

GEF - PROJECT IMPLEMENTATION REPORT (PIR)

Document Generated by: GEF Coordination Office CO
At: 2024-08-20 11:05:57

Table of contents

1 PROJECT IDENTIFICATION	3
1.1 Project Details	3
1.2 Project Description	4
1.3 Project Contacts	5
2 Overview of Project Status	6
2.1 UNEP PoW & UN	6
2.2. GEF Core and Sub Indicators	6
2.3. Implementation Status and Risks	6
2.4 Co Finance	10
2.5. Stakeholder	10
2.6. Gender	12
2.7. ESSM	12
2.8. KM/Learning	13
2.9. Stories	13
3 Performance	15
3.1 Rating of progress towards achieving the project outcomes	15
3.2 Rating of progress implementation towards delivery of outputs (Implementation Progress)	20
4 Risks	42
4.1 Table A. Project management Risk	42
4.2 Table B. Risk-log	42
4.3 Table C. Outstanding Moderate, Significant, and High risks	44
5 Amendment - GeoSpatial	49
5.1 Table A: Listing of all Minor Amendment (TM)	49
5.2 Table B: History of project revisions and/or extensions (TM)	50

UNEP GEF PIR Fiscal Year 2024 Reporting from 1 July 2023 to 30 June 2024

1 PROJECT IDENTIFICATION

1.1 Project Details

GEF ID: 9759	Umoja WBS:SB-009076.01-06, 11232	
SMA IPMR ID:199018	Grant ID:S1-32GFL-000619	
Project Short Title:		
Macedonia GEF6 SLM Project		
Project Title:		
Promoting Sustainable Land Management (SLM) Through Strengthening Legal and Institutional	Framework, Capacity Building and Restoration of Most Vulnerable Mountain	
Landscapes		
Duration months planned:	48	
Duration months age:	48	
Project Type:	Full Sized Project (FSP)	
Parent Programme if child project:		
Project Scope:	National	
Region:	Europe	
Countries:	North Macedonia	
GEF Focal Area(s):	Land Degradation	
GEF financing amount:	\$ 3,662,545.00	
Co-financing amount:	\$ 30,063,295.00	
Date of CEO Endorsement/Approval:	2020-05-19	
UNEP Project Approval Date:	2022-07-20	
Start of Implementation (PCA entering into force):	2020-09-18	
Date of Inception Workshop, if available:	2021-06-30	
Date of First Disbursement:	2020-09-28	
Total disbursement as of 30 June 2024:	\$ 878,545.00	

Total expenditure as of 30 June:	\$ 727,649.00
Midterm undertaken?:	No
Actual Mid-Term Date, if taken:	
Expected Mid-Term Date, if not taken:	2023-09-30
Completion Date Planned - Original PCA:	2024-06-30
Completion Date Revised - Current PCA:	2025-06-30
Expected Terminal Evaluation Date:	2026-03-31
Expected Financial Closure Date:	2025-12-31

1.2 Project Description

The objective of this project is to develop and strengthen the national policy and institutional capacities for sustainable land management (SLM) and to contribute to achieving the national and degradation neutrality target with integrated landscape management in north-western mountainous ecosystems of North Macedonia. The goal of the Project is to reduce the effects of land degradation and land use pressures on natural resources in the mountain landscapes. UNEP's Regional Office for Europe (ROE-Geneva and Vienna Programme offices) is the executing agency of the project, while the Ministry of Environment and Physical Planning (MoEPP) is the main national partner and Municipalities of Arachinovo, Gazi Baba, Jegunovce, Lipkovo, Saraj and Zhelino as local partners. The project is also working closely with other national partners such as the Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry and Water Economy, PE National Forests and PE for Pasture Management. The project aims to achieve its objective through three main components.

Component 1 (Strengthened legal and institutional framework and capacity building for SLM and SFM) aims at analysing the current legal, institutional and capacity needs of land management sector including key opportunities and threats to achieving Land Degradation Neutrality (LDN) at national scale in North Macedonia; support in development of a Soil Protection Law, as well as a Soil Protection Strategy with Guidelines for Establishing Soil Quality Monitoring Programme; land utilization and ecosystems services valuation for forest and grasslands ecosystems in the pilot sites in the north-western part of North Macedonia; updates of the "Local Environmental Action Plans" of targeted municipalities to include sustainable land management (SLM) considerations and ecological approaches for erosion control.

Component 2 (Implementation of sustainable land and forest management practices for reducing the effects of land degradation in three pilot sites in the most vulnerable mountainous region (testing and demonstration in selected pilot sites in the most vulnerable mountainous regions, as basis for lessons learned, replication and up scaling) focuses on increasing the practical experience and knowledge of SLM and SFM methods and approaches and to facilitate uptake of SLM/SFM measures, which will eventually lead to reductions in land degradation and enhance ecosystem services. The project focuses on the issue of soil erosion, as one of the dominant types of land

degradation mostly caused by torrent rains, flooding and human activities including poor agricultural practices, inappropriate forest management, illegal loggings and forest fires.

Component 3 (Knowledge management and public awareness) is expected to improve the understanding of the benefits of SLM/SFM and LDN practices to scale up the SLM/SFM/LDN for future activities and sites. It will bring together the results of the project, more efficient approaches to rehabilitation of degraded land and sustainable land management and LDN approaches by private sector land developers and users.

1.3 Project Contacts

Division(s) Implementing the project	Ecosystems Division
Name of co-implementing Agency	
Executing Agency (ies)	UNEP's Regional Office for Europe (ROE) - Vienna Programme Office
names of Other Project Partners	Ministry of Environment and Physical Planning of the Republic of North Macedonia
UNEP Portfolio Manager(s)	Johan Robinson
UNEP Task Manager(s)	Ersin Esen
UNEP Budget/Finance Officer	George Saddimbah
UNEP Support Assistants	Charles Imbezi
Manager/Representative	Harald Egerer
Project Manager	Sonja Gebert
Finance Manager	Obinna Okafor
Communications Lead, if relevant	Alejandro Laguna Lopez

2 Overview of Project Status

2.1 UNEP PoW & UN

UNEP Current Subprogramme(s):	Thematic: Nature action subprogramme
UNEP previous	PoW 2019-2020-Subprogramme 3: Healthy and Productive Ecosystems
Subprogramme(s):	
PoW Indicator(s):	Nature: (iv) Increase in territory of land- and seascapes that is under improved ecosystem conservation and restoration
UNSDCF/UNDAF linkages	Outcome 4 - Environment and Climate Change, Output 4.2 Natural Resources
Link to relevant SDG Goals	Goal 15: Protect, restore and promote sustainable use of terrestrial ecosystems, sustainably manage forests, combat
	desertification, and halt and reverse land degradation and halt biodiversity loss
Link to relevant SDG Targets:	15.2 By 2020, promote the implementation of sustainable management of all types of forests, halt deforestation, restore
	degraded forests and substantially increase afforestation and reforestation globally
	15.3 By 2030, combat desertification, restore degraded land and soil, including land affected by desertification, drought and
	floods, and strive to achieve a land degradation-neutral world

2.2. GEF Core and Sub Indicators

GEF core or sub indicators targeted by the project as defined at CEO Endorsement/Approval, as well as results

		Targets - Expected Value		
Indicators	Mid-term	End-of-project	Total Target	Materialized to date
3.1- Area of degraded agricultural lands under	0 ha	2 050 ha	2 050 ha	0 ha
restoration				
3.2- Area of forest and forest land under restoration	0 ha	400 ha	400 ha	0 ha
4- Area of landscapes under improved practices	0 ha	12 550 ha	12 550 ha	0 ha
(excluding protected areas)				

Implementation Status 2023: 4th PIR

2.3. Implementation Status and Risks

	PIR#	Rating towards outcomes (section 3.1)	Rating towards outputs (section 3.2)	Risk rating (section 4.2)
FY 2024	4th PIR	MS	MS	M
FY 2023	3rd PIR	MS	MS	M
FY 2022	2nd PIR	S	MS	M
FY 2021	1st PIR	MS	MS	L
FY 2020				
FY 2019				
FY 2018				
FY 2017				
FY 2016				
FY 2015				

Summary of status

The project's progress pace is slow. The project will have a midterm review in Sept 2024. This assessment will provide further guidance on the actions to be taken to speed up the project.

