

GEF - PROJECT IMPLEMENTATION REPORT (PIR)

Document Generated by: CW TM At: 2024-09-12 17:21:04

Table of contents

1 PROJECT IDENTIFICATION	3
1.1 Project Details	3
1.2 Project Description	4
1.3 Project Contacts	5
2 Overview of Project Status	6
2.1 UNEP PoW & UN	6
2.2. GEF Core and Sub Indicators	8
2.3. Implementation Status and Risks	8
2.4 Co Finance	10
2.5. Stakeholder	10
2.6. Gender	12
2.7. ESSM	12
2.8. KM/Learning	13
2.9. Stories	14
3 Performance	15
3.1 Rating of progress towards achieving the project outcomes	15
3.2 Rating of progress implementation towards delivery of outputs (Implementation Progress) .	
4 Risks	20
4.1 Table A. Project management Risk	20
4.2 Table B. Risk-log	20
4.3 Table C. Outstanding Moderate, Significant, and High risks	22
5 Amendment - GeoSpatial	26
5.1 Table A: Listing of all Minor Amendment (TM)	26
5.2 Table B: History of project revisions and/or extensions (TM)	

UNEP GEF PIR Fiscal Year 2024 Reporting from 1 July 2023 to 30 June 2024

1 PROJECT IDENTIFICATION

1.1 Project Details

GEF ID: 10523	Umoja WBS:SB-020241	
SMA IPMR ID:116790	Grant ID:S1-32GFL-000786	
Project Short Title:		
GEF-CW.10523.Asia Textiles		
Project Title:		
Reducing uses and releases of chemicals of concerr	n, including POPs, in the textiles sector	
Duration months planned:	60	
Duration months age:	23	
Project Type:	Full Sized Project (FSP)	
Parent Programme if child project:		
Project Scope:	Regional	
Region:	Asia Pacific	
Countries:	Bangladesh,Indonesia,Pakistan,Vietnam	
GEF Focal Area(s):	Chemicals and Waste	
GEF financing amount:	\$ 8,850,000.00	
Co-financing amount:	\$ 43,272,506.00	
Date of CEO Endorsement/Approval:	2022-04-07	
UNEP Project Approval Date:	2022-05-11	
Start of Implementation (PCA entering into force): 2022-07-26		
Date of Inception Workshop, if available:	2022-10-13	
Date of First Disbursement:	2022-08-12	
Total disbursement as of 30 June 2024:	\$ 1,429,758.00	
Total expenditure as of 30 June:	\$ 604,341.00	

Midterm undertaken?:	No
Actual Mid-Term Date, if taken:	
Expected Mid-Term Date, if not taken:	2025-03-02
Completion Date Planned - Original PCA:	2027-07-31
Completion Date Revised - Current PCA:	2027-07-31
Expected Terminal Evaluation Date:	2028-07-31
Expected Financial Closure Date:	2029-01-31

1.2 Project Description

The project is funded by the Global Environment Facility (GEF) with the United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP) as the GEF Implementing Agency and Basel and Stockholm Conventions Regional Centre for Southeast Asia (BSCRC-SEA) and the Natural Resources Defense Council (NRDC) as the Executing Agencies with further technical assistance provided by UNEP in Viet Nam and ILO in Pakistan. The project is implemented in Bangladesh, Indonesia, Pakistan, and Viet Nam.

The objective of this project is significant and documented reductions in use, releases, and exposure to chemicals of concern (CoCs) including POPs in the textiles sector in selected countries (Bangladesh, Indonesia, Pakistan, and Viet Nam).

The project consists of the four following components:

Component 1: Information sharing and chemical management pilots on priority CoCs including POPs in textiles facilities. The Component aims for Tier 2 and Tier 3 textile companies to restrict use, releases, and exposure to priority CoC including POPs, and this will be achieved by providing education and technical support at facility level. The outputs cover four stages that are required for wet processing mills to manage chemicals, namely 1) identifying chemicals used, 2) sharing chemicals data with regulators and downstream value chain buyers, 3) transitioning to safer and more sustainable alternatives, and 4) using the knowledge gained to improve storage and handling, occupational health, facilities, and environmental management practices. The component includes an ILO pilot project to improve chemical-related occupational health and safety issues in mills; and a blockchain pilot on information transparency by UNECE.

Component 2: Eco-innvoative strategies towards a non-toxic and circular textiles' economy: This Component identifies and triggers policy changes by the private sector and governments to transition away from the use of POPs and other chemicals of concern in the textile sector. This component will go beyond the shift to chemicals alternatives, towards a non-toxic and circular economy approach in the textile sector and will inform both government and corporate policy development at the national, regional and global level. A systemic approach, from the raw material sourcing, design, production, consumption, waste management, including recycling, to the end-of-life

stage, can offer new business opportunities as well as generate other economic benefits to mill owners. The component includes pilot activities led by UNEP on eco-innovation through global value chains.

Component 3: Knowledge management for scaling up: scales project results nationally and globally, supporting Component 2 by creating and curating knowledge, information, education, safer alternatives, and sound management practices. The component outputs will scale up pilot project practices within the project countries and globally. The last output on gender mainstreaming will disseminate the technical work of the project among a very well established baseline and network of initiatives in the wider textiles & garment sector which focus on gender, social and labour issues.

Component 4: Monitoring and Evaluation: to ensure accountability and take a proactive approach to learning and continuous improvement.

