

GEF - PROJECT IMPLEMENTATION REPORT (PIR)

2025-09-09 06:08:25

Table of contents

1 PROJECT IDENTIFICATION	3
1.1 Project Details	3
1.2 Project Description.....	4
1.3 Project Contacts	4
2 Overview of Project Status.....	6
2.1 UNEP PoW & UN	6
2.2. GEF Core and Sub Indicators.....	6
2.3. Implementation Status and Risks.....	8
2.4 Co Finance	9
2.5. Stakeholder	10
2.6. Gender.....	12
2.7. ESSM.....	12
2.8. KM/Learning.....	13
Reflows.....	14
2.9. Stories.....	14
3 Performance.....	16
3.1 Rating of progress towards achieving the project outcomes	16
3.2 Rating of progress implementation towards delivery of outputs (Implementation Progress)	21
4 Risks.....	24
4.1 Table A. Project management Risk	24
4.2 Table B. Risk-log	24
4.3 Table C. Outstanding Moderate, Significant, and High risks.....	26
5 Amendment - GeoSpatial.....	28
5.1 Table A: Listing of all Minor Amendment (TM).....	28
5.2 Table B: History of project revisions and/or extensions (TM)	28

UNEP GEF PIR Fiscal Year 2025
Reporting from 1 July 2024 to 30 June 2025

1 PROJECT IDENTIFICATION

1.1 Project Details

GEF ID: 9771	Umoja WBS:SB-007600
SMA IPMR ID:33873	Grant ID:S1-32GFL-000632
Project Short Title: GEF-CW.9771.SAICM FSP	
Project Title: Global Best Practices on Emerging Chemical Policy Issues of Concern under the Strategic Approach to International Chemicals Management (SAICM)	
Duration months planned:	48
Duration months age:	82
Project Type:	Full Sized Project (FSP)
Parent Programme if child project:	
Project Scope:	Global
Region:	
Countries:	
GEF Focal Area(s):	Chemicals and Waste
GEF financing amount:	\$ 8,190,000.00
Co-financing amount:	\$ 21,312,903.00
Date of CEO Endorsement/Approval:	2018-08-07
UNEP Project Approval Date:	2018-08-27
Start of Implementation (PCA entering into force):	2018-11-13
Date of Inception Workshop, if available:	2019-01-15
Date of First Disbursement:	2018-11-15
Total disbursement as of 30 June 2025:	\$ 8,093,230.00
Total expenditure as of 30 June:	\$ 7,499,681.00

Midterm undertaken?:	Yes
Actual Mid-Term Date, if taken:	2021-12-01
Expected Mid-Term Date, if not taken:	
Completion Date Planned - Original PCA:	2022-09-30
Completion Date Revised - Current PCA:	2025-06-30
Expected Terminal Evaluation Date:	2025-10-31
Expected Financial Closure Date:	2025-12-31

1.2 Project Description

The overall project objective is to accelerate progress in control of Emerging Policy Issues (EPIs) by governments and value chains, by promoting the phase out and replacement of hazardous chemicals in paint, building products, electronics and toys. Upstream actions by governments and value chain actors to replace priority chemicals in supply chains and products will reduce worker and consumer exposure, and environmental releases during manufacture and at end of life.

The project has three components:

Component 1: Promoting regulatory and voluntary action by government and industry to phase out lead in paint.

Outcome 1: Countries legislate and implement legislation to restrict the use of lead in paint (LiP, 40 countries).

Component 2: Lifecycle management of chemicals present in products

Outcome 2: Governments and value chain actors in the building products, toys, and electronics sectors track and manage chemicals of concern (CoC) in their products

Component 3: Knowledge management and stakeholder engagement

Outcome 3: A broad group of SAICM stakeholders access information and participate in communities of practice for peer-to-peer learning exchanges

1.3 Project Contacts

Division(s) Implementing the project	Industry and Economy Division
Name of co-implementing Agency	
Executing Agency (ies)	GFC Secretariat
names of Other Project Partners	
UNEP Portfolio Manager(s)	Kevin Helps
UNEP Task Manager(s)	Neha Dharmshaktu
UNEP Budget/Finance Officer	Edward Aput
UNEP Support Assistants	SAICM/GFC Secretariat
Manager/Representative	Kay Williams
Project Manager	Jose De Mesa Alcalde
Finance Manager	Gricha Zurita
Communications Lead, if relevant	

2 Overview of Project Status

2.1 UNEP PoW & UN

UNEP Current Subprogramme(s):	Thematic: Chemicals and pollution action subprogramme
UNEP previous Subprogramme(s):	Subprogramme 5: Chemicals and Pollution Action
PoW Indicator(s):	• Pollution: (i) Number of Governments that, with UNEP support, are developing or implementing policies, strategies, legislation or action plans that promote sound chemicals and waste management and/or the implementation of multilateral environmental agreements and the existing framework on chemicals and waste • Pollution: (iii) Number of policy, regulatory, financial and technical measures developed with UNEP support to reduce pollution in air, water, soil and the ocean • Pollution: Change in action by the private sector and civil society on pollution prevention and control as a result of UNEP action Progress in the chemicals- and pollution-related aspects of the 2030 Agenda on which UNEP focuses its work
UNSDCF/UNDAF linkages	N/A - This is a global project
Link to relevant SDG Goals	• Goal 3: Ensure healthy lives and promote well-being for all at all ages • Goal 12: Ensure sustainable consumption and production patterns
Link to relevant SDG Targets:	• 3.9 By 2030, substantially reduce the number of deaths and illnesses from hazardous chemicals and air, water and soil pollution and contamination • 12.4 By 2020, achieve the environmentally sound management of chemicals and all wastes throughout their life cycle, in accordance with agreed international frameworks, and significantly reduce their release to air, water and soil in order to minimize their adverse impacts on human health and the environment