The goal of the Project is to reduce the effects of land degradation and land use pressures on natural resources in the mountain landscapes in North Macedonia. As part of the project's overall goal, the following activities have been accomplished:

- Local consultants contracted to support the overall coordination of the project,
- Consultation meetings were conducted on a regular basis with the local UNEP team, GEF Operational and UNCCD Focal Point, MOEPP CBD FP and other MOEPP representatives, as well as with local partners for implementation of separate project activities,
- The First Project Steering Committee was held on 1st March 2024, to discuss the progress of project activities, upcoming plans and overcoming the challenges.
- Local partner for drafting the Soil protection Law was contracted and a first draft of the Law is prepared. An inter-institutional expert working group is established, and an official announcement regarding the preparation of the law has been published on the MoEPP website.
- ToR for the Development of Soil Protection Strategy and Guidelines for Establishing Soil Quality Monitoring Programme is prepared, and approved by the MoEPP. There is an ongoing procedure for contracting of the Local partner.
- A Program for afforestation was developed for the planned pilot sites and for additional three pilot sites.
- Established communication and cooperation with the Public Enterprise National Forests to conduct the afforestation work on the state forest land under their management.

In the frame of Component 1, the following activities has been accomplished:

Output 1.1.1 "Analysis of the ongoing legal, institutional and capacity needs of land management sector including key opportunities and threats to achieving Land Degradation Neutrality (LDN) at national scale in North Macedonia", is completed and approved by MoEPP, and made available to the local and national government. The following documents have been produced:

a) Stakeholders' engagement plan; b) Report/minutes from the first stakeholders' engagement meeting regarding the process of drafting the law); c) Report on the current legal and institutional framework in the country relevant to soil protection, sustainable land management (SLM) and land degradation neutrality (LDN); d) Roadmap for development and adoption of the Soil Protection Law; e) Report/minutes from the second stakeholders' consultation meeting (to present the results of the legal and institutional framework, discuss the roadmap, and present the structure with discussions on the scope of the law); f) Functional analysis on soil protection, SLM and LDN in North Macedonia (what each chapter of the draft law should contain); g) Guidance on demonstrating and scaling up SLM and LDN best practices.

Output 1.1.2 "Development of Soil Protection Strategy and Guidelines for Establishing Soil Quality Monitoring Programme completed and made available for adoption": TOR for Development of Soil Protection Strategy is prepared and finalized in consultations with local partners and approved by MOEPP. There is an ongoing procedure for contracting of the Local partner.

Output 1.1.3 "Land utilization and ecosystems services valuation for forest and grasslands ecosystems in the pilot sites in the north-western part of Macedonia": Based on the evaluation findings, a Synthesis Report was prepared (for the targeted municipalities Zhelino, Saraj, Arachinovo, Lipkovo, Jagunovce and Gazi Baba), together with separate maps for the project area for each of the pilot municipalities. The final deliverables will be promoted and further distributed in the upcoming period and during the entire project duration.

Output 1.1.4 "Support in the Development of the Law on Soil Protection": The first draft of the Soil Protection Law is prepared and shared with MOEPP. An official announcement regarding the preparation of the Law was published on MOEPP website, and an Expert working group on the Soil Law was established with a MOEPP decision (signed on 29 May 2024).

Output 1.1.5 "Local Environmental Action Plans (LEAPs) of targeted municipalities are revised and updated, to include sustainable land management considerations and ecological approaches for erosion control": The final versions of the LEAPs for the pilot municipalities (Arachinovo, Jagunovce, Saraj and Zelino) have been shared with MOEPP, and approved. Synergy activities report and Erosion protection and torrent waters for Municipality of Lipkovo is also prepared and delivered to MOEPP and approved. The LEAPs are undergoing translation into Albanian.

Output 1.2.1. "Relevant national and municipal resource managers capacitated in SLM and SFM practices, ecosystem services and use of economic instruments": TOR is prepared and a local partner is identified. There is an ongoing process of contracting the selected local partner. The Capacity Building Trainings in Türkiye is scheduled for

the second week of September (08-15 September 2024). There is an ongoing consultation with the Türkiye/Directorate for Combating Desertification and Erosion on developing the training programme module/s.

Output 1.2.2 "Strengthened National Council for Sustainable Development (NCSD) to coordinate institutions, engage with local communities and manage information flows for better integration of SFM/SLM and LDN aspects": Following the presidential and parliamentary elections in North Macedonia (April-June 2024), a new NCSD is expected to be established, when the communication is expected to reconvene.

In the frame of Component 2, the following activities has been accomplished:

Output 2.1.1 "SFM and SLM guidelines are prepared and made available to national and local authorities and all interested stakeholders, providing an effective framework for reforestation, afforestation, restoration and conservation activities": Within the scope of this output, consultants have been engaged to support the revision and update of the national LDN (Land Degradation Neutrality) targets, as well as the National Drought Management Plan. These documents will serve as a baseline of further development of the Soil Protection Strategy, and updating the Draft National Action plan to Combat Desertification, but also contribute to development of the guidelines and effective framework for re/afforestation activities.

Output 2.1.2 "SFM practices and priority actions for afforestation are implemented to accelerate optimized use of forest and non-forest land by communities and local government on 5 000 hectares at pilot sites": A Program for afforestation of 6 pilot sites on 400 ha is prepared. Furthermore, a TOR with specifications for afforestation activities is being finalized, following several consultation meetings with the Public Enterprise National Forests and the Public Enterprise Pasture Management. A Memorandum for cooperation between UNEP, MOEPP and PE National Forests has been drafted.

Output 2.1.3 "SLM practices to address priority LD and deforestation issues tested, demonstrated and locally validated on 10 000 hectares to achieve local LDN targets": Revision of the Drought Management Plan and suggestions for inputs/revision of the Draft National Action Plan to Combat Desertification is completed. Furthermore, the lessons learned from the planned Turkiye training, as well as NCSD-related upcoming trainings, are expected to be the baseline to conduct the trainings under Output 2.1.3 on testing of SLM practices (to be implemented by the end of the project). The SLM trainings are expected to train 100 representatives from the PEs and state institutions, 400 participants from other stakeholders and at least 100 local farmers is adopting/piloting SLM practices on an area of 50 ha. Local partner identified in collaboration with MOFPP.

In the frame of Component 3, the following activities has been accomplished:

Output 3.1.1 "Production of knowledge management products made available to national and local stakeholders, based on training and exchanges under Outcome 1.2 and best practice arising from Outcome 2.1 to ensure SLM/SFM and LDN": This activity is ongoing, and depends on the finalization mainly of Outcome 1.2 and Outcome 2.1.

Output 3.1.2. "Communication strategy and outreach campaign designed and made available to targeted national and local stakeholders, with an emphasis on ecological and economic benefits of sustainable management of land and forests of North Macedonia, including establishment of web-based national SLM/SFM and LDN knowledge management hub": The project developed a Project Communication Strategy, for stakeholders' engagement throughout the implementation of all project activities. This Strategy is shared and approved by MOEPP. TOR for starting of SLM/SFM outreach campaign/s and development of promotional materials was drafted and approved by MoEPP. The development of the web-based communication hub is being discussed together with the institutional communication tools on SLM/SFM/LDN. An initial communication has been made with SCOUTS regarding their possible involvement through the 'Borenka' programme during the afforestation activities, to include project visibility and raise awareness on SLM/SFM/LDN.

Output 3.1.3 "Development of three bankable project proposals made available to national authorities, for replication of sustainable land and forest management practices in other regions identified as vulnerable": This output will be implemented during 2025, following the deliverables of all components and specific stakeholders' needs.

2.4 Co Finance

Planned Co-	\$ 33,725,840		
finance:			
Actual to date:	10,280,000		
Progress	Justify progress in terms of materialization of expected co-finance. State any relevant challenges:		
	The Ministry of Environment and Physical Planning has provided UNEP with office space for the project needs.		
	Furthremore, the Ministry is continously supporting the project execution with dedicated engagement and monitoring the implementation of the planned		
	activities, field work activities, awareness raising events and public consultation processes. MOEPP expertise and guidance is crucial for political and		
	operational execution of the project, especially during the development of the Soil Protection Law and Strategy, during project deliveries, such as the		
	LEAPs for targeted municipalities, the ecosystem services valuation for forest and grassland ecosystems at the pilot sites and similar. The Ministry actively		
	participated in preparing the Afforestation program and maintained daily communication with the UNEP team.		
	In addition, the targeted municipalities have been communicated during the preparation of the LEAPs and the ecosystem valuation services, through their		
	engagement in working groups and meetings. This increases the level of knowledge and upscaling the received results and recommendations in the		
	operations at local and national level, as well as awareness raising.		

2.5. Stakeholder

Date of project steering	2024-03-01
committee meeting	
Stakeholder engagement (will be	Knowledge management sharing and raising public awareness of SLM/SFM/LDN targeting stakeholders at both national and local levels
uploaded to GEF Portal)	forms part of all project components and is carried out with particular support from the scientific community, the Ministry of
	Environment and Physical Planning, the Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry and Water Economy, as well as non-governmental organizations
	that can directly contribute to proactive engagement of stakeholders.
	Regular meetings are being held with the Ministry of Environment and Physical Planning (CBD, UNCCD/GEF Operational Focal Points), as well as with local partners on the status of implementation of planned activities. Frequent coordination meetings with Faculty of
	Forestry, Public Enterprise National Forests and Public Enterprise Pasture Management, especially for the implementation of C2
	activities, are being organized.
	The activities under component 3 are expected to increase the access to information on the project, possibility for different stakeholders to access and contribute to the quality of deliverables and increase the knowledge on SLM/SFM/LDN challenges and opportunities.

