for the Kuntaur local government area<br>and the Community declarations have been endorsed by the leaders of<br>the community and the Local Government Area for the basis of<br>developing future land use plans supporting SLM. BD management, and<br>INRM.<br>Together with Local Environment Committees, the project has supported<br>the creation of Sustainable Land Management Committees that will be<br>benefiting from capacity building on spatial land use decision making<br>when the land use planning process is underway.   |                        |
|  | 3. Stable populations of<br>known threatened species.<br>Including: African Golden Cat<br>(Profelis aurata); Red<br>Colobus (Procolobus<br>badius); Red-fronted Gazelle<br>(Gazella rufifrons); and Wesl<br>African Manatee (Trichechus<br>senegalensis) | The practice of SLM, INRM,<br>and BD management is very<br>limited in the project area, and<br>unsupported by any formal<br>policy technical, or<br>administrative structures   |   | <ul> <li>At least 75% of the pilot area<br/>farmers and land users have adopted<br/>at least one project-promoted</li> </ul>   | 90%   | <ul> <li>Training has been provided for 40 persons (male = 16; female = 14) on<br/>beekeeping, an essential SLM for forest conservation and health in the<br/>CRR. They were both supported and trained to begin practicing<br/>beekeeping.</li> <li>Training was also offered on tree planting in the Koli Kunda and Buduk.<br/>Koli Kunda consisted of 150 trainees (males = 74; females = 76); Buduk,<br/>a total of 227 (males = 118; females = 109)</li> <li>Training on mangrove restoration was done in Kartong. It benefited a<br/>total of 22 (males = 15; females = 10)</li> <li>An SLM training was organised to cover tree planting techniques, tree<br/>care and maintenance, soil health and fertility management, integrated<br/>pest management, and harvesting and post-harvest handling (80 women<br/>&amp; 80 men).</li> <li>Kuntaur Staff were trained on awareness to disseminate the<br/>conservancy initiative to local communities in the connectivity corridors<br/>area.(12 persons)</li> </ul> | S                      |
| sutcome 1  | <ol> <li>Improved planning and<br/>enforcement system adopted<br/>by at least three districts in<br/>the Kuntaur LGA to identify<br/>and address causes of land<br/>degradation (LD) and<br/>biodiversity (BD) loss</li> </ol>                           |   | At least 1 functioning<br>(convening and decision-<br>making) national and 1 local<br>policy framework in place to<br>support SLM, INRM, and BD   | A report available that proposes   |   | The development and validation of the community Declarations on<br>Strengthening Local Community Involvement in Integrated Natural<br>Resources Management, has been adopted as some local policy<br>framework in the absence of a National Land use Policy in support SLM,<br>INRM, and BD mainstreaming . These declarations covered Biodiversity<br>conservation, addressing negative impacts of wildlife Hunting, developing<br>and expending Exclusions extension extension for the second Tables<br>(Second Constructions).   | S                      |

| Component 1: Improved planning and enforcement system<br>to identify and address causes of land degradation (LD) an<br>biodiversity (BD) loss |   | A viable institutional and policy<br>framework to support the<br>adoption, practice and<br>mainstreaming of SLM, INRM,<br>and BD management in Kuntau<br>LGA does not exist            | specific provisions to address   | potentials for the application or resonn<br>learned from policy application in the<br>Kuntaur LGA nation-wide  | 160% | and expending Economism potentials, the danger of inegal institute<br>recognizing deforestation as a major Challenge, exploiting the intrinsic<br>values of our culture and cultural heritage. With the support of the<br>minister of women and gender, Leaders of the communities adopted a<br>declaration on mainstreaming of the gender dimension as a piece of<br>policy document to be applied in the K-LGA and a key project strategy for<br>successful participation and benefit of our women folks. It is also noted<br>that the National Land use Policy is been developed.   |   |
|---|---|--|--|--|------|--|---|
| undurenský (ED) i loss  | <ol> <li>Number of policies and<br/>incentives adopted by at<br/>least 4 key stakeholders at<br/>national and 3 at local level<br/>to support SLM, SFM, and<br/>BD mainstreaming</li> </ol>   | Policies/incentives in place at<br>national and local level are<br>insufficient to support SLM,<br>SFM, and BD mainstreaming   | mainstreaming in at least two<br>influential sectors at the  | The capacity for central and local<br>government institutions and other<br>stakeholders to interpret and<br>implement policies for mainstreaming<br>BD conservation and SLM principles in<br>land/sea resource planning is improve<br>in all key sectors.  | 1    | Sequel to the validation and adoption of the community declarations, a<br>training was provided in February 2023 to central and local government<br>institutions, as well as other stakeholders, including the Local Environment<br>Committees (LECs), with the knowledge and skills needed to effectively<br>interpret and implement these declarations.<br>The primary objective of this training was to:<br>1. Understand the importance of biodiversity conservation and sustainable<br>land management in promoting sustainable development.<br>2. Interpret and understand the six declarations adopted by key<br>stakeholders.<br>3. Develop the skills necessary to implement the policies and practices<br>outlined in the declarations.<br>4. Enhance the capacity of participants, including the LECs, to develop<br>and sustainable land management in their respective institutions and<br>communities.   |   |
| tcome 2   |   |  |  |  |      | 150 and 78 land users benefited from tree planting programmes in Kolli kunda and   |   |
| Component 2: Enabling framework for districts within<br>Kuntaur LGA to implement SLM practices across<br>landscapes                           | 7. Number of land users that<br>have project-promoted SLM,<br>SFM, and BD management<br>practices in project locations<br>8. Number of farmers and<br>land users that have adopted<br>at least one project-<br>promoted sustainable<br>practice | The practice of SLM, INRM,<br>and BD management is very<br>limited in the project area, and<br>unsupported by any formal<br>policy technical, or<br>administrative structures          | are practicing agroecological<br>methods of land management<br>supportive of SLM, SFM, and<br>BD management.<br>• At least one workshop is<br>organized per cluster village<br>that targets especially women | <ul> <li>Agroecological methods of land<br/>management that incorporate SLM,<br/>SFM, and BD management is<br/>practiced by at least 75% of land user<br/>populations in all project locations</li> <li>Women are supported to participate<br/>in at least 2 major activities that<br/>support the implementation of SLM,<br/>SFM and BD management in each of<br/>the project cluster villages</li> </ul> | 90%  | Buduk CRR respectively<br>- 25 land users benefitied from mangrove planting excises in Kartong, WCR<br>- 954 land users around Dankunku Forest Park supported with materials and tools for<br>sustainable management of their forest resources<br>- 343 land users from Bush Town Forest Park supported with materials for<br>sustainable management of their forest resources<br>- 1,446 land users around Jarumeh Kolo Forest Park supported with materials and tools for<br>sustainable management of their forest resources<br>- 346 land users around Almumeh Kolo Forest Park supported with materials and tools for<br>sustainable management of their forest resources<br>- 366 land users around Kblir Forest Park supported with materials and tools for<br>sustainable management of their forest resources<br>- 465 land users around Tarkong kunda Forest Park supported with materials and tools for<br>sustainable management of their forest resources<br>- 485 land users around the Kahi Badi Forest Park supported with materials and tools for<br>sustainable management of their forest resources<br>- 3,850 land users around the Kahi Badi Forest Park supported with materials and tools for<br>sustainable management of their forest resources<br>- 3,850 land users around the Kahi Badi Forest Park supported with materials and<br>tools for sustainable management of their forest resources<br>- 3,850 land users around the Raver Gambia National Park supported with materials and<br>tools for sustainable management of their forest resources<br>- 440 people trainels – and parkent of their forest resources<br>- 140 people were also tained on tee planing techniques, tree care and maintenance, ard<br>health and fertility management of their forest resources<br>- 140 people vera also tained on tree planing techniques, tree care and maintenance, ard<br>health and fertility management, and harvesting and post-<br>harvest handling in four communities (Dankunku Lidha kunda, Dankunku Touba Mourid,<br>Dankunku forest committee members and Bush Town). | S |
|   | 9. Number of women<br>practicing SLM, INRM, or BD<br>management in their land<br>use activities   | While women are an active<br>portion of the population<br>engaging in the use of land and<br>seascape resources, their<br>access to relevant information<br>on SLM and INRM is limited | regulatory framework<br>supports SLM, SFM, and BD<br>mainstreaming in at least two<br>influential sectors at the<br>Kuntaur-L6A district leve  | Women are represented in key<br>decision-making positions in land use<br>and SLM committees created and<br>supported by the project – CLUPs,<br>VLDCs, etc.  | 100% | The following trainings have been undertaken:<br>Beekeeping - Total: 40 (male = 26; female 14) = 35%<br>Site Management Committees - Total: 30 (male = 15 female 15) = 50%<br>Mangrove planting/restoration - Total: 25 (male = 15; female 10) = 40%<br>Tree planting - Total: 377 (male = 192; female 185) = 49%<br>Interpretation of the Declarations: 75 participants (women = 50%)<br>Mangrove restoration committee training : 140 people (women = 50%)<br>Average female participation rate = .50%   | S |
| come 3  | 10. At least 5 key project<br>strategies for INRM and<br>seascape management<br>documented, disseminated<br>and adopted at local and<br>national levels   | Knowledge on strategies for<br>INRM and seascape<br>management is not widespread<br>at the national and local level:   | At least 4 villages have<br>adopted INRM practices for<br>seascape management  | All village clusters in project locations,<br>undertake training on strategies for<br>INRM and seascape managemen!   | 60%  | Consultations related to the creation of the ecological corridor have been<br>extensive and successful and . A total of 40 villages were contacted in<br>the CRR and 3 in Kartong. All villages bordering the proposed ecological<br>corridor have come on board in support of the initiative.<br>Working in collaboration with the Kuntaur Area Council , all of these<br>villages have adopted INRM within the context of the implementation and<br>management of the ecological corridor within their respective<br>communities.  | s |