1.3 Project Contacts

Division(s) Implementing the project	Industry and Economy Division
Name of co-implementing Agency	
Executing Agency (ies)	Basel & Stockholm Convention Regional Centre South East Asia (BCRC-SEA), Natural Resources Defense
	Council (NRDC)
names of Other Project Partners	Yiliqi, NRDC; Beatriz Cunha and Debaaj Abidi, ILO; Bettina Heller, UNEP
UNEP Portfolio Manager(s)	Kevin Helps
UNEP Task Manager(s)	Eloise Touni
UNEP Budget/Finance Officer	Edward Aput
UNEP Support Assistants	
Manager/Representative	Anton Purmono
Project Manager	
Finance Manager	Cynthia Indriani
Communications Lead, if relevant	

2 Overview of Project Status

2.1 UNEP PoW & UN

UNEP Current Subprogramme(s):	Thematic: Chemicals and pollution action subprogramme
UNEP previous	N/A
Subprogramme(s):	
PoW Indicator(s):	 Pollution: (iii)Number of policy, regulatory, financial and technical measures developed with UNEP support to reduce pollution in air, water, soil and the ocean Pollution: (iv)Reduction in releases of pollutants to the environment achieved with UNEP support Pollution: Change in action by the private sector and civil society on pollution prevention and control as a result of UNEP action Progress in the chemicals- and pollution-related aspects of the 2030 Agenda on which UNEP focuses its work
UNSDCF/UNDAF linkages	Bangladesh: Submitted its first NIP in 2009, attributing unintentional releases of POPs to water, largely to releases from the textile sector, and lists addressing this in its priorities. Bangladesh became a Party to Stockholm in 2007 but has not ratified any of the amendments to the convention Annexes listing the new POPs and is currently developing a NIP update. They did not submit a country report or other informational documents so there is a lack of data about used chemicals. Bangladesh's UN Development Assistance Framework, UNDAF 2017-2020, commits the country to reduce the volume of POPs in the environment by 500 tonnes in 2020 from 2015 levels; means of Verification, Frequency of Monitoring is conducted by Department of Environment. Indonesia: Submitted its first NIP in 2010, noting that the textiles industry was a major source category for dioxins and furans, prioritising further action in this sector. Indonesia has added every Convention amendment and revised its NIP in 2014. This update notes that textiles are among the top ten fastest growing industry in the country (not counting non-oil and gas). For PFOS, textiles are the third priority sector nationally (after paper and firefighting foams). Chemical suppliers were reported as having stopped the import of PFOS without informing about previous practices. Estimates based on export and import of articles thought to contain PFOS reveal the textile sector as the one with the biggest number of imported products containing PFOS (874,622 kg). The Centre for Green Industry in the Ministry of Industry has initiated an awareness campaign about the use of PFOS and related substances in several textile industries, but the NIP notes gaps in the regulatory framework of chemicals in articles and products. For PBDEs there is no exact information on the production, use and trade of PBDEs, but estimates on the amounts of trade volume are provided. The action plans include strengthening existing regulations, assessing the quantity of PFOS used, building a strategy to

inventory data; and in their Country Report in 2015 noting no legal/administrative actions taken on the use of PFOS, or HBB, penta- or octa-BDE and no regulatory schemes for industrial chemicals.

Pakistan: submitted its revised NIP in 2020, identifying the textile sector as a significant contributor to dioxin and furan emissions. According to the update, there is no specific legislation or regulation for PFOS and related substances. Certain synthetic carpets and synthetic textiles might be treated with PFOS or PFOA related substances and polymers. Synthetic carpets are produced in Pakistan, and those produced before 2002 might contain PFOS. Due to the long service life of carpets, some of these carpets might still be in use. Synthetic carpets/textiles produced or imported after 2002 might rather contain other PFAS such as PFOA and related substances. An assessment of potential quantities has not been conducted in this first inventory.

Currently very limited information is available for Pakistan on the PFOS or PFOA contamination in surface and ground water and related drinking water due to the lack of monitoring capacity and therefore an impact cannot be estimated but are urgently needed. In this first inventory of POPBFRs no assessment of the textile sector has been made but will be conducted in implementation when also monitoring capacity is developed. The exposure to HBCD in textiles might have a higher risk from fibers and related house dust ingestion. However, it is not clear to what extent HBCD has been used in textiles in Pakistan. For other minor uses of HBCD (textiles and electronics) no quantitative assessment was made. Pakistan has a large textiles and leather industry operating since decades. Both industries have used chemicals containing PCDD/Fs in the past (e.g., PCP or chloranil). Contamination with PCDD/Fs has been reported in textile and leather products due to the use of chlorinated aromatic chemicals, especially pentachlorophenol to protect the raw materials (e.g., cotton, wool or other fibers, leather); and use of PCDD/F-contaminated dyestuffs (e.g., chloranil or phthalocyanines). For the leather industry 210.5 g TEQ/a (4.7% of total) release is estimated while for textile industry the estimate is 23.1 g TEQ/a release. Assessment of POPs in textiles was prioritized under the NIP action plans. In their response for the third round of Country Reports in 2016, Pakistan notes a lack of technical capacity and financial resources to address PFOS assessment. In the UNDAF, Pakistan identifies textile workers as a priority and the UN commits to enabling textile stakeholders in Pakistan to obtain the knowledge needed for attaining international and regional competitiveness.

Viet Nam: submitted its NIP update in 2017. The NIP estimates that 5% of dioxin and furan goods are from the production of chemicals and consumer goods, including textiles, and prioritizes addressing dioxin and furan release in its action plan. Viet Nam has accepted every amendment and requested a specific exemption for the production and use of PFOS in the textile sector which expired 2015. However, there is no information about their process of phasing it out during that period of exemption or if use stopped after 2015. The NIP includes a full inventory of PFOS in synthetic carpets and textiles as a priority action, as well as investigations of textile and leather factories where dioxin and POPs chemicals have been historically used.

Viet Nam has a broad legislation on chemical safety management, including POPs. An example is the national plan to implement the Stockholm convention on POPs, it has continuously reconstructed its institutional and administrative system to promote environmental protection. In this context MONRE, who is responsible for environmental management in the whole country, was created in 2002.