2.2. GEF Core and Sub Indicators

GEF core or sub indicators targeted by the project as defined at CEO Endorsement/Approval, as well as results

Indicators	Targets - Expected Value				Remarks
	Mid-term	End-of-project	Total Target	Materialized to date	
9.4- Countries with legislation and policy implemented to control chemicals and waste		45	45	43	Target, as it has been outlined by the project

	Targets - Expected Value				
Indicators	Mid-term	End-of-project	Total Target	Materialized to date	Remarks
					framework document, has been achieved. No change since last reporting period. Please note that this indicator is in misalignment with the project results framework, as was already noted in the mid-term review.
9.5- Low-chemical/non-chemical systems implemented, particularly in food production, manufacturing and cities		86	86	86	Target achieved. No change since last reporting period.
11.1- Male		1120	1120	1120	Target achieved. No change since last reporting

Indicators	Targets - Expected Value				Remarks
	Mid-term	End-of-project	Total Target	Materialized to date	
					period.
11.2- Female		1188	1188	1188	Target achieved. No change since last reporting period.

Implementation Status 2025: 6th PIR

2.3. Implementation Status and Risks

	PIR#	Rating towards outcomes (section 3.1)	Rating towards outputs (section 3.2)	Risk rating (section 4.2)
FY 2025	6th PIR	S	S	L
FY 2024	5th PIR	S	S	L
FY 2023	4th PIR	S	S	L
FY 2022	3rd PIR	S	S	L
FY 2021	2nd PIR	S	S	L
FY 2020	1st PIR	S	S	M
FY 2019				
FY 2018				
FY 2017				
FY 2016				
FY 2015				

Progress: Information on progress outcomes of project implementation activities

The project is on track to achieve the expected outcomes and results across the remaining components. 1) Component 1 on Lead in Paint has been completed as of the 2023 PIR with no further activities since. At the objective level, the project planned to supplement the (already completed) pilot projects with SME producers through a replication approach under Component 3 (knowledge management), with the target for an additional 15 companies to phase out lead. This was finalised in 2024 with the support of the National Cleaner Production Centre (NCPC) Serbia, which provided technical assistance based on the new Technical Guidelines. This has resulted in a further

6 companies reformulating paint products, since the last PIR, bringing the total to 17 companies phasing out lead through replication.2) Component 2 on Chemicals in Products had met most of its targets as of the 2023 PIR, with governments adopting new requirements and companies obtaining green building certifications (see PIR 2023). Due to the challenges of continuing to work in Colombia (see PIR 2024), the component had previously been extended to ensure at least 3 companies are prepared to phase out of CoC by using the finalised tools, such as SPP and Eco-innovation guidelines. With the support of the National Cleaner Production Centre (NCPC) Serbia, two construction sector companies have completed the phaseout of CoCs, two companies were in the process of phasing out CoCs, and another two companies had identified the CoCs they will be phasing out of their production processes. The finalisation of the component is pending the engagement of financial institutions (via UNEP FI) to further reinforce the uptake of tools developed by the project and further the phase out of CoCs in the buildings and construction sector through pollution guidance for banks.3) Component 3 on Knowledge Management is largely complete with all planned deliverables complete, including the website with a chatbot, over 700 resources, maps, data visualisation pages and policy briefs. The communities of practice (CoP) were previously transitioned to the Green Growth Knowledge Platform (GGKP) (<https://thegreenforum.org/group/289/about> - currently 766 members). With the support of GGKP, four CoP discussions were coordinated between 2024 and 2025: Launch of the Global Framework on Chemicals Fund (approx. 200 participants); GFC Gender Action Plan webinar (17 participants: 14 female, 3 male); Strengthening Workers' Health and Safety in Chemicals and Waste Management (172 participants: 75 female, 87 male, 1 non-binary, 12 undisclosed); The Gender Action Plan of the Global Framework on Chemicals (132 participants: 73 female, 49 male, 10 undisclosed). In addition, CoP maintains community engagement through publishing updates, members' content submissions and a regular newsletter. News stories and videos have been published to raise awareness and create the momentum for chemicals management, see sections below. Furthermore, to socialise and promote the tools and knowledge produced by the project, online courses based on the Eco-innovation guidelines, USETOX and Lead Paint Reformulation Technical Guidelines were being developed and are pending finalisation. To ensure the sustainability and continued use of the SAICM knowledge platform after the completion of the project and the succession of SAICM into GFC, an assessment of content on saicmknowledge.org took place, with consideration of defined stakeholder groups, associated taxonomies and classification of content. Following this assessment, some content will be transferred to the GFC section of unep.org. The rest of the knowledge will currently be retained under saicmknowledge.org until the transfer to a new domain, whereby saicmknowledge.org will be renamed to chemicalknowledge.org, hosted and maintained under GEF Project 11177.