2.6. Gender

Does the project have a gender	No
action plan?	
Gender mainstreaming (will be	The project is in line with UNEP's commitment to gender equality and women's empowerment and takes into account the differences,
uploaded to GEF Portal):	needs, roles and priorities of both men and women. Also, the project is consistent with the GEF Policy on Gender Equality (GEF/C.53/04,
	October 2017), and is also in line with the UNCCD, which recognizes the important role of women and of all individuals with expertise on
	desertification, land degradation and drought. The developed project Communication strategy includes gender mainstreaming tools in
	line with SDGs with focus on increasing the understanding of land degradation issues and impacts. Activities with reference to promotion
	of gender equality have been included in the selection of local partners, out of which 75% women are managing NGOs.

2.7. ESSM

Moderate/High risk projects (in	Was the project classified as moderate/high risk CEO Endorsement/Approval Stage?
terms of Environmental and	No
social safeguards)	If yes, what specific safeguard risks were identified in the SRIF/ESERN?
	N/A
New social and/or	Have any new social and/or environmental risks been identified during the reporting period?
environmental risks	No
	If yes, describe the new risks or changes?
	N/A
Complaints and grievances	Has the project received complaints related to social and/or environmental impacts (actual or potential) during the reporting period?
related to social and/or	No
environmental impacts	If yes, please describe the complaint(s) or grievance(s) in detail, including the status, significance, who was involved and what actions
	were taken?
	N/A
Environmental and social	

safeguards management	n all project activities, a transparent process has been used, involving all stakeholders and respecting gender equality. Regarding social	
	protection measures, all stakeholders are treated equally, regardless of different nationality, gender, or other categorization.	

2.8. KM/Learning

Knowledge activities and	There is not yet any knowledge product to be shared.
products	
Main learning during the period	The project has experienced a slow pace of progress. Identifying the root causes of these delays and addressing them promptly is crucial. Regular monitoring and evaluation mechanisms should be strengthened to ensure timely completion of tasks. The scheduled midterm review in September 2024 will be a pivotal moment for the project. It is essential to utilize this review to reassess timelines, reallocate resources if necessary, and establish clear, actionable steps to accelerate progress.
	Regular consultation meetings with UNEP, GEF, UNCCD Focal Point, MOEPP, and local partners have been instrumental in aligning efforts and addressing challenges collaboratively. The First Project Steering Committee meeting on March 1, 2024, was successful in discussing project progress and future plans. Continual engagement of the Steering Committee is vital for strategic oversight and guidance.
	Establishing an inter-institutional expert working group and publicly announcing the law's preparation have been crucial steps for transparency and inclusivity.
	Engaging stakeholders in the development and approval process ensures buy-in and relevance of these strategic documents.

2.9. Stories

The first public awareness campaign is ongoing through Activity 1.1.4, aiming to raise public awareness on Soil Protection and Soil Protection Law, some of the shared stories are:

• Shared Official announcement, published on MOEPP website regarding the preparation of the Soil protection Law

https://www.facebook.com/ipecc.org/posts/pfbid0375GkQMzb7XXMwc8ZE9QEaPGwiXwxHHwMuq1btGQz9NM6vHb7RZot39BNZ37BVrszl

• On 27.March 2024, near the Bogoslovec wind park, Sveti Nikole, with the symbolic planting of seedlings, the preparation of the Law on Soil Protection in the Republic of North Macedonia and the action for afforestation of 400ha were promoted.

https://www.facebook.com/ipecc.org/posts/pfbid0Q3hDweyQJQ4uVtXCgCrZvf5ccTWbp8i2MNxYrXE8zwxZcxmF5bF8vdmiu2sHBmWel

• Post on start of the Drafting Law on Soil Protection in the Republic of North Macedonia:

ENG language https://www.facebook.com/ipecc.org/posts/pfbid02jFJrw377TA8LaQjd3PW4wrEwuAaUyehaUegfhGNyW7d9Gndajn96CtMCgCSm2DhQl

MK Language https://www.facebook.com/ipecc.org/posts/pfbid02qoMq6KapBJ4ngdiVjMTnTWvzajbKWnzqFMA4VDbkhMhRee6LvWGzzVFDG5qBtrNXI

MOEPP website:

- On 29 April 204 Official announcement was published on MOEPP website regarding the preparation of the Soil protection Law
 https://www.moepp.gov.mk/nastani/%d0%b8%d0%b7%d0%b2%d0%b5%d1%81%d1%82%d1%83%d0%b2%d0%b0%d1%9a%d0%b5-%d0%b7%d0%b0%d0%b6%d0%b6-%d0%b6-%d0%b6-%d0%b6-%d0%b6-%d0%b6-%d0%b6-%d0%b6-%d0%b6-%d0%b6-%d0%b6-%d0%b6 *d0%b8%d0%b7%d1%80%d0%b0%d0%b1%d0%be/
- Announcement on MOEPP website related to the drafting the Soil protection Law, and afforestation of 400ha forest land.
 https://www.moepp.gov.mk/nastani/%d1%88%d1%83%d0%ba%d0%be%d0%b2%d0%b0-%d1%9c%d0%b5-%d1%81%d0%b5-%d0%b7%d1%80%d0%b0%d0%b0%d0%be%d0%b0-%d0%b7%d0%b0-%d0%b7%d0%b0%d0%ba%d0%be%d0%bd-%d0%b7%d0%b0-%d0%b7%d0%b0%d0%ba%d0%be%d0%bd-%d0%b7%d0%b0-%d0%b7%d0%b0%d0%ba%d0%be%d0%b7%d0%b0-%d0%b7%d0%b0%d0%ba%d0%be%d0%b7%d0%b0-%d0%b7%d0%b0%d0%ba%d0%be%d0%b7%d0%b0-%d0%b7%d0%b0%d0%ba%d0%b8/?fbclid=lwY2xjawEUb0FleHRuA2FlbQlxMQABHXegXpvUVag5AR4yFnstHbp3FSjEH19lJfQ1z0fUs3PLOY1EDXWSc53
 Oyw aem uL5eNJ5bTeD7Q7ZGw8YRxQ

3 Performance

3.1 Rating of progress towards achieving the project outcomes

Project Objective and Outcomes	Indicator	Baseline level	Mid-Term	End of Project	Progress as of	Summary by the EA of attainment of the indicator	Progress
			Target or	Target	current	& target as of 30 June	rating
			Milestones		period(numeric,		
					percentage, or		
					binary entry only)		
To develop and strengthen		Weak political		Analysis of the	40%	Plan for stakeholders' involvement and	MS
national policy and institutional		or		ongoing legal,		communication developed; Report on	
capacity for sustainable land		institutional		institutional		current legal and institutional	
management (SLM) and to		will to make		and capacity		framework in North Macedonia developed,	
contribute to achieving the		necessary		needs of land		Road Map to a Law on soil protection	
national and degradation		changes will		management		developed and Functional analysis and	
neutrality target with integrated		prevent the		sector		Guidance on demonstrating and scaling up	
landscape management in north-		application of		including key		SLM and LDN best practices developed.	
western mountainous		SLM and SFM		opportunities		All deliverables approved by MoEPP.	
ecosystems of North Macedonia		practices on		and threats to		Delivered first draft of the Soil	
		the ground		achieving Land		protection Law.	
				Degradation			
				Neutrality			
				(LDN) at			
				national scale			
				in North			
				Macedonia			
				completed and			
				made available			
				to the national			
				and local			
				government			
Outcome 1.1.:National	Number of Government	No specific	Report on	National Soil	20%	Local partner Identified, TOR for	MS
authorities address gaps and	Strategy, Legislation	strategy for	existing legal,	Protection		Development of Soil Protection Strategy	
weaknesses in the land use and	developed	soil	institutional	Strategy		is finalized in consultations with local	