	However, environmental investment is still insufficient.	
Link to relevant SDG Goals	Goal 8: Promote sustained, inclusive and sustainable economic growth, full and productive employment and decent work for all	
	Goal 12: Ensure sustainable consumption and production patterns	
	Goal 15: Protect, restore and promote sustainable use of terrestrial ecosystems, sustainably manage forests, combat	
	desertification, and halt and reverse land degradation and halt biodiversity loss	
Link to relevant SDG Targets:	8.4 Improve progressively, through 2030, global resource efficiency in consumption and production and endeavour to decouple	
	economic growth from environmental degradation, in accordance with the 10â€'Year Framework of Programmes on	
	Sustainable Consumption and Production, with developed countries taking the lead	
	12.4 By 2020, achieve the environmentally sound management of chemicals and all wastes throughout their life cycle, in	
	accordance with agreed international frameworks, and significantly reduce their release to air, water and soil in order to	
	minimize their adverse impacts on human health and the environment	
	12.a Support developing countries to strengthen their scientific and technological capacity to move towards more sustainable	
	patterns of consumption and production	
	15.1 By 2020, ensure the conservation, restoration and sustainable use of terrestrial and inland freshwater ecosystems and their	
	services, in particular forests, wetlands, mountains and drylands, in line with obligations under international agreements	

2.2. GEF Core and Sub Indicators

GEF core or sub indicators targeted by the project as defined at CEO Endorsement/Approval, as well as results

	Targets - Expected Value			
Indicators	Mid-term	End-of-project	Total Target	Materialized to date
9.1-Solid and liquid Persistent Organic Pollutants	5 tonnes of PFAS or PBDE	25 tonnes of PFAS or PBDE	25	
(POPs) removed or disposed (POPs type)				
9.6- POPs/Mercury containing materials directly	1,000 tonnes of POPs and	5,500 tonnes of PFAS	5,500	
avoided	CoC contaminated waste	contaminated waste		
10- Persistent organic pollutants to air reduced		2.31 gTEQ POPs to air	2.31	
		reduced		

Implementation Status 2024: 1st PIR

2.3. Implementation Status and Risks

	PIR#	Rating towards outcomes (section 3.1)	Rating towards outputs (section 3.2)	Risk rating (section 4.2)
FY 2024	1st PIR	MS	MS	M
FY 2023				
FY 2022				
FY 2021				
FY 2020				
FY 2019				
FY 2018				
FY 2017				
FY 2016				
FY 2015				

Summary of status

As this is the first PIR since the inception meeting in Islamabad in October 2022, the project achievements are oriented around project management steps and official registration. The project has held 2 Project Steering Committees, with a split-session modality combining in person and virtual sessions (Oct 2022/Feb 2023 and Jan/March 2024). Progress in Indonesia is the most advanced (see below by Components). Project registration procedures with the governments of Viet Nam and Bangladesh are still to be finalized, causing some delay in accessing the grant and launching activities officially, although project coordinators have been recruited and activities started. In Pakistan the government focal person changed in late 2023, so the national coordinator selection is still pending. However the pilot project by ILO has begun and is progressing well.

Activities completed under Component 1 by NRDC have included the wet-processing mills mapping in all countries, developing practical inventory guidance and methodology, preparing the pilot delivery methodology and developing technical guidance documents on inventory and PFAS substitution. Trainings for wet mills have been successfully completed in Indonesia and over 900 mills have been mapped, which includes 592 mills in Bangladesh, 76 mills in Indonesia, 200 mills in Pakistan, and 66 mills in Vietnam for chemical inventories to be completed and managed through the service provider BHive. More in-depth PFAS substitution pilots have been selected for Indonesia and Pakistan (Gistex in Indonesia and Gohar Textile Mills Pvt Ltd. in Pakistan) and mill identification & criteria for selecting the remaining mills for pilots is underway. For activity on supporting initial safety measures in textile facilities, the International Labour Organization (ILO) initiated the pilot project in Karachi, Pakistan, to conduct capacity building programme initially with 10 Textile Mills to reduce the risk of Occupational Safety and Health (OSH) by conducting an in-depth risk assessment of chemical management SOPs and developing a capacity building session to enhance chemical management policies, training on chemical handling and exposure, and ensuring safety and well-being of workers. The Risk Assessment tool has been finalized and onboarding of all 10 Textile Mills are completed. The Risk Assessment session of factories are scheduled for July and August 2024. The progress of other activities is on track or to be started at a later phase.

Under Component 2, NRDC has started developing the of brand engagement strategy in partnership with service providers, which include criteria of the target brands and retailers, how to implement brands outreach and recruitments, and the roles and responsibilities of different engagement levels. NRDC has engaged with more than a dozen global brands and retailers to explore the potential of partnering in this project, especially on joint efforts in Per- and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances (PFAS) phaseout. While the conversations continue, 3 of these brands (New Balance, ANTA, and Maharam) joined the regional meeting on PFAS alternatives and phaseout implementations, together with project priority country representatives, textile manufacturers, chemical/material suppliers, and industry experts, to share the successes and challenges seen in their PFAS phaseout journey. As part of the efforts to strengthen national regulations, Indonesia, with supports from NRDC, has completed a gap analysis on PFAS and other Chemicals of Concern within the Indonesian Regulatory Framework and concluded that PFAS are poorly controlled and essentially unregulated in Indonesia, and also emphasized the benefits of benefits of managing PFAS as a class. With this as the base, Indonesia is planning to develop the national textiles, policy and enforcement roadmap.