Challenges: Information on challenges of project implementation activities

Following the establishment of the Global Framework on Chemicals (GFC) as the successor of SAICM, the institutional transition phase gave rise to the associated challenges around the formation and staffing of the new GFC Secretariat, delays in the final project stages have occurred. The project is undergoing an extension and is due to be operationally completed by the end of September 2025. The extended timeline is intended to enable the finalisation of project activities and to ensure the sustainability of project deliverables.

2.4 Co Finance

Planned Co-finance:	\$ 20,580,000
---------------------	---------------

Actual to date:	19,371,121
Progress	Justify progress in terms of materialization of expected co-finance. State any relevant challenges: Most of the organisations that committed resources under co-finance arrangements to the project fulfilled their commitments as of 2023/2024, with no additional mobilisation taking place in 2024/2025 as the project is in its finalisation stages. Throughout the project, co-financing support has been key to further advancing the project results and obtaining a greater impact on the implementation.

2.5. Stakeholder

Date of project steering committee meeting	2023-12-13
Stakeholder engagement (will be uploaded to GEF Portal)	During the prior extension of Component 2, a partnership with NCPC Serbia has enabled outreach to SMEs and manufacturers in the construction sector, which has now been finalised. The Executing Agency has been in partnership with UNEP FI to expand on previous work on green mortgages and to work with banks to trial and adopt new guidance and tools on chemicals in building materials. This cooperation will be continued until September 2025. Within component 3 on Knowledge Management, collaboration and stakeholder engagement are supported by the Chemicals and Waste management CoP, hosted by GGKP. Registrations have been increasing, totalling 766 members currently. Membership is comprised mainly of NGOs, governments, academia, IGOs, industry stakeholders, consultancies, the private sector and media. Since the migration of CoPs to the GGKP platform, 11 events have been hosted. The events of 2024/2025 were focused on the GFC Gender Action Plan and the GFC Fund, as well as the dissemination of tools and resources of components 1 and 2 (Strengthening Workers' Health and Safety in Chemicals and Waste Management). Furthermore, there has been collaboration with UNITAR to develop online courses based on the tools and knowledge produced by the project, including the Eco-innovation guidelines, USETOX and Lead Paint Reformulation Technical



	Guidelines.
--	-------------

2.6. Gender

Does the project have a gender action plan?	No
Gender mainstreaming (will be uploaded to GEF Portal):	- Two CoP webinars focused on reviewing the proposed Gender Action Plan (GAP) of the Global Framework on Chemicals to help shape a more inclusive future for global chemicals and waste management. The events were organised with the support from the Multi-Stakeholder Processes for International Development Institute (MSP Institute) and the GGKP. The GAP aims to ensure the full, equal, and meaningful participation of all women in decision-making, while promoting gender-responsive policies and mainstreaming a gender perspective across the implementation of the Global Framework on Chemicals. - The Community of practice has a near-to-equal gender distribution (47% female, 39% male, and 14% unspecified).

2.7. ESSM

Moderate/High risk projects (in terms of Environmental and social safeguards)	Was the project classified as moderate/high risk CEO Endorsement/Approval Stage? No If yes, what specific safeguard risks were identified in the SRIF/ESERN? N/A
New social and/or environmental risks	Have any new social and/or environmental risks been identified during the reporting period? No If yes, describe the new risks or changes? N/A
Complaints and grievances related to social and/or environmental impacts	Has the project received complaints related to social and/or environmental impacts (actual or potential) during the reporting period? No If yes, please describe the complaint(s) or grievance(s) in detail, including the status, significance, who was involved and what actions were taken?

	N/A
Environmental and social safeguards management	No changes to labor and working conditions produced by the project (Safeguard Standard 6). No economic losses reported so far by SMEs or NCPCs due to the pilot reformulation demonstrations (Safeguard Standard 9). Regarding social safeguards management, gender mainstreaming is being applied. See above.

2.8. KM/Learning

Knowledge activities and products	• During the reporting period, the SAICM KM platform resources & library have more than 747 entries to date, including information on all SAICM EPIs and Issues of Concern. • Four new online discussions were organised within the new communities of practice, relevant documents are appended. • CoP (https://thegreenforum.org/group/289/stream) maintains community engagement through publishing updates, members' content submissions and a regular newsletter. • Online courses based on the Eco-innovation guidelines, USETOX and Lead Paint Reformulation Technical Guidelines are being developed by UNITAR and are pending finalisation. • UNEP news story and a video on chemical management, which are linked under "Stories to be shared".
Main learning during the period	The adoption of the new Global Framework on Chemicals and the associated discussion on how to use the SAICM Knowledge Platform (saicmknowledge.org) under the new Framework have led to a delay of the project implementation. Considering that the new GFC is hosted as a limited section under unep.org, it has a restricted functionality and permissions to act as a knowledge platform. Therefore, the sustainability of continued access and use of the knowledge produced by the project demanded another solution for hosting and maintenance. Following an extensive assessment of content, taxonomies and stakeholders, the following exit strategy has been undertaken: • The members of the SAICM Communities of Practice (CoP) were successfully migrated to the

	Chemicals and Waste Management CoP, hosted by GGKP. • Some of the appropriate content from the SAICM Knowledge Platform will be transferred to the GFC section of unep.org. • The rest of the knowledge will currently be retained under saicmknowledge.org until the transfer to a new domain, whereby saicmknowledge.org will be renamed to chemicalknowledge.org, hosted and maintained under GEF Project 11177. • As part of this process, new modules are being created to allow for additional information on GEF Project 11177 "Initiatives" and GFC "Sectors" (textiles, electronics, etc) and "Themes" that cut across them (priority chemicals of concern, sustainable chemistry innovations, etc). An on-site SEO strategy for key terms around these new modules is being applied to chemicalknowledge.org's structure as part of the domain switch.
--	---