Project Objective and Outcomes	Indicator	Baseline level	Mid-Term Target or Milestones		Progress as of current period(numeric, percentage, or	Summary by the EA of attainment of the indicator & target as of 30 June	Progress rating
					binary entry only)		
land degradation policy, legal and		protection	and capacity	approved		partner and MOEPP, approved by MOEPP.	
regulatory framework to			needs of land			Ongoing contracting procedure.	
accelerate the achievement of			management				
land degradation neutrality			sector				
		No specific	Updated Local	LEAPs updated	LEAPs updated	LEAPs for pilot municipalities	S
		legislation on	Environmental			(Arachinovo, Jagunovce, Saraj and	
		protection of	Action Plans			Zelino), Synergy activities report and	
		soils	(LEAPs)			Erosion protection and torrent waters	
						for Municipality of Lipkovo are	
						finalized and shared with MOEPP. Ongoing	
						translation of the documents into	
						Albanian language	
			Drafted Soil	Soil Protection	50%	The first draft of the Soil Protection	S
			Protection	Law drafted		Law is prepared and shared with MOEPP,	
			Strategy			an announcement was published on MOEPP	
						website regarding the preparation of the	
						Law, and Expert WG was established with	
						a MOEPP decision (signed on 29 May	
						2024). Ongoing consultative processes on	
						the Law	
Outcome 1.2: Trained national	# of trained and capacitated	NCSD has	MOEPP: 22 5	MOEPP 30 100	40%	TOR is prepared, local partner	MS
and municipal resource	NCSD members	limited	NCSD	experts trained		identified, ongoing conclusion of SSFA	
managers to integrate SLM/ SFM		capacity,	members			with the selected local partner.	
in LDN, in coordination with the		limited	trained			Capacity building Trainings in Türkiye:	
NCSD		participation				scheduled for the second week of	
		of important				September (08-15 September 2024).	
		stakeholders,				Continuous coordination with the local	
		limited				partner and the General Directorate of	
		coordination				Combating desertification and erosion	
		between the				within the Ministry of Forestry and	

Project Objective and Outcomes	Indicator	Baseline level	Mid-Term Target or	End of Project Target	current	Summary by the EA of attainment of the indicator & target as of 30 June	Progress rating
			Milestones		period(numeric,		
					percentage, or		
					binary entry only)		
		members of				Water Affairs from the Republic of	
		NCSD				Turkey on finalising the training	
						programme module. Currently, a new	
						National Development Strategy is under	
						final consultation and adoption with the	
						national authorities. It is expected to	
						bring restructuring the NCSD and its	
						operations, to support the	
						implementation of this and other	
						national planning documents and	
						policies. In consultation with MOEPP,	
						these trainings are to be postponed	
						until the adoption of the strategy and	
						the mentioned institutionalization.	
Outcome 2.1: Local governments	Forest land under SFM (ha)	~9,000 ha of	Guidelines for	Practical	40%	ToR developed in consultation with MOEPP	MS
in North Macedonia apply	under afforestation actions	forest and	SFM	application of		and Local expert was engaged. Revision	
practical methods, approaches		forest land	reforestation	field		and Update of the national LDN targets	
and practices for halting or		have been	for the	afforestation		is ongoing and will serve as baseline	
reversing land degradation and		lost annually	targeted	activities at		for the preparation of the Soil	
deforestation beyond the pilots		0 ha under	municipalities	selected bare		Protection Strategy, and updating of the	
		SFM	prepared; SFM	land or		Draft National Action plan to Combat	
		practices;	approaches	severely		Desertification in addition,	
		There are no	(based on	degraded		consultations with MOEPP on developing	
		specific	developed	forest and		ToR for Expert to develop Guidelines on	
		guidelines for	SFM	additional 5		SLM and SFM for reforestation,	
		undertaking	guidelines) for	000 ha of		afforestation, restoration and	
		SFM in project		forest and		conservation is ongoing. Afforestation	
			000 ha of	forest land is		programs for 6 pilot sites on 400 ha is	
			forest and	under SFM		prepared. Based on the prepared	
			forest land			afforestation programme, TOR with	

Project Objective and Outcomes	Indicator			J	current period(numeric, percentage, or binary entry only)	& target as of 30 June	Progress rating
	sustainable land management (ha) under SFM ;# of farmers testing SLM practices	sustainable land management and climate smart	farmers involved in the questionnaires	land under SLM		Revised Drought Management Plan and suggestions for inputs for revision of the Draft National Action Plan to Combat Desertification is prepared. Ongoing is preparation of ToR on Land Management Study and Action Plan and development of national training on SLM practices	MS
	updated (LEAP)			5 LEAPs revised and updated	and updated	LEAPs for pilot municipalities (Arachinovo, Jagunovce, Saraj and Zelino), Synergy activities report and Erosion protection and torrent waters for Municipality of Lipkovo are finalized and shared with MOEPP. Ongoing translation of the documents into Albanian language	S

Project Objective and Outcomes	Indicator	Baseline level		End of Project Target	current	Summary by the EA of attainment of the indicator & target as of 30 June	Progress rating
			Milestones		period(numeric,		
					percentage, or		
					binary entry only)		
		understanding					
		of SLM					
		methods or					
		approaches					
Outcome 3.1: Private sector, land		Few targeted	At least 300	At least 500	10%	Ongoing coordination with MOEPP for	MS
developers and users apply SLM/	societal knowledge and	knowledge	people (out of	people (out of		public awareness activities and depends	
SFM best practices for mitigating	understanding of benefits of	management	which, at least	which, at least		on the finalization of Outcome 1.2 and	
erosion and degradation	SLM/SFM improved	products	50% women)	50% women)		Outcome 2.1	
applicable for North Macedonia		based on best	have taken	have been			
		practice for	notice of, or	given			
		SLM and	participate in,	opportunity to			
		application of	at least 3	take notice of,			
		LDN principle	targeted	or participate			
		available	awareness	in, a variety of			
			raising	targeted			
			activities	awareness			
			and/or	raising			
			materials	activities and			
				materials and			
				benefits from			
				SLM/SFM			
		Few		At least 50% of	0%	Planned for the next reporting period	MS
		stakeholders		the			
		have		communication			
		knowledge		and awareness			
		products on		raising			
		SLM/SFM and		products			
		LD		delivered by			
				the project will			
				be focused			

Project Objective and Outcomes	Indicator	Baseline level	Mid-Term Target or	End of Project Target	_	Summary by the EA of attainment of the indicator & target as of 30 June	Progress rating
			Milestones	_	period(numeric,	a target us of so suite	Tuting
			ivinestories		percentage, or		
					binary entry only)		
				towards	omary enery omy,		
				women			
		No Web-			20%	This activity is discussed together with	MS
		based				the institutional communication tools on	1013
		national				SLM/SFM/LDN. Ongoing are communication	
		knowledge				with MOEPP on developing of the	
						communication and information hub and	
		management hub for					
						developing of ToR.	
		SLM/SFM and					
		LDN available					
		to scientific					
		institutions,					
		public and					
		private sector					
	Three new project proposals	No well-	One bankable	Three bankable	0%	Planned to be implemented in the next	MS
	for application of SLM/SFM	developed	SLM/SFM	SLM/SFM		reporting period, following the	
	developed	SLM/ SFM	project	project		deliverables of all components and	
		project	proposals	proposals		specific stakeholders' needs.	
		proposals for	prepared	prepared and			
		submission to		being			
		donors		discussed with			
		prepared		potential			
				donors			

3.2 Rating of progress implementation towards delivery of outputs (Implementation Progress)

Component	Output/Activity	Expected	Implementation	Implementation	Progress rating justification, description of	Progress
		completion	status as of	status as of	challenges faced and explanations for any delay	Rating
		date	previous	current		
			reporting	reporting		
			period (%)	period (%)		

Component	Output/Activity	_	Implementation status as of	_	Progress rating justification, description of challenges faced and explanations for any delay	Progress
		_	previous	current	challenges faced and explanations for any delay	Kating
			ľ			
			-	reporting period (%)		
1 Ctrongthonod	Output 1.1.1.: SLM approaches mainstreamed into revised legal	2022-03-31			Revised legal framework and land	S
_	1	2022-03-31	100%		_	3
legal and	framework and land management planning processes	2024 42 24	1000/		management planning processes	_
institutional	Activity 1.1.1.1. Analysis and identification of gaps in the relevant	2021-12-31	100%		Local partner Institute for Policies in	S
	primary and secondary legislation in the land management sector in				Environment and Climate Change selected	
capacity	the country				and SSFA signed on 10-Jun-2022.	
building for					Functional analysis and Guidance on	
SLM and SFM					demonstrating and scaling up SLM and LDN	
					best practices prepared and approved by	
					MoEPP.	
	Activity 1.1.1.2. Analysis, identification of gaps and different	2021-12-31	100%	100%	Functional analysis and Guidance on	S
	responsibilities of relevant stakeholders in the land management				demonstrating and scaling up SLM and LDN	
	sector				best practices prepared and approved by	
					MoEPP.	
	Activity 1.1.1.3. Conduct meetings and workshop with relevant	2021-12-31	100%	100%		S
	stakeholders to discuss results from the performed analysis under					
	1.1.1.1 and 1.1.1.2 and present proposals for improvements, taking					
	into consideration gender balance and inclusion of vulnerable groups					
	and ethnic and religious minorities					
	Activity 1.1.1.4. Prepare report with recommendations for	2022-03-31	100%	100%	Plan for stakeholders' involvement and	S
	improvements in the identified legal and institutional framework				communication developed and approved	
					byMoEPP.	
	Activity 1.1.1.5. Clarify and define individual and joint stakeholder	2022-03-31	100%	100%	Stakeholders' engagement and	S
	responsibilities, especially in regards to SLM and SFM decision				communication plan was prepared.	
	making mechanisms and responsibilities					
	Output 1.1.2: Development of Soil Protection Strategy and Guidelines	2025-09-30	10%	20%	Delays in the implementation due to	MS
	for Establishing Soil Quality Monitoring Programme completed and		20,5		appointment process of new National	
	made available for adoption				technical project coordinator,	
	and distinction adoption				parliamentary and president elections in	
					the country	
					the country	