On global knowledge management under Component 3, the inventory guidance and methodology which is applicable and beneficial to the broader industry, is in production to be published on the project KM platform. To maximize the project impact, the global KM strategy is under review for further revision in collaboration with a newly approved integrated project of Eliminating Hazardous Chemicals from Supply Chains and the Project of Promotion of circular economy in the textile and garment sector through the sustainable management of chemicals and waste in Lesotho, Madagascar, South Africa and Ethiopia.

Regarding the financial progress during reporting period, the project has reported expenditure of 42% (\$367,605 out of available \$877,436). The project will initiate midterm review in Q1 of 2025.

2.4 Co Finance

Planned Co-	\$ 43,272,506
finance:	
Actual to date:	
Progress	Justify progress in terms of materialization of expected co-finance. State any relevant challenges:
	Due to the delay in registration of the project with the government of Viet Nam and delays in kicking off national activities in Bangladesh and Pakistan,
	cofinance has not yet materialized from those countries. For Indonesia the mobilized cofinance has been satisfactory for this early stage in the project.

2.5. Stakeholder

Date of project steering	2024-03-07
committee meeting	
Stakeholder engagement (will be	The Inception Workshop for the project was held in Islamabad, Pakistan on 10-13 October 2022 and since then the project has held 2
uploaded to GEF Portal)	(two) Project Steering Committee (PSC) Meetings, with a split-session modality combining in person and virtual sessions (1st in person in
	Pakistan, Oct 2022, virtual in Feb 2023 and 2nd in person in Thailand, Jan 2024, virtual in March 2024). The Inception Workshop and both
	the Project Steering Committee Meetings were participated by representatives from Bangladesh, Indonesia, Pakistan and Vietnam
	(Ministry of Environment and Ministry of Industry) as well as UNEP, ILO, UNECE, the Executing Agencies, the National Project
	Coordinators (NPCs), national experts and technical service providers. The PSC Meetings discussed the progress in the past year, annual
	work plan and budget, and recommendations for the next actions.
	In Indonesia, the Kick-off Meeting and the 1st National Working Group (NWG) Meeting was held in Bandung in February 2023,
	participated by the NWG members from relevant Ministries, the Indonesian National Project Coordinator (NPC), associations, academia,
	and representatives from 60 textile industries in West Java and Banten area. The 2nd NWG/National stakeholders meeting was held in
	Jakarta in December 2023 participated by the representatives from the Ministry of Environment and Forestry (MOEF), Ministry of
	Industry (MOI), the NPC, and BSCRC-SEA and NRDC as the Executing Agencies. Technical meeting with NRDC expert for mapping and
	inventory preparation and site visit to a textile factory were conducted in September 2023. Technical Mapping and Inventory Training for
	Mills was held in Bandung on 6 March 2024, attended by the MOI and technical staff from mills. Site visit to PT. Gistex, the pilot mill in
	Indonesia, was conducted on the following day.
	The Regional Technical and Policy Discussion and Planning Meeting was held in Bangkok, Thailand, back-to-back with the 2nd PSC
	Meeting. The Regional Technical Meeting on pilot implementation and safer alternatives for PFAS chemicals was conducted in Porto,
	Portugal in June 2024. The Regional Technical Meetings were attended by the representatives of the 4 countries (MOE, MOI), the project
	partners, the NPCs, national experts and the technical service providers.
	A systematic plan for engaging stakeholders will be developed in conjunction with the 2025 workplans and approved by the PSC at its
	next meeting in Q4 2024.

2.6. Gender

Does the project have a gender	Yes
action plan?	
Gender mainstreaming (will be	The last output under Component 3 on gender mainstreaming will disseminate the technical work of the project among a very well
uploaded to GEF Portal):	established baseline and network of initiatives in the wider textiles and garment sector which focus on gender, social and labour issues.
	The regional gender coordinator has been recruited and is initiating activities as per the action plan.
	The output will deliver on the Gender and Social Action plan to achieve a gender sensitive project that will help factory owners, value
	chain actors, government officials and even consumers to understand how reduction of uses and release of CoCs will help social, physical
	and environmental aspects of men and women primarily by consolidating and compiling gender-relevant results from across the project
	components and other outputs on female participation in the textiles sector, occupational health and safety, social security and access to
	equal pay, and other gender relevant issues.
	The Output will link to work done in mills under Component 1 by ILO on the newly approved Code of Practice on safety and health in
	textiles, clothing, leather and footwear industries. The outcome of the gender action plan will be focused on gender analysis as part of
	the facility visits to identify and describe gender differences in handling, exposure and impacts of chemical management practices;
	training and awareness raising specifically targeting women workers, e.g. by provision of childcare to encourage participation and
	increasing access to training and jobs. Prioritizing women-owned or women-managed businesses for demonstration pilots and capacity
	building, creation of safe spaces for dialogue on chemical safety, labour and women's rights in the workplace, including access to training
	and protective equipment and practices through transitioning to a chemical free more sustainable economy are the results that should
	be looked forward to.
	Gender mainstreaming is an essential part of designing the capacity-building program. As female workers in Textile Mills are most
	affected by the exposure to hazardous chemicals, having their involvement in awareness raising and training sessions is essential.
	Through the capacity building programs, ILO will conduct sessions with female workers to make them in-house trainers for chemical
	awareness and safety training. A key consideration under this program will be to evaluate how periodic medical check-ups of female, as
	required by law, of female workers are effective in identifying any potential diagnosis by the Textile Mills.