Reflows

Reflows (for NGIs only)	NA
-------------------------	----

2.9. Stories

Stories to be shared	CoP events: https://thegreenforum.org/event/case-you-missed-it-webinar-gender-action-plan-global-framework-chemicals https://thegreenforum.org/event/case-you-missed-it-strengthening-workers-health-and-safety-chemicals-and-waste-management https://thegreenforum.org/event/launch-global-framework-chemicals-fund-first-round-applications https://thegreenforum.org/sites/default/files/post-file/Annex2_GENDER%20ACTION%20PLAN.pdf CoP (https://thegreenforum.org/group/289/stream) maintains community engagement through publishing updates, members' content submissions and a regular newsletter. UNEP news story 'Countries to debate restriction on chemicals as pollution crisis mounts': https://www.unep.org/news-and-stories/story/countries-debate-restriction-chemicals-pollution-crisis-mounts Video 'A global framework to manage chemicals: a force as old as the universe itself': https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Y_Cb8EwZick The knowledge ecosystem delivered under Component 3 of the project directly supported the GFC OEWG agenda to ensure a smooth transition of best practices and prevent loss of momentum, particularly through the following: Agenda items 5 - Emerging Issues and 6 - International Cooperation (https://www.unep.org/events/conference/open-ended-
----------------------	--

	working-group-owg-global-framework-chemicals) Side events: The “Unlocking the Construction–Chemicals Connection” event built on the project’s work with the construction sector, such as the safer materials guidance, while the “Knowledge-Sharing on Priority Chemicals of Concern” event leveraged the project’s database of EPI case studies and criteria for chemicals of concern. The knowledge products and networks provided ready content and expert speakers for these sessions, reinforcing the visibility and legacy of the project at the OEWG.
--	---

3 Performance

3.1 Rating of progress towards achieving the project outcomes

Project Objective and Outcomes	Indicator	Baseline level	Mid-Term Target or Milestones	End of Project Target	Progress as of current period(numeric, percentage, or binary entry only)	Summary by the EA of attainment of the indicator & target as of 30 June	Progress rating
Accelerate adoption of national and value chain initiatives to control Emerging Policy Issues (EPIs), and contribute to the 2020 SAICM goal and 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development	No. of countries and companies that have adopted regulatory and value chain initiatives to control EPIs, and to meet 2030 Agenda targets.	68 countries have regulated lead paint. Currently, there is no comparative assessments of direct toxicity of products containing POPs and other CoCs, including toys, building products and electronics	By 2020, at least 2 manufacturers in LMIC demonstrate reduced toxicity of products	Composite indicator (Outcomes 1, 2 and 3) = 40 governments complete final drafts or enact lead laws50 paint producers (35 direct pilot SMEs; 15 through replication / KM) 1 Government with SPP and 1 Government with eco-label guidelines (Sri Lanka & Colombia) 26 companies using USETOX/	40 governments, 49 paint producers, 2 Governments, 39 companies, 5 certified manufacturers	Companies/ SME: Pilot projects were completed previously, with no additional pilots done in 2024-2025. Scale up and replication of lead phase out increased the number of companies successfully reformulating products by 6, bringing the total number of companies to 49. A total of 765 employees of paint production facilities were trained during 2024/2025. The tools developed by Colombia and reported in 2023 (SPP guidance) has not been applied, as per previous PIR. Instead, 6 additional building and construction SMEs are phasing out of CoCs or have completed the process, bringing the total number of companies using USETOX/ phasing out CoC to 39. 772 SME employees trained by NCPC in phaseout of CoCs during 2024/2025.	S

Project Objective and Outcomes	Indicator	Baseline level	Mid-Term Target or Milestones	End of Project Target	Progress as of current period(numeric, percentage, or binary entry only)	Summary by the EA of attainment of the indicator & target as of 30 June	Progress rating
				phasing out CoC. At least 2 manufacturers in LMIC demonstrate reduced toxicity of products			
Countries legislate and implement legislation to restrict the use of lead in paint (LiP, 40 countries)	No. of countries with enacted legislation on legal limits to LiP and/or final texts submitted for adoption to the relevant approving bodies	As of February 2018, 68 countries have regulated lead paint. The PPG involved extensive research, consultation and classification of countries into a framework for action. The project has identified 70 countries where there is evidence the country is ready to regulate lead	80 countries by Year 2 (existing champion countries)	By 2022, 40 countries with enacted legislation or final texts submitted for adoption to the relevant approving bodies (including at least 20 countries with the legislation adopted)	By 2022, 40 countries with enacted legislation or final texts submitted for adoption to the relevant approving bodies (including at least 20 countries with the legislation adopted)	Not applicable: No additional information since 2023 PIR. C1 ended 30 Jun 2022	HS