Component	Output/Activity	Expected	-	-	Progress rating justification, description of	Progress
			status as of		challenges faced and explanations for any delay	Rating
		date	previous	current		
			reporting	reporting		
			period (%)	period (%)		
	Activity 1.1.2.1. Analysis of the existing national and local policy	2024-09-30	20%	30%	Activity partially conducted through	MS
	documents to impact SLM and SFM, major related environmental				Output 1.1.1TOR developed and shared	
	problems, steps taken so far and reasons for strategy development				with MoEPP and approved. Consortium of	
					local partners for implementation of the	
					activity is agreed with MoEPP. In the	
					reporting period was suggested increase	
					of budget on this activity and was	
					discussed and agreed on the PSC meeting.	
	Activity 1.1.2.2. Preliminary national stakeholders' consultations to	2024-12-31	. 0%	0%	Planned for the next reporting period.	MS
	discuss major problems and results under 1.1.2.1, taking into					
	consideration gender balance and inclusion of vulnerable groups and					
	ethnic and religious minorities					
	Activity 1.1.2.3. Develop sections on LD in correlation with other	2025-03-31	. 0%	0%	Planned for the next reporting period	MS
	sectors and impacts, mechanisms for measuring soil quality and					
	effectiveness of remedial actions					
	Activity 1.1.2.4 Consultation with national stakeholders to discuss	2025-03-31	. 0%	0%	Planned for the next reporting period.	MS
	proposed actions and best management practices					
	Activity 1.1.2.5. Draft Soil Protection Strategy	2025-09-30	0%	0%	Planned for the next reporting period	MS
	Activity 1.1.2.6. Develop guidelines for establishing soil quality	2025-09-30	0%	0%	Planned for the next reporting period	MS
	monitoring programme					
	Activity 1.1.2.7. National stakeholders' consultations to discuss	2025-09-30	0%	0%	Planned for the next reporting period	MS
	1.1.2.6 and development of final version of the Strategy, taking into					
	account gender balance and inclusion of minorities					
	Output 1.1.3: Land utilization and ecosystem services valuation for	2024-12-31	50%	90%	Land utilization and ecosystems services	MS
	forest and grasslands ecosystems in the pilot sites in the north-				valuation for forest and grasslands	
	western part of North Macedonia, completed and disseminated				ecosystems in the pilot sites	
					(municipality of Zhelino, Saraj,	
					Arachinovo, Lipkovo, Jagunovce and Gazi	
					Baba) completed, in addition a Synthesis	

Component	Output/Activity	Expected	Implementation	Implementation	Progress rating justification, description of	Progress
		completion	status as of	status as of	challenges faced and explanations for any delay	Rating
		date	previous	current		
			reporting	reporting		
			period (%)	period (%)		
					Report was prepared together with separate maps for the project area for each of the pilot municipalities. The final deliverables will be promoted and further distributed in the upcoming period and during the entire project	
	Activity 1.1.3.1. Determine through stakeholder consultation which SLM options are to be assessed for land utilization and ecosystem services	2022-09-30	100%	100%	duration. Local partner selected and SSFA signed with Macedonian Ecological Society on 15-Jun-2022.	S
	Activity 1.1.3.2. Preparation of studies on (a) Scenario characterization and biophysical & economic data and (b) Scenario analysis and valuation – within a scope of application of land utilization and ecosystem services	2023-06-30	70%		A List of threats and pressures was created, and the expert team identified ecosystem type/s within each municipality	S
	Activity 1.1.3.3. Organizing of Stakeholder validation workshop on the application of land utilization and ecosystem services and preparation of final version on scenario analysis and valuation	2023-09-30	100%		Validation workshop for Development of Ecosystem services (ESS) for the Municipalities of Lipkovo, Arachinovo, Gazi Baba, Saraj, Zhelino and Jegunovce was held on October 4, 2022, whereas the experts' workshop was organized on 25-Nov-2022.	MS
	Activity 1.1.3.4. Publications (translation, design and printing) of scenario analysis and valuation study on the applications of land utilization and ecosystem services	2024-06-30	70%		All developed documents (Synthesis report on Land utilization and ecosystem services valuation for forest and grasslands ecosystems in the pilot sites in the north-western part of North Macedonia", and Factsheets on Ecosystem services for each pilot site/municipality) were delivered to	MS

Component	Output/Activity	completion date	status as of previous reporting	status as of current reporting period (%)	Progress rating justification, description of challenges faced and explanations for any delay MoEPP for final approval. These	Progress Rating
					documents will be translated in Albanian, whereas a summary will be provided in English.	
	Activity 1.1.3.5. Dissemination of Scenario analysis and valuation study on the application of land utilization and ecosystem services	2024-12-31	50%		Ongoing communication with the pilot sites/municipalities for organizing educative workshop on Promotion and distribution of publications with scenario analysis and valuation study on the applications of land utilization and ecosystem services.	MS
	Output 1.1.4: Support in the Development of the Law on Soil Protection	2024-12-31	20%		Some progress has been made in the development of the Soil Protection Law, including the completion of the working thesis and structure, establishment of an expert team, preparation of the first draft, and ongoing consultative meetings and workshops.	MS
	Activity 1.1.4.1. Conform an inter-institutional steering group to guide and supervise the development of the Law, taking into consideration the existing international and national policies, regulations and treaties	2024-06-30	30%		Working thesis and structure of the LSP were completed. This activity was followed by a series of experts' meetings The working group on the Soil Law was established with a MOEPP decision (signed on 29 May 2024) — first session expected by mid-August.	MS
	Activity 1.1.4.2. Establishment of an expert team to work on the development of the Draft Law, taking into account gender balance	2022-12-31	100%	100%	A local partner was selected and contracted to support the Development of the Soil Protection Law (Institute for Policies in Environment and Climate	S

Component	Output/Activity	completion	Implementation status as of previous	•	Progress rating justification, description of challenges faced and explanations for any delay	Progress Rating
			reporting	reporting period (%)		
					Change-IPECC). Expert team was established (involving soil expert, legal, erosion, pedology, climate expert, etc.)	
	Activity 1.1.4.3. Develop Draft Law on Soil Protection	2024-09-30	20%		Ongoing. The first draft version of the law is prepared and shared with UNEP and MOEPP in March 2024.	S
	Activity 1.1.4.4. Carry out necessary workshops, meetings, national consultations to present and discuss the Draft Law on Soil Protection, taking into account gender balance and inclusion of minorities	2024-12-31	20%		Several consultative meetings with the expert team were organized by the local partner related to the development of the law. In the next period additional workshops, consultative meetings are planned to be organized.	MS
	Activity 1.1.4.5. Preparation of all necessary documentation and submission of the Draft Law to relevant legislation authorities for official processing and adoption of the Law	2025-06-30	10%		Ongoing. On 15/03/2024 announcement was published on MoEPP website regarding the preparation of the Law on Soil Protection.	MS
	Output 1.1.5: "Local Environmental Action Plans" of targeted municipalities are revised and updated, to include sustainable land management considerations and ecological approaches for erosion control	2024-12-31	50%		There is significant progress in revising and updating the Local Environmental Action Plans (LEAPs) for targeted municipalities, with final versions delivered to MOEPP and translation into Albanian currently underway.	S
	Activity 1.1.5.1. Selection of expert team to work on the development of the "Local Environmental Action Plans"	2021-03-31	100%	100%	Local partner contracted: Milieu Kontakt MK (MKM) with an expert team to support the development of the Local Environmental Action Plans (LEAPs)	MS
	Activity 1.1.5.2. Draft "Local Environmental Action Plans", taking into	2021-09-30	80%	100%	LEAPs for the targeted municipalities	S

Component	Output/Activity	Expected	Implementation	1 -	Progress rating justification, description of	Progress
		completion	status as of	status as of	challenges faced and explanations for any delay	Rating
		date	previous	current		
			reporting	reporting		
			period (%)	period (%)		
	account gender balance, local capacities and institutional budgets				(Arachinovo, Lipkovo, Saraj, Zhelino,	
					Jegunovce) were drafted, including the	
					sustainable land management and	
					ecological approaches for erosion	
					control and torrent waters for the	
					Municipality of Lipkovo.	
	Activity 1.1.5.3. Stakeholders workshop to discuss developed draft	2022-12-31	. 80%	100%	The LEAPs are shared with MOEPP and the	MS
	"Local Environmental Action Plans"				municipalities. In parallel, meetings	
					are being organized with each to discuss	
					the findings. Received comments were	
					integrated and LEAPs are finalised and	
					delivered to MOEPP	
	Activity 1.1.5.4. Final "Local Environmental Action Plans" updated	2021-12-31	. 80%	95%	Deliverables: LEAPs for pilot	MS
	and lessons learned				municipalities (Arachinovo, Jagunovce,	
					Saraj and Zelino), Synergy activities	
					report and Erosion protection and	
					torrent waters for Municipality of	
					Lipkovo are finalized and delivered to	
					MOEPPOngoing is translation into	
					Albanian language of the documents.	
	Output 1.2.1: Relevant national and municipal resource managers		0%	40%	Has progress in the organisation of the	MS
	capacitated in SLM and SFM practices, ecosystem services and use of				Capacity Building training in Turkiye	
	economic instruments				(planned for 8-15 September 2024) is	
					prepared and a local partner is	
					identified. There is an ongoing process	
					of contracting the selected local	
					partner. Preparation of ToR for national	
					trainings is in process, and local	
					partner is selected.	