| р | roduce landscape-level management system to achieve | including KBAs, HCVF and<br>HCSF distribution in natural<br>resource planning<br>13.Capacity for communities<br>to plan and manage land and<br>marine resources in an<br>integrated and sustainable<br>manner |  | regional and local plans  | land use plans for Kuntaur LGA, and<br>led to the establishment of ecological<br>corridors to support biodiversity<br>conservation<br>At least 100 member of key<br>stakeholder groups received training<br>on SLM and INRM tools – practice,<br>management and dissemination | 100% | conservancy is been presentedand discussed to 24 impacted villages<br>(communities) as a land use option to support Biodiversity conservation,<br>ecotourism development and revenue generation. a Biodiversity.<br>Four key trainings have been undertaken:<br>• Site Management Committees - Total: 30 (male = 15 female 15)<br>• Mangrove planting/restoration - Total: 185 (male = 95; female 90)<br>• Tree planting - Total: 537 (male = 260; female 277)<br>• LECS Training on Declaration Total: 75; Male =40 and female+35<br>Male participation rate = 52.5%<br>Female participation rate = 47.5% | ня |
|---|---|---|--|---|---|------|--|----|
| c | Dutcome 4   |   |  |   |   |      |  |    |
|   |   | 14. Increase in marine<br>protected areas in The<br>Gambia  | ecosystems with KBA status   | Zoning plans are developed<br>through participatory multi-<br>stakeholder processes | The Kartong Allahein River Marine<br>Protected Area covering 3,000 ha and<br>the Labour Canyon Marine Protected<br>Area covering 1,000 ha is established<br>and operational   | 50%  | With the eminent gazettement of Kartong MPA, initaive such as<br>transboundary protection with Abene Community Marine Park in Senega<br>will pave the way for a fruitful collaboration and partnership between<br>countries in the management of Marine resources.<br>The DPWM has recently submitted a letter of intend to the ministry for the<br>designation of the Labour Canyon as a MPA in the deep sea. The letter<br>detailed the process to be implemented for the government gazettement<br>of the area. So the mapping and resource inventory will finalize the<br>process.                     | S  |
|   |   | 15. Increase in the area<br>occupied by key mangrove<br>stands, including Rhizophora<br>harrisonii: R. racemose:  | The seascape ecosystems of<br>the Gambia are currently under<br>severe stress and threats of |   | The population of at least 3<br>endangered marine species is<br>improved  | 80%  | 2 hectares of mangrove planting completed in Kartong, WCR<br>10 and 15 hectares of tree planting completed in Kolli Kunda and Buduk,<br>CRR respectively<br>15 hectares of mangroves in Chamen, Baati Ndar, Kaur and Kartong as a  | s  |

For joint projects and where applicable ratings should also be discussed with the Task Manager of co-implementing agency.

3.2 Rating of progress implementation towards delivery of outputs (Implementation Progress)

| Output  | Expected completion date | Implementation status as of<br>30 June 2022 (%)<br>(Towards overall project<br>targets) | Implementation status as of<br>30 June 2023 (%)<br>(Towards overall project<br>targets) | EA: Progress rating justification, description of challenges faced and explanations for any delay   | TM: Progress<br>rating |
|---|--------------------------|---|---|---|------------------------|
| Under Comp 1  |                          |   |   |   |                        |
| Output 1.1: Situation analysis of current land/sea uses,<br>land/sea use policies and land use options carried out with<br>modern tools and technologies to assess their alignment<br>with sustainable land management and biodiversity<br>conservation approaches developed and disseminated | 05/30/2022               | 0%  |   | A number of analyses have been carried out to establish the situational context of current landscape and seascape use policies and challenges in the project locations. These include:<br>- Baseline survey of socioeconomic and environmental conditions<br>- Dirvers, Pressure, State, Impact, and Response (DPSIR) analysis<br>- Strategic Environmental Assessment<br>- Gender analysis | s                      |
| Activity 1: Carry out an assessment of land-use change<br>overtime using satellite imagery, and establish the<br>relationship between observed changes with key natural<br>and anthropogenic drivers  | 08/30/2021               | 100%  |   | The high-resolution imagery was acquired and the images have already been used for land cover change assessment (Land use, la cover (30-year) change analysis)  | s                      |
| Activity 2: Undertake a mapping and analysis of livelihood:<br>and ecosystem service relations and vulnerabilities in areas<br>of different degradation and biodiversity status using the<br>DPSIR Framework  |                          | 40%   | 60%   | The DPSIR analysis was given on consultation, and the process is underway.<br>The analysis builds on assessments that have been done by the project before the commencement of the DPSIR study (such as the<br>baseline survey ).   |                        |