Project Objective and Outcomes	Indicator	Baseline level	Mid-Term Target or Milestones	End of Project Target	Progress as of current period(numeric, percentage, or binary entry only)	Summary by the EA of attainment of the indicator & target as of 30 June	Progress rating
		paint.					
Countries legislate and implement legislation to restrict the use of lead in paint (LiP, 40 countries)	No. of paint manufacturers switching to lead free production	While some global brands have phased out lead, SMEs in project countries still continue to produce paint with lead as demonstrated by lead paint testing (see output level baseline below)		35 manufacturers by 2022 in 7 countries of which at least 50% have gone on to reformulate additional products	35 manufacturers by 2022 in 7 countries of which at least 50% have gone on to reformulate additional products	Not applicable: No additional information since 2023 PIR. C1 ended 30 Jun 2022	HS
Countries legislate and implement legislation to restrict the use of lead in paint (LiP, 40 countries)	No. of registered awareness raising events	Countries hosting International Lead Poisoning Prevention Week events: 40 2013; to 41 countries in 2017		Partners convene 50 events for International Lead Poisoning Prevention Week and as needed	Partners convene 50 events for International Lead Poisoning Prevention Week and as needed	Not applicable: No additional information since 2023 PIR. C1 ended 30 Jun 2022	HS
Governments and value chain actors in the building products, toys, and electronics sectors track and manage chemicals of concern (CoC) in their products	Number of governments and value chain actors tracking and managing CoC in products	Global brands and companies selling in developed countries		1 Government with SPP and 1 Government with eco-label guidelines (Sri	1 Government with SPP and 1 Government with eco-label guidelines (Sri	Targets on government guidelines were met in 2023. Target on companies meeting ecolabel requirements was exceeded in 2023. In 2023-2024, while the government guideline on SPP for electronics was in place in Colombia, no companies	MS

Project Objective and Outcomes	Indicator	Baseline level	Mid-Term Target or Milestones	End of Project Target	Progress as of current period(numeric, percentage, or binary entry only)	Summary by the EA of attainment of the indicator & target as of 30 June	Progress rating
		should meet regulatory and voluntary chemical management requirements (e.g. product recalls for toys). Sustainable Public Procurement (SPP) policies exist in many countries but do not explicitly address CoC Tools that reflect CoC are only the Colombian electronics draft SPP policy. This has not been rolled out yet or piloted for CoC		Lanka & Colombia) 3 companies prepared to meet SPP CoC requirements and 3 companies meet eco-label CoC requirements (Sri Lanka, Colombia) 10 companies use USEtox tools to evaluate toxicity (Sri Lanka, China) and a further 10 globally via online access to Usetox. By the end of the project, 10 companies in China using tools to establish or advance a	Lanka & Colombia) 3 companies prepared to meet SPP CoC requirements and 3 companies meet eco-label CoC requirements (Sri Lanka, Colombia) 10 companies use USEtox tools to evaluate toxicity (Sri Lanka, China) and a further 16 globally via online access to USEtox. By the end of the project, 10 companies in China using tools to establish or advance a chemicals management system (including 3 with technical support).	were able to apply it. Due to bankruptcy of the partner, efforts were diverted to engage companies in other regions. With the support of National Cleaner Production Centre (NCPC) Serbia, two construction sector companies have completed the phaseout of CoCs, two companies are in the process of phasing out CoCs and another two companies had identified the CoCs they will be phasing out of their production processes.	

Project Objective and Outcomes	Indicator	Baseline level	Mid-Term Target or Milestones	End of Project Target	Progress as of current period(numeric, percentage, or binary entry only)	Summary by the EA of attainment of the indicator & target as of 30 June	Progress rating
				chemicals management system (including 3 with technical support).			
Governments and value chain actors in the building products, toys, and electronics sectors track and manage chemicals of concern (CoC) in their products	Number of trained value chain and government actors providing feedback on use of new tools and guidance (min 30% female)	Tools that reflect CoC are only the Colombian electronics draft SPP policy. This has not been rolled out yet or piloted for CoC	At least 30% of 305 individuals trained provide feedback on how they have applied the training on the new tools (100 people, 30 women).	100 people, 30 women	100 people, 30 women	An additional 772 SME employees trained by NCPC in phaseout of CoCs during 2024/2025. New stakeholders from financial sector will be reached through guidance produced by UNEP FI.	S
A broad group of SAICM stakeholders access information and participate in communities of practice for peer-to-peer learning exchanges.	Number of scientific knowledge resources shared with policy makers on EPIs and SDGs	Documents including scientific information are shared as INF documents to ICCM but original research is not widely available to	Inputs and commentary by scientific organizations on the 12 project papers accessed by policy makers via SAICM website or	At least 20 science media sources publishing SAICM related content	At least 20 science media sources publishing SAICM related content	Target already achieved since 2022. In 2024/2025 GGKP hosted 4 events focused on SAICM/GFC publications.	S

Project Objective and Outcomes	Indicator	Baseline level	Mid-Term Target or Milestones	End of Project Target	Progress as of current period(numeric, percentage, or binary entry only)	Summary by the EA of attainment of the indicator & target as of 30 June	Progress rating
		policy makers. Stockholm Convention POPRC and Rotterdam Convention CRC cover certain chemicals but not all EPIs					
A broad group of SAICM stakeholders access information and participate in communities of practice for peer-to-peer learning exchanges.	No. of active members of KM communities of practice and users accessing information disaggregated by sex	The current SAICM website is static, new content and information updates are limited. There is no forum for interaction and communication between stakeholders. Currently resources for maintenance and performance are lacking.	4 Communities of Practice (CoPs) established	greater than 100 active members in each CoP with gender balance (min 30% women)	>100 active members in each CoP with gender balance (min 30% women)	As part of an exit strategy for project activities and to ensure sustainability, SAICM CoPs were synergised into an all-inclusive CoP on Chemicals and Waste Mngt under GGKP. Currently the CoP has 767 active members (46% female, 39% male, 14% undisclosed). The CoP discussion events attracted a combined total of 521 participants.	S