Component	Output/Activity	Expected	Implementation	Implementation	Progress rating justification, description of	Progress
		completion	status as of	status as of	challenges faced and explanations for any delay	Rating
		date	previous	current		
			reporting	reporting		
				period (%)		
	Activity 1.2.1.1. Assessment of capacities at national and local level to identify the needs and challenges of relevant stakeholders in adopting SLM/SFM approaches	2024-09-30	0%		Preliminary discussions were held with relevant national governmental institutions regarding their needs for capacity building in the context of sustainable land and forest management. During these meetings, potential participants for the capacity building training in Turkey were	S
					identified. Further details will be identified during the development of the training programme.	
	Activity 1.2.1.2. Based on the results of 1.2.1.1, develop Training Program, to fit the capacity needs assessment	2024-09-30			Ongoing communication process between UNEP, MoEPP, UNCCD Secretariat and the General Directorate of Combating Desertification and Erosion whiten the Ministry of Environment, Urbanization and Climate Change in Turkiye for development of the Training Program (locations for field visits, topics, logistic arrangements etc.). The first draft concept of the Capacity building training is prepared. Local partner is selected in coordination with MOEP. Next steps are finalisation of the concept and organization of the planned trainings.	S
	Activity 1.2.1.3. Selection of training teams depending on the model of training and stakeholders	2024-09-30	0%		Potential participants for the capacity building training in Turkiye were identified. Final selections will follow based on the capacity needs assessment.	MS

nponent	Output/Activity	Expected	Implementation	Implementation	Progress rating justification, description of	Progres
		completion	status as of	status as of	challenges faced and explanations for any delay	Rating
		date	previous	current		
				reporting		
				period (%)		
	Activity 1.2.1.4. Implementation of the Training Program, taking into	2024-12-31	. 10%	40%	The first round of trainings is planned	MS
	consideration gender balance				to be conducted the second week of	
					September 2024.	
	Activity 1.2.1.5. Identification and addressing of information gaps and	2024-12-31	10%	30%	Discussions with representatives from	MS
	flows for carrying out SLM and Identification and addressing of				institutions - members of the National	
	information gaps and flows for carrying out SLM and SFM approaches				Council for Sustainable Development were	
					conducted related to the common	
					SLM/SFM/LDN needs and their involvement	
					in the capacity building trainings. The	
					identification and addressing	
					information gap and flow for carrying	
					out SLM/SFM approaches are to be	
					concluded during the next reporting	
					periods, throughout the implementation	
					of the Training Program.	
	Output 1.2.2: Strengthened National Council for Sustainable	2025-06-30	0%	20%	Significant progress has been made in	MS
	Development (NCSD) to coordinate institutions, engage with local				discussions with representatives from	
	communities and manage information flows for better integration of				institution members of the National	
	SFM/SLM and LDN aspects				Council for Sustainable Development	
					(NCSD), regarding their participation in	
					capacity-building trainings. However,	
					challenges persist in implementing	
					specific activities due to an ongoing	
					institutional restructuring process.	
					Once the new NCSD is established, these	
					activities will be initiated.	
	Activity 1.2.2.1. Strengthen NCSD capacities on SLM and SFM	2025-06-30	10%	20%	Discussions with representatives from	MS
	approaches, through thematic meetings and workshops				institutions - members of the National	
					Council for Sustainable Development	
					(NCSD) were conducted. A organized	

Component	Output/Activity	Expected	Implementation	Implementation	Progress rating justification, description of	Progress
		completion	status as of	status as of	challenges faced and explanations for any delay	Rating
		date	previous	current		
			reporting	reporting		
			period (%)	period (%)		
					meeting with the cabinet of the Deputy	
					Prime Minister responsible for economic	
					affairs and the NCSD chairperson was	
					organized. Further discussions with	
					MOEPP on the implementation of this	
					activity will follow in the upcoming	
					period. The new National Development	
					Strategy is under final consultations	
					and adoption with the national	
					authorities. It is expected to bring	
					restructuring of the NCSD and its	
					operations, as well as to support the	
					implementation of this and other	
					national planning documents and	
					policies. Consequently, and in	
					consultation with MOEPP, these trainings	
					are to be postponed until the adoption	
					of the strategy and the mentioned	
					institutional restructuring.	
	Activity 1.2.2.2. Field visits for NCSD members to facilitate	2025-06-30	0%	30%	Discussions with representatives from	
	engagement with local communities				institutions - members of the National	
					Council for Sustainable Development were	
					conducted related to the common	
					SLM/SFM/LDN needs and their involvement	
					in the capacity building trainings.	
					Specific activities will follow once	
					the National Development Strategy is	
					adopted, and the mentioned institutional	
					restructuring happens. In addition,	
					member institutions of the NCSD will	

Component	Output/Activity	completion date	Implementation status as of previous reporting period (%)	status as of current reporting period (%)	Progress rating justification, description of challenges faced and explanations for any delay	Progress Rating
					participate in the first capacity building training in September 2024	
	Activity 1.2.2.3. Develop mechanism for gathering and sharing information between NCSD members	2025-06-30	0%		Planned for the next reporting period	MS
	Activity 1.2.2.4. Develop recommendations for revising national policies relevant to the land management sector	2025-06-30	0%	0%	Planned for the next reporting period.	
	Output 2.1.1: SFM and SLM guidelines are prepared and made available to national and local authorities and all interested stakeholders, providing an effective framework for reforestation, afforestation, restoration and conservation activities					
	Activity 2.1.1.1. Establish technical advisory working group with representatives from concerned stakeholders	2024-03-31	. 80%		National expert identified in close cooperation with MoEPP. A technical advisory working group for implementation of the prescribed actions in the ToR is established and meetings with the MoEPP are organized.	
	Activity 2.1.1.2. Select expert team to work on the development of the guidelines based on data gathered through questionnaires and analysis of other relevant documentation	2024-03-31	80%	100%	Expert team selected and working with MOEPP and other relevant stakeholders, to revise and update the national LDN (Land Degradation Neutrality) target setting report and develop the guidelines.	
	Activity 2.1.1.3. Develop draft guidelines and effective framework of reforestation/ afforestation activities	2024-06-30	50%		Database for preparation of the guidelines was provided. The existing LDN report was analysed and gaps for improvements identified. Some of the new degradation trends of the land have been assessed through the existing (published) data. The drivers of land	

Component	Output/Activity	Expected	Implementation	Implementation	Progress rating justification, description of	Progress
		completion	status as of	status as of	challenges faced and explanations for any delay	Rating
		date	previous	current		
			reporting	reporting		
			period (%)	period (%)		
					degradation, described in the existing LDN Report were analyzed and prepared,	
					as well as the approach/methodology for	
					their development and assessment. The	
					existing legal and institutional	
					analysis, connected with LDN have been	
					analyzed and updates are	
					ongoing. Further data collection –	
					ongoing.	
	Activity 2.1.1.4. Workshop and meetings to discuss the developed	2025-03-31	0%		Planned for the next reporting period	
	guidelines with relevant stakeholders taking into consideration	2023-03-31	076	076	rianned for the next reporting period	
	gender balance and inclusion of vulnerable groups and ethnic and					
	religious minorities					
	3	2025-06-30	0%	0%	Planned for the next reporting period.	
2	Output 2.1.1. SFM and SLM guidelines are prepared and made	2025-06-30			Progress has been made in engaging of	MS
Implementation	available to national and local authorities and all interested	2023 00 30	070		consultants to support the revision and	1013
of sustainable	stakeholders, providing an effective framework for reforestation,				update of the national LDN (Land	
	afforestation, restoration and conservation activities				Degradation Neutrality) targets, as well	
management	and estation, restoration and conservation activities				as the National Drought Management Plan.	
practices for					These documents will serve as a baseline	
reducing the					of further development of the Soil	
effects of land					Protection Strategy, and updating the	
degradation in					Draft National Action plan to Combat	
three pilot sites					Desertification, but also contribute to	
in the most					development of the guidelines and	
vulnerable					effective framework for re/afforestation	
mountainous					activities.	
region (testing	Activity 2.1.1.1. Establish technical advisory working group with	2024-03-31	80%	100%	National expert identified in close	S
and	representatives from concerned stakeholders				cooperation with MoEPP. A technical	