%

| Output 1.2 One (1) National Land/Sea Use and one (1)<br>Local Government Area policies to take account of SLM<br>approaches and local community objectives are revised<br>and available for stakeholder's consideration  | 05/30/2024 | 20%  | 100% | A number of policy goals have been achieved by the project.<br>Two national declarations were adopted through project support at the national level:<br>1.Declaration on Gender Integration in Integrated Natural Resources Management<br>2.Declaration on Strengtheming Local Community Involvement in Integrated Natural Resources Management<br>Five key declarations have been adopted through project support at regional level:<br>1.Regulatory Framework on Biodiversity Conservancies as a Strategic Approach towards Sustainable Development in the Kuntaur<br>LGA<br>2.Regulatory Framework on Declaration on Preservation of Cultural and Historical Heritage<br>3.Regulatory Framework on Declaration on the Challenge of Hunting in the Kuntaur LGA<br>4.Regulatory Framework on Declaration comprising the dangers of illegal fishing in the Central River Region segment of the River<br>Gambia<br>5.Regulatory Framework on Deforestation as a major challenge to sustainable development in the Kuntaur Local Government Area  | S  |
|--|------------|------|------|---|----|
| Activity 3. Build on the Situation Analysis undertaken in<br>output 1.1 to conduct a participatory review of policy gaps in<br>addressing issues of SLM at the national and local<br>government level.   | 02/28/2022 | 100% | 100% | The participatory review of national land use and policy gaps in addressing issues of SLM completed.  | s  |
| Activity 4. Revision of the existing policy and legal<br>framework for the Kuntaur-LGA, government plans and<br>programs, and design of new, coherent ones   | 11/30/2023 | 10%  | 10%  | To be started in Q3Y3   | MU |
| Activity 5: Support the adoption of the revised policies into<br>national and local strategies and programs for land use<br>planning and sustainable development   | 05/30/2024 | 0%   | 40%  | The project has provided assistance for the adoption of the revised policies into national and local strategies and programs for land<br>use planning and sustainable development through research support. This has been in the form of 1 peer-reviewed journal article:<br>Genesis T. Yengoh (2023) Human-wildlife conflicts in the Central River Region of The Gambia: scale, cost, and potential solutions.<br>Geojournal (in review).<br>And 2 policy briefs:<br>Genesis Tambang Yengoh; Njagga Touray; and Eric Ole Reson (2022) The Maasai Mara conservancy model - Potential for shifting<br>the paradigm of natural resources management in the Gambia. Policy Brief No. 01-11112022. The National Environment Agency<br>(NEA). Kanifing, The Gambia.<br>Genesis Tambang Yengoh; Njagga Touray; Omar Ceesay and Dawda Badjie (2023) Towards Sustainable Fisheries Management:<br>Addressing Illegal, Unreported and Unregulated Fishing in The Gambia. Policy Brief No. 01-11112022. The National Environment<br>Agency (NEA). Kanifing, The Gambia.   | S  |
| Output 1.3: Improved capacity of central and local<br>government institutions and other stakeholders to prevent,<br>mitigate and offset negative impacts on BD and ecosystem<br>services, measured by increased score in adapted Capacit<br>Development Scorecard  | 08/30/2024 | 0%   | 0%   | To be started in Q3Y3   |    |
| Activity 6. Deliver three training sessions in multi-<br>stakeholder groups to government officials of national and<br>decentralized institutions, local public authorities and<br>communities   | 02/28/2023 | 100% | 100% | A training manual on Integrated Natural Resources Management was prepared and validated. The manual was then used for two<br>training sessions in Kuntaur and one in Kartong with a total of 90 beneficiaries .<br>The project provided capacity building on marine protected area management for a total of 28 participants (16 males and 12 females<br>from different stakeholders including DPWM-10, Gambia Navy – 3, NEA – 3, Department of Fisheries – 3, WABSA – 5 and 6 from<br>local associations .<br>The project provided capacity building on the Establishment of Labour Canyon Marine Protected Area for a total of 55 participants (30<br>males and 25 females) from different stakeholders including DPWM-10, Gambia Navy – 6, NEA – 7, Department of Fisheries – 2,<br>WABSA – 2 and 27 from local associations.<br>The project provided capacity building on Integrated Natural Resource Management, Sustainable Land Management and Biodiversity<br>Conservation for a total of 25 participants (18 males and 8 females) from different stakeholders including DPWM-10, NEA – 4,<br>Department of Foresty – 1, Department of Fisheries – 1, VMBSA – 1 and 8 from local associations.<br>There was a training workshop on the completion of the METT forms which included 10 participants from DPWM and 20 participants<br>from the management committees of the identified ICCAs and MPA. | s  |
| Activity 7. Strengthen existing stakeholder platforms with<br>support on spatial analysis/GIS and other planning tools as<br>needed  | 08/30/2024 | 0%   | 0%   | To be started in Q1Y4   |    |
| Activity 8: Undertake sensitization of the revised policy and<br>legal framework   | 08/30/2024 | 0%   | 60%  | Two trainings have been offered: 1 at the regional level on the local regulatory fromeworks (on biodiversity, SLM, waterscape<br>resources, ecotourism, etc.) regulatory fromeworks; and 1 at the national level which focused on women in SLM and INRM. The<br>national level event was chaired by Her Excellency the Minister of the Environment, and the Minister of Gender  | s  |
| under Comp 2   |            |      |      |   |    |
| Output 2.1: Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA)<br>completed to support the systematization of the policy and<br>legal framework strengthening activities for Kuntaur LGA,<br>and provides recommendations for avoiding and mitigating<br>impacts provides recommendations for avoiding and<br>mitigating impacts | 11/30/2022 | 100% | 100% | The study is completed and validated .<br>The none technical synopsis has been shared to the relevant stakeholders.   | S  |
| Activity 9: Prepare the SEA report   | 02/28/2021 | 100% | 100% | The report is submitted and has been reviewed and validated.  |    |