3.2 Rating of progress implementation towards delivery of outputs (Implementation Progress)

Component	Output/Activity	Expected completion date	Implementation status as of previous reporting period (%)	Implementation status as of current reporting period (%)	Progress rating justification, description of challenges faced and explanations for any delay	Progress Rating
1 Promoting regulatory and voluntary action by government and industry to phase out lead in paint	1.1 Demonstration pilots with paint manufacturers in Small and Medium Enterprises executed in eight countries	2022-06-01	100	100	No additional information C1 ended 30 June 2022	S
1 Promoting regulatory and voluntary action by government and industry to phase out lead in paint	1.2 Policy support and awareness raising to generate support for local phase-out.	2022-06-01	100	100	No additional information C1 ended 30 June 2022	S
2 Lifecycle management of chemicals present in products	2.1 New tools and guidance to reduce the use of CoCs in the building, electronics and toys sectors	2023-06-01	100%	100	N/A in current PIR period. All planned deliverables were developed as per the June 2023 PIR.	S
2 Lifecycle management of chemicals present in products	2.2 Training and support for government and value chain actors to trial and adopt new guidance and tools	2025-09-30	80%	90	Since since the targeted number of companies using the tools of Output 2.1 was not met, this output has been previously extended to 2025 in order to ensure that companies are able to use the developed guidance and tools. Outreach to SMEs to phase out CoCs through NCPC Serbia has been completed. Further outreach via commercial banks	MS

Component	Output/Activity	Expected completion date	Implementation status as of previous reporting period (%)	Implementation status as of current reporting period (%)	Progress rating justification, description of challenges faced and explanations for any delay	Progress Rating
					via UNEP FI is pending completion.	
3 Knowledge management and stakeholder engagement	3.1 Collaboration and engagement with the SDG and scientific communities to promote EPIs.	2023-09-01	100	100	No additional information, 3.1 has been completed as per 2023 PIR.	S
3 Knowledge management and stakeholder engagement	3.2 Knowledge Management platform provides a repository of information and forum for exchange of scientific and policy information	2025-09-30	97%	99	Targets on the delivery of CoP events and publications achieved, exit strategy for the sustainability of the content from the SAICM knowledge platform developed. Finalisation of online training courses based on tools generated by the project is pending the final submission by the partner.	MS
4 M&E	4.1 Quarterly financial reports and annual progress reports monitoring status of project execution	2025-09-30	90%	96	All financial reports in 2024/2025 submitted	MS
4 M&E	4.2 Midterm and Terminal evaluations of project impacts shared with SAICM stakeholders	2025-12-31	50%	50	Terminal Evaluation to be conducted	S

The Task Manager will decide on the relevant level of disaggregation (i.e. either at the output or activity level).

4 Risks

4.1 Table A. Project management Risk

Please refer to the Risk Help Sheet for more details on rating

Risk Factor	EA Rating	TM Rating
1 Management structure - Roles and responsibilities	Low	Low
2 Governance structure - Oversight	Low	Low
3 Implementation schedule	Moderate	Moderate
4 Budget	Moderate	Moderate
5 Financial Management	Low	Low
6 Reporting	Moderate	Moderate
7 Capacity to deliver	Low	Low

If any of the risk factors is rated a Moderate or higher, please include it in Table B below

4.2 Table B. Risk-log

Implementation Status (Current PIR)

Insert ALL the risks identified either at CEO endorsement (inc. safeguards screening), previous/current PIRs, and MTRs. Use the last line to propose a suggested consolidated rating.

Risks	Risk affecting: Outcome / outputs	CEO ED	PIR 1	PIR 2	PIR 3	PIR 4	PIR 5	Current PIR	Δ	Justification
Political will and attention to address voluntary EPIs	Outcome 1 & 2	L	L	L	L	N/A	N/A	N/A	=	No variation.
SMEs are unable/unwilling to phase out lead paint (Component 1)	Outcome 1 & 2	M	M	L	L	H	L	L	=	Risk has not materialized. Companies engaged have phased out