demonstration in selected pilot sites in the most	Output/Activity	completion date	status as of previous reporting	status as of current reporting period (%)	Progress rating justification, description of challenges faced and explanations for any delay advisory working group for implementation of the prescribed actions in the ToR is established and meetings with the MoEPP are organized.	Progress Rating
mountainous regions, as basis for lessons learned, replication and		2024-03-31		100%	Expert team selected and working with MOEPP and other relevant stakeholders, to revise and update the national LDN (Land Degradation Neutrality) target setting report and develop the guidelines.	S
	Activity 2.1.1.3. Develop draft guidelines and effective framework of reforestation/ afforestation activities				Database for preparation of the guidelines was provided. The existing LDN report was analysed and gaps for improvements identified. Some of the new degradation trends of the land have been assessed through the existing (published) data. The drivers of land degradation, described in the existing LDN Report were analyzed and prepared, as well as the approach/methodology for their development and assessment. The existing legal and institutional analysis, connected with LDN have been analyzed and updates are ongoing. Ongoing is further data collection.	MS
	Activity 2.1.1.4. Workshop and meetings to discuss the developed guidelines with relevant stakeholders taking into consideration gender balance and inclusion of vulnerable groups and ethnic and religious minorities	2025-03-31	0%	0%	Planned for the next reporting period.	MS

Component	Output/Activity	-			Progress rating justification, description of challenges faced and explanations for any delay	Progress
I		date	previous	current	challenges faced and explanations for any delay	Kating
l						
				reporting period (%)		
	Activity 2.1.1.5. Final guidelines and effective framework developed	2025-06-30			Planned for the next reporting period.	MS
	Output 2.1.2: SFM practices and priority actions for afforestation are				Significant progress in finalizing of	MS
	implemented to accelerate optimized use of forest and non-forest				the Program for afforestation of 6 pilot	
	land by communities and local government on 5 000 hectares at pilot				sites on 400 ha is prepared.	
	sites				Furthermore, a TOR with specifications	
					for afforestation activities is being	
					finalized, following several	
					consultation meetings with the Public	
					Enterprise National Forests and the	
					Public Enterprise Pasture Management for	
					the implementation of the afforestation	
					programme and a Memorandum for	
					cooperation between UNEP, MOEPP and PE	
					National Forests has been drafted.	
	Activity 2.1.2.1. Select expert team to work on the identification and	2023-12-31	70%	100%	A national expert was contracted based	S
	implementation of priority actions				on the TOR consulted with MOEPP, for	
					preparation of afforestation programs	
					for three pilot sites, including the	
					selection of species, planting	
					techniques, and cultivation measures and	
					overall contribution to the UNEP	
					national project team in implementing	
					activities related to Sustainable Forest	
					Management Practices. Due to the natural	
					conditions on the ground and arid and	
					poor soil conditions, difficult access	
					to the afforestation sites, occupational	
					health and safety aspects, land property	
					issues, the engaged expert proposed to	
					develop a detailed and expanded	

Component	Output/Activity	Expected	Implementation	Implementation	Progress rating justification, description of	Progress
		completion	status as of	status as of	challenges faced and explanations for any delay	Rating
		date	previous	current		
			reporting	reporting		
			period (%)	period (%)		
					afforestation plan for three additional locations. As final delivery,	
					Preparation of afforestation programs	
					for 6 pilot sites, selection of species	
					and planting techniques and cultivation	
					measures is prepared.	
	Activity 2.1.2.2. Discuss and select possible pilot sites with national	2023-12-31	70%		For the three initially planned pilot	S
	and local stakeholders, including types of species for reforestation/				sites (wider area of the village	
	afforestation				Strachince, Lipkovo Lake, and Zheden	
					Mountain), meetings with the Public	
					Enterprise National Forests and field	
					visits with its branch offices on the	
					pilot sites were conducted. During these	
					meetings, the forest management plans	
					were discussed, and an analysis of	
					national and separate forest-unit	
					management plans was conducted.	
					Micro-locations for afforestation were	
					selected based on the prepared analysis.	
					Additionally, an analysis was performed	
					on settlements and areas not included in	
					the forestry bases of the PE National	
					Forests. The two analyses covered	
					several key aspects: Selection of tree	
					types, shrubs, and other species for	
					afforestation, Recommendations for soil	
					preparation and processing, Handling of	
					seedlings and recommendations for	
					planting techniques, Suggested types and	
					qualities of seedlings, Detailed work	'

Component	Output/Activity		1 -	•	Progress rating justification, description of	Progres
		completion	status as of	status as of	challenges faced and explanations for any delay	Rating
		date	previous	current		
			reporting	reporting		
			period (%)	period (%)		
					plans for the identified planting	
					seasons. The same process was done for	
					the newly proposed pilot sites:	
					Golashec, Goceva Gora, and	
					Bogoslovec. During the Project Steering	
					Committee (PSC) meeting held on 1st	
					March 2024, six suggested pilot sites	
					for afforestation were discussed, and	
					five of them were approved. However, due	
					to the complexity of the pilot site, it	
					was decided that the Zelino pilot site	
					would not be afforested.	
	Activity 2.1.2.3. Establishment of work plan for implementation of	2024-03-31	10%	100%	A detailed work plan for the	S
	SFM practices				implementation of SFM has been developed	
					in consultation with PE National	
					Forests, shared with MoEPP and approved.	
	Activity 2.1.2.4. Implement SFM practices and priority actions on	2025-12-31	0%	20%	ToR and Memorandum of cooperation are	MS
	selected sites, involving local communities, taking into account				drafted, ongoing are coordinative	
	gender balance and inclusion of minorities				meetings with the PE National forests	
					regarding the afforestation activities	
					and finalisation of the contract.	
	Activity 2.1.2.5. Implement SFM practices and priority actions on	2025-12-31	0%	0%	Planned for the next reporting period.	MS
	selected sites, involving local communities, taking into account					
	gender balance and inclusion of minorities					
	Output 2.1.3: SLM practices to address priority LD and deforestation	2025-09-30	5%	20%	Progress in finalizing of the Drought	MS
	issues tested, demonstrated and locally validated on 10 000 hectares				Management Plan and suggestions for	
	to achieve local LDN targets				inputs/revision of the Draft National	
					Action Plan to Combat Desertification .	
					Furthermore, the lessons learned from	

Component	Output/Activity	Expected	Implementation	Implementation	Progress rating justification, description of	Progress
		completion	status as of	status as of	challenges faced and explanations for any delay	Rating
		date	previous	current		
			reporting	reporting		
			period (%)	period (%)		
					the planned Turkiye training, as well as	
					NCSD-related upcoming trainings, are	
					expected to be the baseline to conduct	
					the trainings under Output 2.1.3 on	
					testing of SLM practices	
	Activity 2.1.3.1. Selection of expert team to work on the	2024-06-30	20%	100%	A national consultant was engaged based	S
	implementation of SLM practices				on the agreed TOR with MOEPP to review	
					and update of the Drought Management	
					Plan necessary for revision of the draft	
					National Action Plan to Combat	
					Desertification, further feed the	
					national LDN report and will set the	
					baselines for the development of the	
					Soil Protection Strategy. In addition,	
					in consultations with MOEPP (connected	
					with the training activities under	
					Output 1.2.1-1.2.2) was selected expert	
					team to work on the implementation of	
					SLM practices. Following the findings	
					of the needs assessment (Output 1.2.1),	
					the capacity-building training (CBT)	
					developed and implemented (first	
					sessions in Turkiye), all knowledge and	
					experience gained is planned to be	
					replicated at central and local	
					governmental level, with involvement of	
					local communities in testing/piloting	
					SLM activities on forest and pasture	
					lands.	
	Activity 2.1.3.2. Establishment of inter- organization advisory working	2024-06-30	0%	0%	Planned for the next reporting period.	MS