2.7. ESSM

Moderate/High risk projects (in	Was the project classified as moderate/high risk CEO Endorsement/Approval Stage?
terms of Environmental and	Yes
social safeguards)	If yes, what specific safeguard risks were identified in the SRIF/ESERN?
	SS3: Pollution prevention and resource efficiencySS8: Labour and working conditions
New social and/or	Have any new social and/or environmental risks been identified during the reporting period?
environmental risks	No
	If yes, describe the new risks or changes?
Complaints and grievances	Has the project received complaints related to social and/or environmental impacts (actual or potential) during the reporting period?
related to social and/or	No
environmental impacts	If yes, please describe the complaint(s) or grievance(s) in detail, including the status, significance, who was involved and what actions
	were taken?
Environmental and social	
safeguards management	According to the safeguard assessment conducted at CEO Endorsement, risks associated with the SS 3 and SS 8 seem to be manageable
	through good practices and attention to the workers and SMEs. If unforeseen risks emerge, project team should be ready to develop and
	implement a risk management plan. Since the project indepth activities (pilot projects development and development of policy/
	regulations) are still in preparatory / assessment stages, no particular risks have yet materialized. The recruitment of the gender expert
	and the development of the ILO Risk Assessment tool for assessing the risks related to the use and management of chemicals in textile
	mills (attached in the project documents section) are early examples of good practices and will be further developed and applied in the
	coming year.

2.8. KM/Learning

Knowledge activities and products	 NRDC, in partnership service providers, has developed an adequate package of mapping and inventory strategies, which includes the tool to identify potential PFAS users and 2 sets of inventory trainings the principles and chemical inventory and the guidance of using inventory collection tool. The safer alternative analysis on market-available PFAS substitution is under development.
	• ILO Risk Assessment Tool for identification of key occupational safety and health risks in selected textiles mills in Pakistan.
Main learning during the period	Stakeholders, particularly manufacturing mills, in the project priority countries are not familiar with POPs and PFAS, although
	their buyers, especially global brands and retailers, are strengthening the chemical management requirements posed to them.

• None of the project priority countries, or any country in the region have established policy to regulate PFAS as a class at this
moment; however, the importance and benefits of class-based chemical management approach and essential use methodology
are accepted and recognized.
• A comprehensive platform that connects all stakeholders and players in the industry is highly desired. The platform needs to
not only provides policy and technical information and knowledge, but also as a channel for the manufacturers to raise their
voices.
 Several textiles mills participating in the ILO pilot do not have access to information about the chemicals being used.

2.9. Stories

ies to be
shared

3 Performance

3.1 Rating of progress towards achieving the project outcomes

Project Objective and Outcomes	Indicator	level	Milestones	Project Target	Progress as of current period(numeric, percentage, or binary entry only)	Summary by the EA of attainment of the indicator & target as of 30 June	Progress rating
Outcome 1: Certification and voluntary compliance measures leading to Tier 2 and Tier 3 textile companies restricting use, releases and exposure to priority CoCs including POPs	No. of tonnes PFAS and PBDE reduced	О	5	25	0	The GEB outcome indicators are not due at the first PIR stage, will be linked to delivery of the POPs phase out pilot projects.	S
Outcome 1: Certification and voluntary compliance measures leading to Tier 2 and Tier 3 textile companies restricting use, releases and exposure to priority CoCs including POPs	No. tonnes of POPs and CoC contaminated waste prevented	0	1000	5500	0	The outcome indicators are not due at the first PIR stage.	S
Outcome 1: Certification and voluntary compliance measures leading to Tier 2 and Tier 3 textile companies restricting use, releases and exposure to priority CoCs including POPs	N. of gTEQ POPs from air avoided	0	0	2.31		The outcome indicators are not due at the first PIR stage.	S
Outcome 1: Certification and voluntary compliance measures leading to Tier 2 and Tier 3 textile companies restricting use, releases and exposure to priority CoCs including POPs	N. of workers in textile mills or living near mills at reduced risk of chemical exposure [Target women 5550]	0		15500		The outcome indicators are not due at the first PIR stage.	S
Outcome 1: Certification and	N. of mills data included in	0	30	100	0	76 mills have completed the first step	S

voluntary compliance measures leading to Tier 2 and Tier 3 textile companies restricting use, releases and exposure to priority CoCs	Indicator country data collection tools	Baseline level	eMid-Term Target or Milestones	Project	Progress as of current period(numeric, percentage, or binary entry only)	Summary by the EA of attainment of the indicator & target as of 30 June to fill in the mill profile questionnaire. Thus, on track for reaching the mid-term target of 30 mills completing inventory data.	Progress rating
including POPs Outcome 1: Certification and voluntary compliance measures leading to Tier 2 and Tier 3 textile companies restricting use, releases and exposure to priority CoCs including POPs	N. of pilot mills that obtained certification	0	0	10	0	One pilot mill confirmed; more mills are in the pipeline as potential pilot participants. On track for hitting this target by the end of this project.	MS
	No. of industry feedback on presentations at global value chain events	0	3	3	1	The Ministry of Environment presented the project and Indonesia's policy on textile sector at the OECD Due Diligence forum session 'Chemicals Management: a global concern for the garment and footwear sector' in February 2024.	MS
=	No. of brands or global initiatives explicitly report on chemicals and POPs management	0	0	8	0	We are in active conversation with multiple brands and initiatives. On track of reaching this target by the end of project term.	MS
Outcome 2: Governments and global textile value chains strengthen and apply policies for phase out of CoCs and POPs	No. of SMEs locally access compliance services	0	0	500	0	Currently further evaluating the mapped mills for providing further support. Currently on track of reaching the 500 target by end of the project term.	MS
Outcome 3: Upscaling of project results to global textile and garment sectors and reporting to MEAs	No. of garment workers (80% women) less exposed to CoC	0	0	1500	0	Not due at first PIR stage, this indicator is linked to inventory and phase out pilots.	S