| key stakeholders and publicize the results of the SEA<br>assessment  | 11/30/2022 | 100% | 100% | The technical synopsis has been completed and shared with relevant stakeholders.  | S |
|--|------------|------|------|---|---|
| Output 2.2 Spatially-based decision-making system for<br>Integrated Natural Resource Management (INRM)<br>established to enhanced the capacity for planning and<br>managing land- and sea-based resources                                  | 08/30/2023 | 40%  | 80%  | The project has created a Geographical Information Systems Center at the premises of the National Environment Agency. This is a<br>fully equipped GIS Center with the relevant hardware and software to undertake geospatial analysis in support of decision-making in<br>SLM, INRM, and biodiversity management.<br>Three related capacity building initiatives have been undertaken to build the capacity of staff of the Department of Parks and Wildlife<br>Management, Department of Forestry, National Environment Agency, and related institutions.  | S |
| Activity 11. Build on results from the situation analysis<br>carried out in output 2.1 to identify data gaps, collect<br>primary and secondary data on relevant spatially based<br>attributes of INRM (soil, vegetation, ecological, etc.) | 05/30/2021 | 20%  | 60%  | Secondary data has been collected and archived for the project developed database. Primary data is being collected to further enrich the database. It is thought that data will continue to be collected throughiout the life of the project whenever such data is found to exe The goal is to enrich the data as much as possible.   |   |
| Activity 12: Acquire relevant data sets and input based<br>multiple-scale data-layers as well as national or sub-nations<br>data-layers and knowledge of local experts to fill the data<br>gaps <sup>132</sup>                             | 08/30/2022 | 40%  | 60%  | Data is being collected through the different studies that have been funded by the project.<br>These data will be harmonized and used to fill potential data gaps that may be identified through the data gap analysis.   | S |
| Activity 13. Develop a digital database of natural land and<br>sea resources for The Gambia while disaggregating the<br>project locations.   | 08/30/2021 | 40%  | 50%  | The development of a digital database to support decision-making in INRM, SLM and BD management is on-going<br>The reason why this activity is not completed is because the data gap analysis has not been completed. Once the completion is done<br>potential gaps in data will have to be filled and uploaded to the database.  |   |
| Activity 14: Publish the asset information using Web<br>Mapping Service (VMIS) for stakeholders to be able to<br>visualize and give feedback in a crowdsourcing architecture   | 08/30/2022 | 0%   | 0%   | This activity will be completed when the data populating the database has reached a threshold seen as optimal for supporting SLM, INRM, and BD management   | υ |
| Output 2.3 Integrated Land Use Management Plans<br>(ILUMPs) developed for the 5 districts within Kuntaur LGA<br>and availed for stakeholder consideration  | 05/30/2025 | 0%   | 10%  | Two calls have been launched to attract consultants to undertake integrated land use planning for the Kuntaur LGA. These have been<br>unsuccessful in attracting suitable candidates to undertake the ILUMP tasks of the project.<br>The project is also finalizing the selection of government staff to trained on Land use planning in a designated university in Africa.<br>NB: The National land use policy is been develop presently.<br>The project has supported the development and adoption of community declarations as local policies to address issues related to<br>SLM, INRM and BD Conservation. | S |
| Activity 15. Identify forest area and users and assess their<br>interests, capacity of land/seascape management  | 11/30/2020 | 100% | 100% | Activity was completed by the Department of Forestry.   | s |
| Activity 16: Undertake consultations for the creation of<br>Committee for Land Use Planning (CLUP) in project<br>districts   | 11/30/2021 | 100% | 100% | There are a number of bodies that have been created at the local and district levels that can serve this role. These include the:<br>• Local Environment Committees at the district level<br>• Site Management Committee at protected area/village level<br>• Agriculture and Natural Resources working group at the level of the Governor's office<br>• Village Development Committee at the village level<br>Creating a Committee for Land Use Planning (CLUP) will expand the number of committees substantially, with no additional benefit.  | S |
| Activity 17: Support the CLUP in organizing meetings with<br>district authorities, local leaders and the villagers to<br>sensitize about the participatory land use planning process<br>to start in their area                             | 02/28/2023 | 100% | 100% | Five meetings were organized (one per district) to raise the awareness of the leaders, local communities, and relevant land user<br>groups on the participatory review process of land use planning in the Kuntaur LGA .  | S |
| Activity 18: Prepare land use plans, village regulations,<br>and detailed management plans for each of the five districts  | 11/30/2023 | 0%   | 0%   | Not yet started. Await the availability of a Land Use Policy in order to develop ILUM in the 5districts of K-LGA  | U |
| Activity 19: Support the linking of the management plans<br>to extension services on improved land management and<br>cadastral services for land allocation programs   | 05/30/2025 | 0%   | 0%   | Same as above   | U |
| Output 2.4 Multi-sectoral stakeholder committees<br>established in the five districts to facilitate dialogue on SLM<br>and BD conservation by year 202(  | 05/30/2020 | 100% | 100% | Local Environment Committees have been established in all five districts of the Kuntaur LGA. These committees are multi-sectoral (drawing from government departments, local authorities, women's groups, etc.)   | S |
| Activity 20. Strengthen and support local committees on<br>SLM – Local Environment Committees as per NEMA 1994   | 05/30/2021 | 50%  | 100% | Local Environment Committees (LECs) have been established in all districts of the Kuntaur LGA.<br>LECs has been trained in different aspects of SLM, BD management and INRM and specially on the implementation of the<br>declarations serving as Local policy on issues related to SLM, INRM and BD conservation.  | s |

| Activity 21: Support existing Village Land Development<br>Committees (VDCs) to create a sub-committee for land<br>and to develop operational plans for SLM and BD<br>conservation, based on land use plans  | 02/28/2022 | 100% | 100% | The VDCs have been supported in 4 main ways : (i) Capacity building on SLM and NRM; (ii) Awareness raising on the value of SLM<br>INRM and BD management on ecosystem health and services; (iii) Material support with equipment and tools for managing forest<br>resources (fighting forest fires, ranger equipment, monitoring tools, etc); (iv) Livelihood support by building their capacity for<br>beekeeping practice   | s  |
|---|------------|------|------|---|----|
| Activity 22. Define incentive packages for village and<br>district level SLM and BD conservation efforts, and<br>negotiate agreements with the local communities and other<br>stakeholders (out 3)  | 08/30/2022 | 0%   | 70%  | The project has identified and is developing a system of subsidies to offset the costs of implementing SLM practices or BD<br>conservation. This will take the form of a conservancy approach to biodiversity conservation. Here communities and people who set<br>aside land for conservation will have access to benefits offered by a project supported Kuntaur Conservancy and Ecotourism<br>Resource Center - KuCERC. The Center will have an ecotourism information center. The Women's Center within this premises will<br>provide a space for women participating in conservation to develop and showcase their handicraft and artwork. The Centre will also<br>generate revenue through other sources (se the concept note) which will be shared as dividentd to people and communities<br>participating in conservation initiaives. |    |
| Activity 23: Support local communities to identify, train,<br>and engage local rangers on site management and<br>enforcement capabilities for protected areas   | 05/30/2023 | 35%  | 35%  | The managers of the ICCAs have been designated by the Department of Parks and Wildlife. 28 rangers will be recruited from the<br>communities once the ICCAs have been officially designated by the Ministry of the Environment of The Gambia.   | s  |
| Activity 24: Initiate implementation of the management and<br>operational plans at the district level   | 05/30/2025 | 0%   | 0%   | Not started   |    |
| Output 2.5 Enhanced local capacities for compliance and<br>enforcement of sustainable forest and land management<br>and mainstreaming of forest connectivity in the Kuntaur<br>LGA  | 05/30/2025 | 0%   | 50%  | Capacity building specific to compliance and enforcement of sustainable forest and land management and mainstreaming of forest connectivity has been executed with the established LECs committee members in the five districts. The sub-ANRWG of the Janjanbureh is supported to address this output.  |    |
| Activity 25. Develop a training programme to meet<br>requirements including for INRM, SLM and BD<br>management  | 02/28/2021 | 50%  | 100% | The manual is designed to empower Local Government staff to take responsibility for providing support to District local government<br>staff, community leaders, Village Development Committees (VDCs) to ensure sustainability and good governance in Sustainable Land<br>Management, Biodiversity Conservation and Sustainable Land Management initiatives.<br>Furthermore, the Kafuta forest school curriculum has been prepared and validated. The development of standards for the certificate<br>programme and supporting the accreditation of the certificate course through the submission of an application and approval of the<br>National Accreditation and Quality Assurance Authority The Gambia (NAQAA) is the final activity to de done.  |    |
| Activity 26: Deliver training to local stakeholders (including<br>land/seascape users, community leaders, representatives<br>of common initiative groups, and local level decision-<br>makers)  | 11/30/2022 | 100% | 100% | Three trainings were undertaken (2 in Kuntaur and 1 in Kartong). Report title "Capacity building training on natural resourc<br>management for local communities in Kuntaur LGA and Kartong'<br>That of Kuntaur brought 60 people (male = 30; female = 30)<br>Kartong brought 30 peopl (male = 15; female 15)   |    |
| Activity 27: Support the improvement of curriculum for the<br>training of teachers on INRM, SLM and BD  | 11/30/2023 | 0%   | 0%   | Not started.  |    |
| Activity 28: Organize meetings with relevant policy makers<br>in education to discuss the incorporation of sustainable land<br>management into relevant curricula   | 05/30/2025 | 0%   | 0%   | Not started.  |    |
| Activity 29: Develop and test appropriate models of<br>communication of SLM, INRM, BD management<br>information in the project sites and relevant national<br>channels  | 05/30/2025 | 0%   | 0%   | A communication consultant is recruited to provide models of communication of SLM, INRM, BD management information in the<br>project sites and relevant national channels.  | s  |
| Activity 30: Engage and use the press for raising<br>awareness on SLM, INRM, BD management and its<br>potential impacts on various facets of livelihoods and<br>commercial activities.  | 30/05/2025 | 0%   | 30%  | Various media coverage have been organised during project activities to conduct aweness on conservation and sustainable livelihood  | s  |
| u Under Comp 3  |            |      |      |   |    |
| Output 3.1: Mapping of PAs, KBAs, Community Forests,<br>and important areas for BD connectivity conducted to<br>provide a basis for decision-making in natural resources<br>planning and management, as well as for benchmarking<br>project impact. | 08/30/2021 | 50%  | 80%  | The mapping of Pas, KBAs and other protected areas is a simultaneous process that is being implemented through a range of<br>activities being currently implemented by the project. These include activities associated with the establishment of ICCAs , MPAs and<br>CFs, those associated with the establishment of connectivity corridors, etc.  | S  |
| Activity 31: Design database for key PAs, KBAs,<br>Community Forests, and important areas for BD<br>connectivity.   | 02/28/2023 | 25%  | 35%  | The database design is on-going, and it is being populated with secondary data  | S  |
| Activity 32: Undertake a study to assess the connectivity o KBAs. PAs. and important BD areas <sup>136</sup> .  | 05/30/2025 | 100% | 100% | The connectivity assessment is completed, and an ecological corridor identified based on this assessment .  | HS |