Risks	Risk affecting: Outcome / outputs	CEO ED	PIR 1	PIR 2	PIR 3	PIR 4	PIR 5	Current PIR	Δ	Justification
										lead with technical support.
Limited government commitment and/or capacity to apply SPP guidance	Outcome 2. Output 2.2	H		H	M	L	N/A	N/A	=	Targets all met in the prior period and the risk is no longer applicable.
USEtox model is not user-friendly for value chain actors	Outcome 2. Output 2.1 & 2.2	L		L	M	L	L	L	=	Risk was previously mitigated.
Lack of stakeholder. community and NGO interest in the project	All outcomes & outputs	L		L	L	N/A	N/A	N/A	=	No change since last reporting period.
Lack of investment and commitment by manufacturers. traders and user groups in phasing out CoC	Outcome 1 & 2	M	M	L	L	L	M	L	↓	With the support of NCPC Serbia, the engaged actors have demonstrated commitment to phase out CoCs. Target achieved.
Lack of collaboration between IOMC agencies. and other delivery partners	All outcomes & outputs	M		L	L	N/A	N/A	N/A	=	Activities relevant to this risk completed, and this risk didnot materialize.
Impacts of climate change on the project	All outcomes & outputs	L		L	L	N/A	N/A	N/A	=	Activities relevant to this risk completed, and this risk didnot materialize.
Low or difficult access to internet in LMIC	All outcomes & outputs	H		L	L	N/A	N/A	N/A	=	Activities relevant to this risk completed and this risk didnot materialize.
Project delays caused by related MSP project not being executed/ on time	Outcome 3. Outputs 3.1&3.2	M		L	L	N/A	N/A	N/A	=	MSP is proceeding as planned. Activities relevant to this risk completed, and this risk didnot materialize.
Iterative process for contracting country level activities for lead paint is difficult to administer	Outcome 1	M	S	M	L	N/A	N/A	N/A	=	Activities relevant to this risk completed, and this risk didnot materialize.
Implementing partners are unable to carry out the work due to financial constraints (e.g.	Outcome 2		N/A	N/A	M	N/A	N/A	N/A	=	No change since last reporting period.

Risks	Risk affecting: Outcome / outputs	CEO ED	PIR 1	PIR 2	PIR 3	PIR 4	PIR 5	Current PIR	Δ	Justification
bankruptcy)										
Implementation schedule	All outcomes & outputs						M	M	=	The transition to the GFC and associated staffing and institutional challenges have delayed the delivery on the implementation schedule.
Budget	All outcomes & outputs						M	M	=	Budget revision required to facilitate efficient utilisation of remaining funds
Reporting	All outcomes & outputs						L	M	↑	Implementation of new reporting templates following the project extension in 2024 has required repeated correction of submitted reports.
			M	L	L	L	L	L	=	

4.3 Table C. Outstanding Moderate, Significant, and High risks

Additional mitigation measures for the next periods

Risk	Actions decided during the previous reporting instance (PIRt-1, MTR, etc.)	Actions effectively undertaken this reporting period	What	When	By Whom
SMEs are unable/unwilling to phase out lead paint (Component 1)	Third SSFA with Serbia to be signed	SSFA was signed and the intended work had been finalised.	N/A	N/A	N/A
Implementation schedule - the extension is planned ensure the full project budget will be spent but the	New SSFAs and agreements to spend project budget to be finalized & delivered	SSFAs were signed, majority of the work delivered, the remaining work is pending finalisation. project	Finalisation of activities	By September 2025	EA

Risk	Actions decided during the previous reporting instance (PIRt-1, MTR, etc.)	Actions effectively undertaken this reporting period	What	When	By Whom
transition to the GFC Secretariat may cause delays.		manager recruited to support project closure.			
Lack of investment and commitment by manufacturers, traders and user groups in phasing out CoC	Support to banks and SMEs to apply tools to phase out CoC	Additional support provided under Output 2.2 to SMEs to apply tools to phase out CoCs.	Engagement of commercial banks and institutions via buildings and pollution guidance for banks.	By September 2025	UNEP FI
Budget - budget may not all be spent in time, even with the extension	Monitor expenditures quarterly. Return unused funds	Continued follow up by EA on the closure of legacy obligations. Expenditure monitoring by IA.	Budget revision in progress to facilitate efficient utilisation of remaining funds	By August 2025	IA and EA

High Risk (H): There is a probability of greater than 75% that assumptions may fail to hold or materialize, and/or the project may face high risks. Significant Risk (S): There is a probability of between 51% and 75% that assumptions may fail to hold and/or the project may face substantial risks. Moderate Risk (M): There is a probability of between 26% and 50% that assumptions may fail to hold or materialize, and/or the project may face only modest risks. Low Risk (L): There is a probability of up to 25% that assumptions may fail to hold or materialize, and/or the project may face only modest risks.

5 Amendment - GeoSpatial

Project Minor Amendments

Minor amendments are changes to the project design or implementation that do not have significant impact on the project objectives or scope, or an increase of the GEF project financing up to 5% as described in Annex 9 of the Project and Program Cycle Policy Guidelines. Please tick each category for which a change occurred in the fiscal year of reporting and provide a description of the change that occurred in the textbox. You may attach supporting document as appropriate

5.1 Table A: Listing of all Minor Amendment (TM)

Minor Amendments	Changes
Results Framework:	No
Components and Cost:	No
Institutional and implementation arrangements:	No
Financial Management:	No
Implementation Schedule:	
Executing Entity:	No
Executing Entity Category:	No
Minor project objective change:	No
Safeguards:	No
Risk analysis:	No
Increase of GEF financing up to 5%:	No
Location of project activity:	No
Other:	No

Minor amendments

5.2 Table B: History of project revisions and/or extensions (TM)

Version	Type	Signed/Approved by UNEP	Entry Into Force (last signature Date)	Agreement Expiry Date	Main changes introduced in this revision

Version	Type	Signed/Approved by UNEP	Entry Into Force (last signature Date)	Agreement Expiry Date	Main changes introduced in this revision
Original Legal Instrument		2018-11-13	2018-11-13	2023-03-31	Internal Agreement with the SAICM Secretariat
Amendment 1	Extension / Revision	2022-12-20	2022-12-20	2030-08-06	Internal Agreement: extension (as recommended by MTR) and revision of budget
Amendment 2	Extension	2024-06-06	2024-06-06	2024-12-31	Internal Agreement: extension (as recommended by MTR and due to delay in holding ICCM5) and revision of budget