3.2 Rating of progress implementation towards delivery of outputs (Implementation Progress)

Component	Output/Activity	Expected	Implementation	Implementation	Progress rating justification, description of	Progress
		completion	status as of	status as of	challenges faced and explanations for any delay	Rating
		date	previous	current		
			reporting	reporting		
			period (%)	period (%)		
	•	2025-12-31	N/A		Two of the six planned activities are	S
Information	least 500 chemical suppliers and SMEs				complete: Mills are mapped, project has	
sharing and					identified 592 mills in Bangladesh, 76	
chemical					mills in Indonesia, 200 mills in	
management					Pakistan, and 66 mills in Vietnam. The	
pilots on					midterm target of finalizing inventory	
priority CoCs					guidance is met. The project is on	
including					target to meet the midterm target of 50	
POPs in					mills with inventory complete by early	
textiles					in 2025. Chemical suppliers will be	
facilities					mapped through reviewing and analyzing	
					the chemical inventories of	
					wet-processing mills.	
1	Output 1.2: SMEs report use of POPs and CoCs to clients and		NA	0	This output has not started yet, was	S
Information	regulators via textile value chain chemicals information sharing				planned for Year 2-4 of the project.	
sharing and	campaign and tools				Contract finalized with UNECE via UNEP	
chemical					technical assistance to prepare	
management					blockchain pilot through a single global	
pilots on					value chain. Presentations and approach	
priority CoCs					approved by the PSC meeting in 2024.	
including						
POPs in						
textiles						
facilities						
1	Output 1.3: Company-specific business strategies and operational	2027-06-31	N/A	20%	Two of five activities completed: pilot	S
Information	plans developed, and support provided to implement them in at least				methodology was consulted widely and is	
sharing and	10 textile mills				available for PFAS substitution pilots,	
chemical					as well as technical guidance documents.	
management					Roll out of phase 1 pilots (x4) is on	
pilots on					track. Completed trainings on principles	

Component	Output/Activity	Expected	Implementation	Implementation	Progress rating justification, description of	Progress
		completion	status as of	status as of	challenges faced and explanations for any delay	Rating
		date	previous	current		
			reporting	reporting		
			period (%)	period (%)		
priority CoCs					of inventories, inventory tool guidance,	
including					PFAS identification and phaseout	
POPs in					guidance.	
textiles						
facilities						
1	Output 1.4: Chemicals knowledge compiled and delivered to SMEs for			10%	Output 1.4 includes adoption of lessons	S
Information	risk reduction measures				from POPs pilot projects (Output 1.3)	
sharing and					which is not due yet. It also includes a	
chemical					parallel pilot project by ILO on	
management					chemical risk management in mills.	
pilots on					Textiles mills for the ILO pilot in	
priority CoCs					Pakistan identified and engaged. Risk	
including					assessment tool to assess the risks to	
POPs in					safety and health developed and being	
textiles					rolled-out (see documents attached to	
facilities					PIR).	
2 Eco	Output 2.1: Global eco-innovation and circular economy guidance	2026-09-30	N/A	5%	Country selected (Viet Nam) for this	MS
innovative	piloted with global value chain actors and textile mills SMEs				pilot and identification of national	
strategies					partner to support underway.	
towards a						
non-toxic						
and circular						
textiles						
economy						
2 Eco	Output 2.2: Actions to coordinate and raise ambition of supply chain	2027-12-31	N/A	5%	This output is not due yet. Preparations	MS
innovative	policies and initiatives are proposed and agreed by global supply chain				are underway - In active communication	
strategies	stakeholders				and recruitment process of monitoring	
towards a					brands. Brand engagement strategy being	
non-toxic					drafted.	
and circular						

Component	Output/Activity	Expected	Implementation	Implementation	Progress rating justification, description of	Progres
		completion	status as of	status as of	challenges faced and explanations for any delay	Rating
			previous	current		
			reporting	reporting		
			period (%)	period (%)		
textiles						
economy						
2 Eco	Output 2.3: National regulations for textile SMEs submitted for	2027-12-31	N/A	5%	Some progress in identifying and reviews	MS
innovative	adoption and implemented by national stakeholders				of existing or underway regulations	
strategies					(e.g. Bangladesh, Pakistan). Slow	
towards a					progress in finalizing project roadmaps	
non-toxic					to focus support due to delays in	
and circular					government registration of the project	
textiles					and official meetings. Indonesia has	
economy					started preparing a roadmap	
3 Knowledge	Output 3.1: National capacity and awareness programs developed and		N/A	0%	Output not due yet	S
management	implemented to increase ability of textile sector and policy makers to					
for scaling	control POPs and CoCs					
up						
3 Knowledge	Output 3.2: Global Knowledge Exchange and Management tools			5%	Output not due yet, nonetheless	MS
management	produced and accessed by users globally				discussion and engagement of relevant	
for scaling					stakeholders has begun The global KM	
up					will be developed and delivered in	
					coordination with the GEF 8 Integrated	
					Programme (IP) "Eliminating hazardous	
					chemicals from supply chains".	
3 Knowledge	Output 3.3: Gender and Social Action Plan implemented, and benefits		N/A	5%	Gender consultant recruited, output is	MS
management	accrued to women workers				not due yet.	
for scaling						
up						

The Task Manager will decide on the relevant level of disaggregation (i.e. either at the output or activity level).

4 Risks

4.1 Table A. Project management Risk

Please refer to the Risk Help Sheet for more details on rating

Risk Factor	EA Rating	TM Rating
1 Management structure - Roles and	Low	Moderate
responsibilities		
2 Governance structure - Oversight	High	Low
3 Implementation schedule	Moderate	Moderate
4 Budget	Moderate	Moderate
5 Financial Management	Low	Substantial
6 Reporting	Low	Low
7 Capacity to deliver	Low	Low

If any of the risk factors is rated a Moderate or higher, please include it in Table B below

4.2 Table B. Risk-log

Implementation Status (Current PIR)

Insert ALL the risks identified either at CEO endorsement (inc. safeguards screening), previous/current PIRs, and MTRs. Use the last line to propose a suggested consolidated rating.