| Activity 33: Build on Output 2.2 to update and refine a<br>comprehensive baseline on PAs, KBAs, Community<br>Forests, important areas for BD, and ecosystem services<br>and incorporate findings in a GIS   | 05/30/2025    | 30% | 100% | The project has supported the DPWM to undertake a comprehensive baseline study on PAs, KBAs, Community Forests, important<br>areas for BD, and ecosystem services. The report is available for the preparation of the gazette notice for the designation of the<br>ICCAs and Kartong MPA as protected areas.  | S |
|---|---------------|-----|------|---|---|
| Output 3.2 Zoning Plan developed and implemented for<br>Kuntaur LGA covering 100,908 ha resulting in increased<br>ecological connectivity between and within different priority<br>biodiversity habitats  | 05/30/2021    | 40% | 80%  | The Council saw the relevance of the zoning and the potential environmental and economic benefits of habitat connectivity in the area.<br>The process is underway through community consultations to bring local communities on-board with biodiversity-friendly zoning of the<br>Local government area, and the allocation of land to achieve the objectives of habitat connectivity for biodiversity.   | S |
| Activity 34 Support a task-force to undertake an<br>assessment of ecological connectivity between and within<br>different priority biodiversity habitats in the project area,   | 02/28/2023    | 20% | 100% | The ecological connectivity has been assessed and the ecological corridor identified.   | S |
| Activity 35: Establish a list of identified key issues and<br>potential focus areas for zoning plans and prepare district<br>and regional level stakeholder meetings to prioritize<br>identified key issues at different administrative levels                                      | 05/30/2025    | 20% | 60%  | A participatory review of national land use, and related, policies and local plan for the ultimate objective of producing guidelines for<br>land-use planning at the local level was completed. The zoning will be implemented as soon as the land use policy is available and the<br>Land use Management plans of the 5 districts developed.   | S |
| Activity 36: Develop a participatory impact monitoring<br>system (including a communication plan to strengthen the<br>monitoring systems)   | 05/30/2025    | 0%  | 0%   | To be started in Q4Y3   | S |
| Output 3.3: River Gambia National Park (589 ha)<br>management effectiveness is improved through the<br>enhancement of institutional capacity for management,<br>spatial decision-making, and collaborative planning in<br>natural resources management.                             | 05/30/2025    | 25% | 35%  | Several rounds of consultations have been had with the River Gambia National Park. The development of the management plans,<br>based on the consultations is underway. The review of the management will be implemented very soon.<br>There is a supply of firefighting equipment to enhance management of wildlife species and their related habitats. Local communities<br>and forest committee members participate regularly on METT assessment exercises.   |   |
| Activity 37: Develop operational plans (through<br>participatory processes with local stakeholders) to improve<br>and support adaptive management of the River Gambia<br>National Park  | 02/28/2022    | 25% | 25%  | Consultations with local communities around the RGNP related to developing the management plans is on-going.<br>The task of developing the management plans has been assigned to the Department of Parks and Wildlife Management.   | s |
| Activity 38: Support existing committees in adjacent<br>communities to the national park in enforcing local<br>management committees for their sections of the national<br>park.  | 05/30/2025    | 0%  | 50%  | Local communities around the RGNP have been supported with materials and tools for forest management. These include tools for<br>fighting forest fires, tools to support ranger monitoring and enforcement action in the protected sections of the RGNP. The<br>establishment of SMC around the RGNP has benefited a lot from the project.  | S |
| Activity 39: Support incentive schemes to support sub-<br>orojects, and promote best-practices in national park<br>management   | 05/30/2025    | 15% | 50%  | The local communities around the RGNP have been part of all trainings related to SLM, BD management, and INRM.<br>Local communities took part in the completion of the METT for the protected area . 60 people participated in a METT evaluation<br>meeting organised at Janjanbureh. This event explored the effectiveness of 10 protected areas located in the K-LGA. a full report is<br>already existing drawing a summary of the evaluation for the last two participatory assessment. The RGNP being a successful project<br>in relation to the conservation of the chimpanzees, and has been used as a model for the various ICCAs to emulate. | S |
| Dutput 3.4: Four Indigenous Community Conserved Areas<br>ICCAs) covering 10,000 ha, as well as one community<br>orest at Sifo and Titih established and capacitated   | 05/30/2025    | 35% | 95%  | Four ICCAs have been established in Niani Sukuta, Niani Kayayi, Jailani Bakadagi, and Jarumeh Koto swamplands. These area<br>partly or wholly located within three Key Biodiversity Areas (KBAs), span from Samba Sotor to Kaur Wetlands, Dankunku Wetlands,<br>and the Islands of the Central River Region. The one MPA established is the Kartong-Allahein Marine Park. Administrative challenges<br>have hindered the establishment of the Labour Kanyon MPA. Engagements with the Government are on-going to address the<br>situation.  | 8 |
| Activity 40. Form pilot committees in each of the four<br>ndigenous Conserved Areas to undertake: (i) Talks with<br>relevant institutions and actors; (ii) Clarify the need for<br>CCAs. (iv) Clarify objectives of LUPs to manage the<br>CCAs; and (v) Determine of the area ICCAs | 02/28/2022    | 60% | 100% | DPWM has already completed talks with relevant institutions and actors; clarified the need for ICCAs; and determined the area ICCAs. The establishment of the settlement committee has facilitated discussion with all stakeholders on the potential designation of the proposed areas.   | S |
| Activity 41: Establish the Bahama-Sifo Forest Reserve   | 05/30/2021    | N/A | 0%   | The issue with the military taking over the site has been discussed in the preparatory PSC meeting. The final decision about the fate of<br>this proposed project location was the decision of offsetting activities in the Bassang Wetlands in CRR South.  |   |
| Activity 42: Draft and land use plans for ICCAs and the<br>Bahama-Sifo Forest Reserve (including relevant associated<br>documents and maps) and undertake public presentations<br>and discussions for stakeholder feedback.   | 05/30/2023    | 0%  | 60%  | The management plan and zoning of the ICCAs is the next step after the pronouncementof the Minister of Environment. The process<br>framework has been completed and the process of developing the management plan is ongoing. Also see comment on Bahama-Sife<br>above.   | S |
| Activity 43: Provide protected area management training<br>to local indigenous populations in support of the new ICCAs<br>and the Bahama-Sifo Forest Researve <sup>38</sup>   | \$ 05/30/2025 | 0%  | 0%   | Recruitment of these rangers will be done as soon as the ICCAs and Kartong MPA is gazetted. A MOU will be signed with the DPWM for financial and technical support of the project for the effective management of the Pas.  |   |