GEO Location Information:

The Location Name, Latitude and Longitude are required fields insofar as an Agency chooses to enter a project location under the set format. The Geo Name ID is required in instances where the location is not exact, such as in the case of a city, as opposed to the exact site of a physical infrastructure. The Location & Activity Description fields are optional. Project longitude and latitude must follow the Decimal Degrees WGS84 format and Agencies are encouraged to use at least four decimal points for greater accuracy. Users may add as many locations as appropriate. Web mapping applications such as OpenStreetMap or GeoNames use this format. Consider using a conversion tool as needed, such as: <https://coordinates-converter.com> Please see the Geocoding User Guide by clicking here

Location Name	Latitude	Longitude	GEO Name ID	Location Description	Activity Description
Zarka	32.0725	36.0879		One paint SME is located in this city	SME in Jordan
Amman	31.9552	35.9450		Two paint SMEs are located in this city	output 1.1
Chang Sha	28.1987	112.9708		One paint SME is located in this city	SME in China
Hengshanqiao	31.7637	120.1115		One paint SME is located in	output 1.1

Location Name	Latitude	Longitude	GEO Name ID	Location Description	Activity Description
				this city	
Zhejiang	29.1666	120		Three paint SMEs are located in this city	SME in China
Quito	-0.2298	-78.5249		Three paint SMEs are located in this city	output 1.1
Medellin	6.251667	-75.56359		One paint SME is located in this city	SME in Colombia
Cimahi	-6.8722	107.5425		One paint SME is located in this city	output 1.1
Chorrillos	-12.19265	-77.00589		One paint SME is located in this city	SME in Peru
Comas	-11.9500	-77.0666		One paint SME is located in this city	output 1.1
Callao	-12.0565	-77.1181		Two paint SMEs are located in this city	SMEs in Peru
Lagos	6.4540	3.3946		Eight paint SMEs are located in this city	output 1.1
Millewa	6.7834	80.0625		Head office	SME in Sri Lanka
Horana	6.7159	80.0626		Head office	Output 1.1
Maharagama	6.8480	79.9265		Head office	SME in Sri Lanka
Colombo	6.93548	79.8486		Head office	output 1.1
Homagama	6.8440	80.0024		Head office	output 1.1
Thalawathugoda	6.8704	79.9353		Head office	SME in Sri Lanka
Bandaragama	6.7099	79.9885		Head office	
Kadawatha	7.6792	80.7476		Head office	SME in Sri Lanka
Nawala	6.8938	79.888		Head office	output 1.1
Homagama	6.8440	80.0024		Head office	SME in Sri Lanka
Cali	3.4372	-76.5225		Head office	output 2.2
Caldas	5.2666	-75.3333		Head office	SME in Colombia

Location Name	Latitude	Longitude	GEO Name ID	Location Description	Activity Description
Cundinamarca/Bogotá	4.6097	-74.0817		Head office	output 2.2
Lima	-12.0431	-77.0282		Head office	output 2.2
Isalu	6.46558	2.90223		One paint SME is located in this city	output 2.2
Warri	5.5173	5.7500		One paint SME is located in this city	SME in Nigeria
Abeokuta	7.1557	3.3450		One paint SME is located in this city	SME in Nigeria
Banten	-6.5	106.2500		One paint SME is located in this city	output 2.2
Jakarta	-6.2146	106.8451		One paint SME is located in this city	output 2.2
Bogor	-6.5500	106.7166		One paint SME is located in this city	output 2.2
West Java	-6.7500	107.5000		One paint SME is located in this city	SME in Indonesia
Surabaya	-7.2491	112.7508		One paint SME is located in this city	output 2.2
East Java	-7.7394	112.5099		One paint SME is located in this city	SME in Indonesia
Aqaba	29.7500	35.3333		One paint SME is located in this city	output 2.2
Al-Hashmi Al-Shamali	32.0897	36.0666		One paint SME is located in this city	SME in Jordan
Amman	31.9552	35.9450		5 paint SMEs located in this City	output 2.2
Al Haram	0.346667	32.605556		One paint SME is located in this city	SME in Colombia
El Beheira	30.6000	30.2000			output 2.2

Location Name	Latitude	Longitude	GEO Name ID	Location Description	Activity Description
El Nozha	30.0626	31.2496			SME in Egypt
Kampala	-5.0135	119.5249			SME in Indonesia

Please provide any further geo-referenced information and map where the project interventions is taking place as appropriate. *

[Annex any linked geospatial file]

Additional Supporting Documents:

Filename	File Uploaded By	File Uploaded At	
Group Digest_July 2025.pdf	Executing Agency	2025-08-27 09:26:46	Download
Group Digest_December 2024[38].pdf	Executing Agency	2025-08-27 09:26:30	Download
Discussion 4 Summary_GFC GAP Community Discussion_30 May (1)[10].pdf	Executing Agency	2025-08-27 09:26:03	Download
Discussion 3 Summary_GFC Community Discussion_21 May 2025_0[36].pdf	Executing Agency	2025-08-27 09:25:44	Download
Discussion 2 Summary_GFC GAP Review Consultation_Jan 29[18].pdf	Executing Agency	2025-08-27 09:25:25	Download
GEF9771 - 2025 - Co-finance report - signature request JM.pdf	Executing Agency	2025-07-30 15:29:14	Download