Risks	Risk affecting: Outcome /	CEO	PIR 1	PIR 2	PIR 3	PIR 4	PIR 5	Current	Δ	Justification
	outputs	ED						PIR		
COVID-19 Restricted travel	all		N/A						\downarrow	Risk can be removed in future PIR
COVID-19 Decreased local support due to	all		L						\downarrow	Risk can be removed in future PIR
shifted priorities										
Infrastructure damage due to increased	Component 1		N/A						=	The risk was not applicable during the

Risks	Risk affecting: Outcome /	CEO	PIR 1	PIR 2	PIR 3	PIR 4	PIR 5	Current	Δ	Justification
	outputs	ED						PIR		
cyclone/flood frequency										reporting period
Delays in project outputs due to climate	1.1 and 1.3		L						\uparrow	Pakistan floods in 2022 may have
change risks										affected project inception
Gradual climate change impacts such as	Component 1		N/A						=	The risk was not applicable during the
rising sea levels										reporting period
Political support is insufficient to drive	2.1. 2.2 2.3 and 3.1		S						\uparrow	Governments have not engaged with
strong engagement from private sector										NRDC on Component 2
and/or key government actors resulting in										
reduced impact from the project.										
The costs and difficulties of establishing and	1.1. 1.2. 1.3. 1.4 2.1. 2.2. 2.3. and		М						=	Risk has not yet materialized as
maintaining the initial CiP information	3.2									information exchange / blockchain
exchange infrastructure is prohibitive										has not started yet
The project partners do not sustain the	All		L						\downarrow	Risk has lowered as project partners
project activities and benefits										have satisfactorily progressed project
										activities
Changes in governments and country	All		S						\uparrow	Changes in government personnel
personnel to persons with little awareness										have affected 3 of the 4 countries in
and buy-in to the project										the last year
Exposure or environmental contamination	1.1 and 1.3		М							Risk assessments conducted indicate
due to chemicals handling										exposure risks to workers, including
										high temperatures over 40 degrees
										which may cause stored chemicals to
										explode
Inadequate data collection on POPs use.	1.1. 1.2. 1.3. 1.4 and 2.3		S							This is a known fact and is being
										addressed by the chemical
										inventories.
Private sector stakeholders have technical	1.3 and 1.4		S						\uparrow	The private sector stakeholders are
difficulties to participate in alternatives										facing technical difficulties in
assessments and substitution trials										participating in alternative

Risks	Risk affecting: Outcome /	CEO	PIR 1	PIR 2	PIR 3	PIR 4	PIR 5	Current∆	Justification
	outputs	ED						PIR	
									assessments and substitution trials
									and this is being addressed through
									the project activities
The project will not be able to map enough	1.1		N/A						The risk was not applicable during the
mills and suppliers for the project									reporting period
interventions to take place									
Manufacturers and/or users of CoC.	1.1. 1.2. 1.3. 1.4. and 3.2		М						The project is addressing the risk
particularly smaller less formal and with									through project incentives
opaque legal status companies. might									
consider replacements as an undesired									
development due to fear of repercussions									
and may either decide against engagement									
in the project activities particularly the									
information exchange; or lobby against such									
developments to reduce risks associated									
with these chemicals.									
Stakeholders do not engage fully. resulting	All		L						Stakeholders have been actively
in not adequately addressing the project									engaged in project activities during
priorities nor achieving the desired									reporting period
outcomes.									
The costs and difficulties of maintaining the	1.2. 2.2. 2.3. 3.2		N/A						The risk was not applicable during the
use of the information sharing tools.									reporting period
							T		
			M						The consolidated risk remains at the
									same level as at CEO Endorsement

4.3 Table C. Outstanding Moderate, Significant, and High risks

Additional mitigation measures for the next periods

Risk	Actions decided during the	Actions effectively	What	When	By Whom
	previous reporting instance	undertaken this reporting			
	(PIRt-1, MTR, etc.)	period			
Management capacity	N/A	HACT assessment of the EA	Develop and deliver	By Jun 2025	EA/ IA
(BSCRC-SEA)		undertaken by the IA. Some	implementation plan for the		
		issues were flagged for	EA		
		action and need to			
		strengthen written policies			
		and practices on HR and			
		gender.			
Changes in governments	N/A	Changes in focal points in	Regular visits by EA to the	Ongoing	EAIA
and country personnel to		Bangladesh. Pakistan and	project countries to ensure		
persons with little		Indonesia have been noted	consistent engagement.		
awareness and buy-in to		in the last year.	Regular communication by		
the project			the IA to GEF OFPs in		
			countries		
Private sector stakeholders	N/A	Mills selected for pilots	Technical support by NRDC	Ongoing	NRDC
have technical difficulties to		have expressed concerns	and service providers as		
participate in alternatives		about commercial	plann		
assessments and		continuity while embarking			
substitution trials		on pilots			
Political support is	N/A	Governments requested	UNEP to strengthen	By Dec 2025	IA
insufficient to drive strong		additional links to UNEP's	provision of technical		
engagement from private		law / policy support	assistance on C2 on policy		
sector and/or key		capacity during PSC meeting	reviews and development		
government actors resulting		in 2024			
in reduced impact from the					
project.					
Exposure or environmental	N/A	Risk assessment conducted	Report back on how gender	By Jun 2025	EA
contamination due to		by ILO component	and risk action plan has		
chemicals handling			influenced differences in		