| Output 3.5: SLM measures implemented in line with the<br>developed ILUMPs improving productivity and sustainability<br>of rangelands and farmlands in an area of 1,000 hectares<br>of agricultural land.              | 05/30/2025 | 30%  | 60%  | SLM measures are being implemented in several dimensions. The project has and continues to support tree planting and care,<br>mangrove planting, bee keeping, management of forest fires.<br>• Tree planting in Koli Kunda, Buduk, Dankunku, Touba Mourid, and Bush Town<br>• Establishment of wood lots in Buduk and Koli Kunda, Dankunku, Touba Mourid, and Bush Town<br>• Beekeeping in all 5 project districts<br>• Training on tree planting techniques, soil management, pest control |
|---|------------|------|------|---|
| Activity 44. Set up plots for SLM demonstration at village<br>clusters to test and demonstrate locally relevant best<br>practices as well as gain local impressions of potential SLM<br>approaches and technologies   | 05/30/2021 | 100% | 100% | Demonstrations plots have been identified and MOUs signed with the relevant local communities for project support in convertin<br>them into learning centres for SLM and INRM.     Fencing has been completed for these demonstration plots.     The relevant stakeholders (including women's groups have been contacted and engaged)     Training materials (posters) have been developed to support the training of local communities.  |
| Activity 45: Introduce and demonstrate relevant SLM approaches and technologies to land users   | 05/30/2024 | 45%  | 70%  | A number of SLM approaches have been introduced in the communitie:<br>• Tree planting in Koli Kunda and Buduk<br>• Tree planting in Dankunkun, Touba Mourid, and bush town<br>• Establishment of wood lots in Buduk and Koli Kunda<br>• Beekeeping in all 5 project districts<br>• establishment of wood lots in Dankunku, Touba Mourid, and bush town<br>• Training on tree planting techniques, soil management, pest control   |
| Activity 46: Provide technical support and material<br>assistance for the transfer of SLM approaches from<br>demonstration sites to agricultural fields   | 05/30/2025 | 20%  | 50%  | <ul> <li>Training materials (posters) have been developed to support the training of local communities.</li> <li>Beekeeping in all 5 project districts – trainees were supported with hives and beekeeping materials.</li> <li>A consultant is recruited to demonstrate other locally relevant SLM activities that were identified during the baseline study.</li> </ul>  |
| Output 3.6: Proven SLM tools documented and<br>disseminated for large-scale adoption  | 05/30/2024 | 0%   | 0%   | Not started   |
| Activity 47: Document proven SLM practices that have<br>been adopted in the project communities   | 05/30/2021 | 0%   | 0%   | Not started because it depends on another activity – the establishment of demonstration plots which is on-going.  |
| Activity 48: Identify and provide initial seed funding for<br>model SLM/INRM projects with best potential for scaling up<br>and cross-sectoral/multi-stakeholder partnerships   | 05/30/2023 | 0%   | 0%   | To be started in Q4Y4   |
| Activity 49: Support the organization of existing district and<br>ward organizations to enable them better serve as support<br>structures for SLM dissemination and upscaling <sup>40</sup> .                         | 05/30/2024 | 0%   | 0%   | To be started in Q4Y3   |
| Activity 50: Support existing national platform for SLM<br>application to develop their annual plans for operation and<br>coordination of activities of district-level advisory<br>committees                         | 08/30/2023 | 0%   | 0%   | To be started in Q4Y3   |
| Dutput 3.7: Two Datasets on (i) socio-economic and (ii)<br>anvironmental performance of project and baseline<br>activities in Kuntaur LGA available, validated by<br>stakeholders and availed to the GoG for adoption | 05/30/2025 | 60%  | 60%  | The process of data acquisition of all data to support a baseline understanding of the project context is completed. The two datasets will be developed, validated and avail to GOTG for adoption   |
| Activity 51: Undertake biological and ecological studies to<br>stablish floral and faunal inventories for the Kuntaur LGA<br>using specialized teams recruited from the inter-ministerial<br>working group            | 02/28/2023 | 60%  | 80%  | Biological and ecological assessments are part of the process framework of the DPWM when establishing ICCAs. These will be<br>carried out after the current PRA.  |
| Activity 52: Perform land performance analysis to establish<br>and improvement/degradation baselines, as well as land<br>pover and vegetation trend analysis  | 02/28/2023 | 100% | 100% | This has been completed through an analysis of land use and land cover trends for the Kuntaur LGA. This was enlarged to cover the<br>wider CRR regions. The analysis included land productivity change analysis ; land degradation trend analysis; and land cover/land use<br>change analysis over a 30-year period (1990-2020)   |
| Activity 53: Carry out socio-economic assessment to<br>provide a benchmark for project output and performance   | 02/28/2023 | 100% | 100% | While this activity was not planned for this year (in the workplan), the baseline study has been carried out which provides this<br>benchmark adequately. The PRA was done as an exercise to collect historical data of the ICCAs and Kartong MPA.  |
| Activity 54: Develop a database of key environmental<br>performance indicators and socio-economic profile of the<br>Kuntaur-LGA and populate with relevant attributes derived<br>from the study                       | 11/30/2023 | 0%   | 0%   | To be started in Q4Y2   |
| Activity 55: Undertake an assessment of the<br>environmental performance of project and baseline<br>activities drawing from data collected and stored in the<br>database<br>Under Comp 4                              | 05/30/2025 | 0%   | 0%   | To be started in Q1Y3   |

| Output 4.1: Kartong Allahein River Marine Protected Area<br>(3,000 ha) established and operating as a result of land use<br>and marine spatial planning processes completed        | 05/30/2025 | 20% | 100% | The administrative procedures towards the establishment of marine protected areas is completed.   |
|--|------------|-----|------|---|
| Activity 56. Support the development of zoning plans for<br>the establishment of 4,000 ha Kartong Allahein River<br>Marine Protected Area  | 05/30/2021 | 40% | 50%  | The process framework for the designation and establishment of protected areas has the development of zoning plans as one of its<br>key activities. The Department of Parks and Wildlife Management is working its way towards this in the implementation of its process<br>framework. The management plan development will be the first activity after the pronouncement of the honourable Minister of<br>Environment. This management plan will contain the zoning of the protected area. |
| Activity 57. Organize 1 training per year to build capacity<br>on marine protected area management for the local<br>community (ensuring participation of at least 50% of<br>women) | 05/30/2025 | 20% | 40%  | Annual training has been undertaken on the importance and management of marine protected areas . The training for 2023 is<br>scheduled in August.   |
| Activity 58: Develop a five-year operational plan for the<br>Kartong Allahein River Marine Protected Area through a<br>broad participatory process                                 | 05/30/2025 | 0%  | 0%   | To be developed as soon as the minister pronounce the gazettement of the MPA.   |
| Output 4.2. Labour Canyon Marine Protected Area (15,000<br>ha) established and operating as a result of marine spatial<br>planning processes                                       | 05/30/2025 | 20% | 45%  | A letter of intent was submitted to the Ministry for notification. Some Stakeholder consultations are well under way and all relevant<br>partners are informed of the establishment of the deep sea MPA.  |
| Activity 59. Support the development of zoning plans for<br>the establishment of 15,000 ha Labour Canyon Marine<br>Protected Area  | 05/30/2021 | 10% | 30%  | The community consultations for the establishment of Labour Canyon MPA has been done.     The resources assessment is still pending. This is partly because of the sensitive nature of the MPA – it is in the high seas.     Plans are underway to work with the DPVWM and Department of Fisheries in undertaking the assessments necessary for the     establishment of the MPA.   |
| Activity 60: Organize 1 training per year to build capacity<br>on marine protected area management for the local<br>community (ensuring participation of at least 50% of<br>women) | 05/30/2025 | 20% | 50%  | Annual training has been undertaken on the importance and management of marine protected areas . The training for 2023 is<br>scheduled in August.   |
| Activity 61: Develop a five-year operational plan for the<br>Labour Canyon Marine Protected Area through a broad<br>participatory process  | 05/30/2025 | 0%  | 0%   | To be started in Q1Y4   |

The Task Manager will decide on the relevant level of disaggregation (i.e. either at the output or activity level

|  |       | Please refer to the Risk Help Sheet for more details on rating  |   |   |  |
|--|-------|---|---|---|--|
| Risk Factor  |       | EA's Rating   |   | TM's Rating   |  |
| Management structure - Roles and responsib           | A     | Low : Well developed, stable Management Structure and<br>Roles/responsibilities are clearly defined/understood. Low<br>likelihood of potential negative impact on the project delivery.   | A | Low : Well developed, stable Management Structure and Roles/responsibilities are clearly defined/understood.<br>Low likelihood of potential negative impact on the project delivery.  |  |
| Governance structure - Oversight                     | A     | Moderate: Steering Committee and/or other project bodies<br>meet at least once a yearand Active membership and<br>participation in decision-making processes. SC provides<br>direction/inputs. Moderate likelihood of potential negative<br>impact on the project delivery. | A | Moderate: Steering Committee and/or other project bodies meet at least once a yearand Active membership and<br>participation in decision-making processes. SC provides direction/inputs. Moderate likelihood of potential<br>negative impact on the project delivery. |  |
| Implementation schedule                              | A     | Moderate: Project progressing according to work planand<br>Adaptive management and regular monitoring. Moderate<br>likelihood of potential negative impact on the project delivery.   | A | Moderate: Project progressing according to work planand Adaptive management and regular monitoring.<br>Moderate likelihood of potential negative impact on the project delivery.  |  |
| Budget   | A     | Low : Activities are progressing within planned budgetand<br>Balanced budget utilisation including PMC. Low likelihood of<br>potential negative impact on the project delivery.   | A | Low : Activities are progressing within planned budgetand Balanced budget utilisation including PMC. Low likelihood of potential negative impact on the project delivery.   |  |
| Financial Management                                 | A     | Low : Funds are correctly managed and transparently<br>accounted forand Audit reports provided regularly and confirm<br>correct use of funds. Low likelihood of potential negative<br>impact on the project delivery.   | A | Low : Funds are correctly managed and transparently accounted forand Audit reports provided regularly and<br>confirm correct use of funds. Low likelihood of potential negative impact on the project delivery.   |  |
| Reporting  | A     | Low : Substantive reports are presented in a timely manner<br>and Reports are complete and accurate with a good analysis<br>of project progress and implementation issues. Low<br>likelihood of potential negative impact on the project delivery.                          | A | Low : Substantive reports are presented in a timely manner and Reports are complete and accurate with a good<br>analysis of project progress and implementation issues. Low likelihood of potential negative impact on the<br>project delivery.                       |  |
| Capacity to deliver                                  | A     | Low : Sound technical and managerial capacity of institutions<br>and other project partners and Capacity gaps were addressed<br>before implementation or during early stages. Low likelihood<br>of potential negative impact on the project delivery.                       | A | Low : Sound technical and managerial capacity of institutions and other project partners and Capacity gaps<br>were addressed before implementation or during early stages. Low likelihood of potential negative impact on the<br>project delivery.                    |  |
| If any of the risk factors is rated a Moderate or hi | gher, | lease include it in Table B below   |   |   |  |

| Outcomes 2-3           | м   |  |  |   |  |   |   |   |   |
|------------------------|---|--|--|---|--|---|---|---|---|
|                        |   |  | L  | L   |  |   |   | =   | The Administration structures (TAC & MDFT) at the Central<br>River Region are used by the project to implement activities<br>in the K-LGA and Kombo South. This move downgraded<br>the risk.  |
| All outcomes & outputs | L   |  | L  | L   |  |   |   | =   | The project is supporting the Biodiversity conservation<br>Institution. Even though the national budgetary allocation is<br>small, the project is providing tremendous support in terms<br>of activity implementation and the involvement of the staff<br>as incentive and motivation to do work. |
| All outcomes & outputs | Μ   |  | L  | L   |  |   |   | =   | coastal ecosystems that function to prevent coastal<br>erosion and seawater intrusion, while activities to improve<br>connectivity between conserved forest areas will help to<br>preserve sufficient habitat for species in the face of  |
|                        | L   |  | L  | L   |  |   |   | =   | The project chose entry to local communities through the<br>established structures (chief, alkali and VDC) and hope that<br>this will help mitigate resistance and avoid conflicts<br>between community members.  |
| All outcomes & outputs | L   |  | L  | L   |  |   |   | =   | The monthly meeting of the Heads of the partner has<br>assisted in addressing the risk of power struggles among<br>them   |
| Outcomes 2-3           | н   |  | L  | м   |  |   |   | ↑   | Livelihood initiatives are already defined but remain to be executed. Its too early to evaluation this risk.  |
| Outcome 1              | L   |  | L  | м   |  |   |   | ↑.  | A stakeholder identification exercise is held almost on all<br>activities in order to address adequately the risk involved<br>on effective participation.   |
| All outcomes & outputs | L   |  | L  | м   |  |   |   | ↑   | Sectoral department representatives are fully involved in<br>the implementation of activities. District level staff (task<br>force members) from these departments is proposed to<br>lead demonstration and capacity building activities.   |
| All outcomes & outputs | L   |  | L  | L   |  |   |   | =   | There are awareness programmes ongoing and it is<br>anticipated that the SLM demonstration exercises and the<br>livelihood initiatives will bring the change needed to avoid<br>the change of behavior towards sustainable.   |
| _                      | All outcomes & outputs<br>Outcomes 2-3<br>Outcome 1<br>All outcomes & outputs | All outcomes & outputs L Outcomes 2-3 H L Outcome 1 L All outcomes & outputs L L L L L L L L L L | All outcomes & outputs     L       Outcomes 2-3     H       Outcome 1     L       All outcomes & outputs     L | Image: state of the state o | L       L       L         All outcomes & outputs       L       L         Outcomes 2-3       H       L       M         Outcome 1       L       L       M         All outcomes & outputs       L       L       M         All outcomes & outputs       L       L       L         All outcomes & outputs       L       L       L | L       L       L       L         All outcomes & outputs       L       L       L         Outcomes 2-3       H       L       M         L       L       L       M         Outcome 1       L       L       M         All outcomes & outputs       L       L       M         L       L       L       L       M         All outcomes & outputs       L       L       L | Image: | L       L | All outcomes & outputs       M       L       L       L       I  |

| Risk | Actions decided during the previous reporting instance (PIR-1, MTR, etc.) | Actions effectively undertaken this reporting period |      | Additional mitigation measures for the n | ext periods |
|------|---|--|------|--|-------------|
|      |   |  | What | When                                     | By whon     |
|      |   |  |      |  |             |
|      |   |  |      |  |             |
|      |   |  |      |  |             |
|      |   |  |      |  |             |
|      |   |  |      |  |             |
|      |   |  |      |  |             |
|      |   |  |      |  |             |
|      |   |  |      |  |             |
|      |   |  |      |  |             |
|      |   |  |      |  |             |
|      |   |  |      |  |             |
|      |   |  |      |  |             |

### Gef UN () gef projement

### Project Minor Amendments

Minor amendments are changes to the project design or implementation that do not have significant impact on the project objectives or scope, or an increase of the GEF project financing up to 5% as described in Annex 9 of the Project and Program Cycle Policy Guidelines.