Risk	Actions decided during the	Actions effectively	What	When	By Whom
	previous reporting instance	undertaken this reporting			
	(PIRt-1, MTR, etc.)	period			
			the handling, exposure, and		
			impacts of chemical		
			management practices,		
			among other areas.		
			Consider the		
			recommendations provided		
			during the risk review to		
			enhance the management		
			of identified risks.		
The costs and difficulties of	N/A	No action was required to	The risk will be assessed,	Ongoing	EA/IA
establishing and		be taken as the risk has not	and a plan developed once		
maintaining the initial CiP		yet materialized with the	the information		
information exchange		information exchange /	exchange/blockchain starts		
infrastructure is prohibitive		blockchain having not yet			
		started			
· •	N/A	Being addressed through	Complete chemical	By Dec 2025	EA
on POPs use.		chemical inventories	inventories		
Manufacturers and/or users	N/A	The project addressed the	Continue supporting	Ongoing	EA
of CoC. particularly smaller		risk by supporting	incentives like funding &		
less formal and with opaque		incentives like funding &	promoting participating		
legal status companies.		promoting participating	mills to third party		
might consider		mills to third party	certification, access to		
replacements as an		certification	technical & practical		
undesired development due			information for		
to fear of repercussions and			replacement of CoCs		
may either decide against					
engagement in the project					
activities particularly the					

Risk	Actions decided during the	Actions effectively	What	When	By Whom
	previous reporting instance	undertaken this reporting			
	(PIRt-1, MTR, etc.)	period			
information exchange; or					
lobby against such					
developments to reduce					
risks associated with these					
chemicals.					
Financial management	N/A	HACT assessment of the EA	Develop and deliver	Jun 2025	EA
		undertaken by the IA. Some	implementation plan for the		
		issues were flagged for	EA		
		action and need to			
		strengthen written policies			
		and practices on			
		procurement and financial			
		management.			
Implementation schedule	N/A	Delays in signing project	Accelerate signature	Oct 2024	EA
		agreements in Bangladesh	process & provide regional		
		and Vietnam to start all	support to preparatory		
		national activities	activities.		
Budget	N/A	Activities are not achieving	Finalize signature of	Jun 2025	EA/ IA/ Country focal points
		budget forecast, due to the	agreement in Viet Nam.		
		delays in certain countries	Monitor political situation		
			in Bangladesh		

High Risk (H): There is a probability of greater than 75% that assumptions may fail to hold or materialize, and/or the project may face high risks. Significant Risk (S): There is a probability of between 51% and 75% that assumptions may fail to hold and/or the project may face substantial risks. Moderate Risk (M): There is a probability of between 26% and 50% that assumptions may fail to hold or materialize, and/or the project may face only modest risks. Low Risk (L): There is a probability of up to 25% that assumptions may fail to hold or materialize, and/or the project may face only modest risks.

5 Amendment - GeoSpatial

Project Minor Amendments

Minor amendments are changes to the project design or implementation that do not have significant impact on the project objectives or scope, or an increase of the GEF project financing up to 5% as described in Annex 9 of the Project and Program Cycle Policy Guidelines. Please tick each category for which a change occurred in the fiscal year of reporting and provide a description of the change that occurred in the textbox. You may attach supporting document as appropriate

5.1 Table A: Listing of all Minor Amendment (TM)

Minor Amendments	Changes	
Results Framework:	No	
Components and Cost:	Yes	
Institutional and implementation arrangeme	ents: No	
Financial Management:	No	
Implementation Schedule:		
Executing Entity:	No	
Executing Entity Category:	No	
Minor project objective change:	Yes	
Safeguards:	No	
Risk analysis:	No	
Increase of GEF financing up to 5%:	Yes	
Location of project activity:	No	
Other:	No	

Minor amendments

5.2 Table B: History of project revisions and/or extensions (TM)

Version	Туре	Signed/Approved by UNEP	Entry Into Force (last	Agreement Expiry Date	Main changes
			signature Date)		introduced in this
					revision

Version	Туре	Signed/Approved by UNEP	Entry Into Force (last	Agreement Expiry Date	Main changes
			signature Date)		introduced in this
					revision
Original PCA - BCRC-SEA		2022-08-03	2022-08-03	2028-01-31	Original PCA signed with
					main EA
Original PCA - NRDC		2022-07-22	2022-07-26	2027-01-31	Original PCA signed with
					NRDC for technical
					project support

GEO Location Information:

The Location Name, Latitude and Longitude are required fields insofar as an Agency chooses to enter a project location under the set format. The Geo Name ID is required in instances where the location is not exact, such as in the case of a city, as opposed to the exact site of a physical infrastructure. The Location & Activity Description fields are optional. Project longitude and latitude must follow the Decimal Degrees WGS84 format and Agencies are encouraged to use at least four decimal points for greater accuracy. Users may add as many locations as appropriate. Web mapping applications such as OpenStreetMap or GeoNames use this format. Consider using a conversion tool as needed, such as: https://coordinates-converter.com Please see the Geocoding User Guide by clicking here

Location Name	Latitude	Longitude	GEO Name ID	Location Description	Activity Description
Karachi. Sindh. Pakistan	24.8608	67.0104	1174872	Karachi. provincial Capital of	Capacity Building Program
				province of Sindh. located at with 10 Textile Mills locate	
				the South coastal line of	in Karachi.
				Pakistan.	
Bandung Regency. West	-6.92222	107.60694	650357	Bandung Regency. one of	Pilot implementation in
Java Province. Indonesia				regencies in West Java	Indonesia in Gistex Company
				Province located in the Java	located in Bandung Regency
				Island of Indonesia	

Please provide any further geo-referenced information and map where the project interventions is taking place as appropriate. *
[Annex any linked geospatial file]

Additional Supporting Documents:

Filename	File Uploaded By	File Uploaded At	
Risk Assessment Tool.xls	Executing Agency	2024-07-10 06:14:41	<u>Download</u>