Please tick each category for which a change occurred in the fiscal year of reporting and provide a description of the change that occurred in the textbox. You may attach supporting document as appropriate.

#### 5.1 Table A: Listing of all Minor Amendment (TM)

| Minor amendments                 | Changes            | Minor amendments   |
|----------------------------------|--------------------|--|
| Results framework                |                    | Establish the Bahama-Sifo Forest Reserve: The issue with the military taking over the site has been discussed in the preparatory PSC meeting. The final decision about the   |
| Components and cost              |                    | fate of this proposed project location will be taken during the full PSC meeting. Initial proposal was to relinquish the site to the military and find an extension of the Kartong<br>Marine Protected Area to recover the lost area of the proposed Bahamas Sifoe area. The Project Steering Comittee meeting. The project in that regard is requested from the |
| Institutional and implementation |                    | manine rotection when the total area on the proposed balances once area. The rotect oceaning committee meeting, the project in that regard is requested non the<br>PSC for upsetting the planed community forest to Bansang. The committee members recommended for the project to proceed with the case for Bansang Wetlands.                                    |
| Financial management             |                    |  |
| Implementation schedule          | Explain in table B |  |
| Executing Entity                 |                    |  |
| Executing Entity Category        |                    |  |
| Minor project objective change   |                    |  |
| Safeguards                       |                    |  |
| Risk analysis                    |                    |  |
| Increase of GEF project          |                    |  |
| Co-financing                     |                    | 1  |
| Location of project activity     | Yes                | 1  |
| Other                            |                    | 1  |

### 5.2 Table B: History of project revisions and/or extensions (TM)

| Version                   | Signed/Approved by UNEP | Entry Into Force (last<br>signiture Date) | Agreement Expiry Date | Main changes introduced in this revision |
|---------------------------|-------------------------|---|-----------------------|--|
| Original Legal Instrument |                         |   |                       |  |
| Amendment 1               |                         |   |                       |  |
| Extension 1               |                         |   |                       |  |

### GEO Location Information:

The Location Name, Latitude and Longitude are required fields insofar as an Agency chooses to enter a project location under the set format. The Geo Name ID is required in instances where the location is not exact, such as in the case of a city, as opposed to the exact site of a physical infrastructure. The Location & Activity Description fields are optional. Project longitude and latitude must follow the Decimal Degrees WGS94 format and Agencies are encouraged to use at least four decimal points for greater accuracy. Users may add as many locations as appropriate. Web mapping applications such as OpenStreetMap (http://www.geonstreetmap.org/#map=4/21.84/82.79) or GeoNames.fttp://www.geonames.org/) use this format. Consider using a conversion tool as needed, such as: http://cordinates-converter.com/Please set the Geocoding User Guide by citching here(http://gefportal.worldbank.org/App/ssets/general/Geocoding%20User%20Guide.docx)

| Location Name<br>Required field | Latitude<br>Required field | Longitude<br>Required field | Geo Name ID<br>Required field if the location is<br>not an exact site | Location Description<br>Optional text field | Activity Description<br>Optional text field |
|---------------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|---|---|---|
| Kartong                         | 13.093003                  | -16.763438                  |   | Community Forest                            | Bee Keeping                                 |
| Bush Town                       | 13.442521                  | -14.645133                  |   | Community Forest                            | Bee Keeping                                 |
| Dankunku                        | 13.562392                  | -15.322809                  |   | Community Forest                            | Bee Keeping                                 |
| Janjanbureh                     | 13.534465                  | -14.761986                  |   | Community Forest Park                       | Bee Keeping                                 |

| Buduk Village            | 13.736684 | -15.086552 | Women's vegetable garden     | Fencing for women garden                                  |
|--------------------------|-----------|------------|------------------------------|---|
| Koli Kunda               | 13.559012 | -14.774277 | Women's vegetable garden     | Fencing for women garden                                  |
| Dankunku                 | 13.562392 | -15.322809 | Women's vegetable garden     | Fencing for women garden                                  |
| Tuba Murit               | 13.586847 | -15.324323 | Women's vegetable garden     | Fencing for women garden                                  |
| Kerr Maila               | 13.736102 | -15.333021 | Women's vegetable garden     | Fencing for women garden                                  |
| Bush Town                | 13.442521 | -14.645133 | Community Forest             | Support for forest Management                             |
| Koli Kunda               | 13.559012 | -14.774277 | Community Forest             | Support for forest Management                             |
| River Gambia National Pa | 13.653507 | -14.965998 | Community Forest             | Support for forest Management                             |
| Tankong Kunda            | 13.505347 | -14.677544 | Community Forest             | Support for forest Management                             |
| Kiberi                   | 13.577277 | -14.709645 | Community Forest             | Support for forest Management                             |
| Kahi Badi Forest Park    | 13.744745 | -15.028935 | Community Forest             | Support for forest Management                             |
| Jarumeh Koto             | 13.583602 | -14.813785 | Community Forest             | Support for forest Management                             |
| Dankunku                 | 13.562392 | -15.322809 | Community Forest             | Support for forest Management                             |
| Kartong                  | 13.093003 | -16.763438 | Indigenous Community Conserv | Mangrove Planting   |
| Buduk Village            | 13.736684 | -15.086552 | Community Women Garden       | Tree Planting   |
| Bush Town                | 13.442143 | -14.642211 | Community Forest             | Tree Planting   |
| Dankunku                 | 13.562392 | -15.322809 | Community Forest             | Tree Planting   |
| Tuba Murit               | 13.586847 | -15.324323 | Community Forest             | Tree Planting   |
| Koli Kunda               | 13.559012 | -14.774277 | Community women garden       | Tree Planting   |
| Jailan (Kudang)          | 13.701371 | -15.078148 | Indigenous Community Conserv | ICCA created  |
| Jarumeh Koto             | 13.569739 | -14.793983 | Indigenous Community Conserv | ICCA created  |
| Sukuta ICCA              | 13.589874 | -14.932065 | Indigenous Community Conserv | ICCA created  |
| Kai Hai ICCA             | 13.556136 | -14.885304 | Indigenous Community Conserv | ICCA created  |
| Kartong Allahein River   | 13.068725 | -16.745438 | Marine Protected Area        | MPA created   |
| Buduk Village            | 13.736684 | -15.086552 | Women's vegetable garden     | Boreholes develop to support women's gardens              |
| Koli Kunda               | 13.559012 | -14.774277 | Women's vegetable garden     | Boreholes develop to support women's gardens              |
| Dankunku                 | 13.562392 | -15.322809 | Women's vegetable garden     | Boreholes develop to support women's gardens              |
| Tuba Murit               | 13.586847 | -15.324323 | Women's vegetable garden     | Boreholes develop to support women's gardens              |
| Kerr Maila               | 13.736102 | -15.333021 | Women's vegetable garden     | Boreholes develop to support women's gardens              |
| Lamin Koto               | 13.546701 | -14.762367 | Ecotourism Resource Center   | Kuntaur Conservancy and Ecotourism Resource Center - KuCl |
| Lamin Koto               | 13.546701 | -14.762367 | River Gambia                 | Navy post built - support fight against poaching & IUU    |
| Bush Town                | 13.442143 | -14.642211 | Community Forest             | Ecolodge built - Support for ecotourism                   |

[Annex any linked geospatial file]