Component	Output/Activity	Expected completion date	Implementation status as of previous reporting period (%)	Implementation status as of current reporting period (%)	Progress rating justification, description of challenges faced and explanations for any delay	Progress Rating
	group					
	Activity 2.1.3.3. In consultation with concerned stakeholders, determine the pilot sites to test and validate SLM practices	2024-09-30	50%	50%	Initial pilot sites are discased and determined.	MS
	Activity 2.1.3.4. Develop Land Management Study and Action Plan	2024-12-31	. 0%	0%	Planned for the next reporting period	MS
	Activity 2.1.3.5. Workshops with national and local authorities and representative of targeted municipalities to present and discuss the study under 2.1.3.4	2025-06-30	0%	0%	Planned for the next reporting period.	MS
	Activity 2.1.3.6. Practical testing and validation of applicable SLM practices on identified pilot sites, with involvement of local communities and taking into consideration gender balance	2025-06-30	0%	0%	Planned for the next reporting period.	MS
	Activity 2.1.3.7. Presentation of results during national stakeholder workshop	2025-09-30	0%	0%	Planned for the next reporting period	MS
3 Knowledge						
management and public awareness	Output 3.1.1: Production of knowledge management products made available to national and local stakeholders, based on training and exchanges under Outcome 1.2 and best practice arising from Outcome 2.1 to ensure SLM/SFM and LDN	2025-12-31	. 0%	0%	This Output is ongoing, and depends on the finalisation of Outcome 1.2 and Outcome 2.1	MS
	Activity 3.1.1.1. Development of user-friendly handbook on land and forest management practices, applicable in the country and taking gender balance into consideration	2025-09-30	0%	0%	Planned for the next reporting period.	MS
	Activity 3.1.1.2. Stakeholders workshop to discuss the developed handbook	2025-09-30	0%	0%	Planned for the next reporting period.	MS
	Activity 3.1.1.3. Design, translation, printing and dissemination of the developed handbook	2025-12-31	. 0%	0%	Planned for the next reporting period.	MS
	Output 3.1.2: Communication strategy and outreach campaign designed and made available to targeted national and local stakeholders, with an emphasis on ecological and economic benefits of sustainable management of land and forests of North Macedonia, including establishment of web-based national SLM/SFM and LDN	2025-06-30	20%		Project has been made in developing of the Communication Strategy, for stakeholders engagement throughout the implementation of all project activities and approved by MOEPP. TOR for starting	MS

Component	Output/Activity	Expected	Implementation	I -	Progress rating justification, description of	Progress
		completion	status as of	status as of	challenges faced and explanations for any delay	Rating
		date	previous	current		
			reporting	reporting		
			period (%)	period (%)		
	knowledge management hub				of SLM/SFM outreach campaign/s and	
					development of promotional materials was	
					drafted and approved by MoEPP. Ongoing	
					is awareness raising campaign related to	
					the development of the Soil Law. The	
					development of the web-based	
					communication hub is being discussed	
					together with the institutional	
					communication tools on SLM/SFM/LDN. An	
					initial communication has been made with	
					SCOUTS regarding their possible	
					involvement through the ,,Borenka"	
					programme during the afforestation	
					activities, to include project	
					visibility and raise awareness on	
					SLM/SFM/LDN.	
	Activity 3.1.2.1. Develop Communication Strategy taking into	2022-03-31	95%	100%	Project Communication strategy was	S
	consideration gender balance				developed and agreed with MOEPP,	
					including gender mainstreaming tools in	
					line with SDGs. The strategy includes a	
					project visual identity, and encompasses	
					several components: purpose of the	
					strategy, communication objectives,	
					communication tools, target audiences	
					and stakeholders, key messages,	
					languages, communication flowchart,	
					Activity Plan, monitoring and	
					evaluation. The strategy is adopted on	
					the first PSC meeting.	
	Activity 3.1.2.2. Organization of outreach campaigns (direct and	2025-12-31	40%	50%	One overall ToR for this activity has	MS

Component	Output/Activity			· ·	Progress rating justification, description of	Progre
		completion	status as of	status as of	challenges faced and explanations for any delay	Rating
		date	previous	current		
			reporting	reporting		
			period (%)	period (%)		
	indirect dissemination mechanisms) with involvement of local				been developed and approved by MOEPP.	
	communities and taking into consideration gender balance				The first public awareness campaign is	
					ongoing through Activity 1.1.4, aiming	
					to raise public awareness on Soil	
					Protection and Soil Protection Law. The	
					second campaign will be conducted	
					through Activity 1.1.2, which focuses on	
					the development of a Soil Protection	
					Strategy and Guidelines for Establishing	
					a Soil Quality Monitoring Programme.	
					Additionally, for the third campaign, a	
					local partner has been selected, and	
					ongoing are consultations for the	
					development of the ToR. Communication	
					is established with SCOUTS for possible	
					their involvement through the	
					'Borenka' programme during the	
					afforestation activities, kids'	
					participation and provide project	
					visibility and raise awareness on	
					SLM/SFM in LDN. The outreach campaigns	
					will provide high-quality informative	
					videos, written articles, PR text for	
					electronic and written media, video	
					clips, viral videos, and educational	
					materials for kids. These materials will	
					reflect the best project activities,	
					with a focus on preparedness needs,	
					possibilities, and the critical	
					importance of an early warning and	

Component	Output/Activity	-	status as of previous reporting	· ·	Progress rating justification, description of challenges faced and explanations for any delay	
					public alert system.	
	Activity 3.1.2.3. Connecting with related national networks and regional initiatives, for possible experience sharing and organization of experience exchange study visits	2025-03-31	30%		In cooperation with MOEPP, UNCCD Secretariat and UNEP project team, was organized the Interregional Thematic Workshop on Desertification, Land Degradation and Drought (DLDD) for representatives of the Annex IV and V of the Convention. The main objective of the workshop was to provide an opportunity for the participants to strengthen their capacities in combating DLDD through sharing knowledge and best practices on gender-responsive land-related issues and SLM. The project, along with this workshop, supported the celebration of the World Drought and Desertification Day (June 17, 2023) under the motto #HerLand.HerRight.During the reporting period initial communication was established with the Standing Working Group for Regional Rural Development (SWG RRD) responsible for coordination of the Western Balkan Soil Partnership for possible collaboration. UNEP' NT Project coordinator is involved in the Regional Inclusive Biobased Entrepreneurship Solutions (RIBES) Multi-actor Transformative Forums (MTFs) in Western Balkans.	S

Component	Output/Activity	Expected	Implementation	Implementation	Progress rating justification, description of	Progress
		completion	status as of	status as of	challenges faced and explanations for any delay	Rating
		date	previous	current		
			reporting	reporting		
			period (%)	period (%)		
	Develop web based communication and information dissemination	2025-06-30	0%	20%	This activity is discussed together with	MS
	hub/site				the institutional communication tools on	
					SLM/SFM/LDN. Ongoing are communication	
					with MOEPP on developing of the	
					communication and information hub and	
					developing of ToR.	
	Output 3.1.3: Development of three bankable project proposals	2025-09-30	0%	0%	This output will be implemented during	MS
	made available to national authorities, for replication of sustainable				2025, following the deliverables of all	
	land and forest management practices in other regions identified as				components and specific stakeholders'	
	vulnerable				needs.	
	Activity 3.1.3.1. Develop three bankable project proposals, taking	2025-09-30	0%	0%	Planned for the next reporting period.	MS
	into consideration gender balance and inclusion of vulnerable groups					
	and ethnic and religious minorities					
	Activity 3.1.3.2. Analysis of the results and capture lessons learned	2025-12-31	0%	0%	Planned for the next reporting period.	MS
	for replication in other regions					

The Task Manager will decide on the relevant level of disaggregation (i.e. either at the output or activity level).

4 Risks

4.1 Table A. Project management Risk

Please refer to the Risk Help Sheet for more details on rating

Risk Factor	EA Rating	TM Rating
1 Management structure - Roles and	Low	Low
responsibilities		
2 Governance structure - Oversight	Substantial	Substantial
3 Implementation schedule	Substantial	Substantial
4 Budget	Moderate	Moderate
5 Financial Management	Low	Low
6 Reporting	Low	Low
7 Capacity to deliver	Low	Low

If any of the risk factors is rated a Moderate or higher, please include it in Table B below

4.2 Table B. Risk-log

Implementation Status (Current PIR)

Insert ALL the risks identified either at CEO endorsement (inc. safeguards screening), previous/current PIRs, and MTRs. Use the last line to propose a suggested consolidated rating.

Risks	Risk affecting: Outcome /	CEO	PIR 1	PIR 2	PIR 3	PIR 4	PIR 5	Current	Δ	Justification
	outputs	ED						PIR		
Risk 1: Insecurity and political unrest may	All Project outcomes and outputs	M	M	M	М	M	М	М	=	Remains the same
result in considerable delays and										
postponement of project implementation										
Risk 2: Lack of attention by National	Outcome 1.1 and 1.2.	M	M	M	М	S	М	S	\uparrow	In the current PIR. some activities

Risks	Risk affecting: Outcome /	CEO	PIR 1	PIR 2	PIR 3	PIR 4	PIR 5	Current∆		Justification
	outputs	ED						PIR		
Government institutions because of other										were postponed and with delays due
priorities (in particular possible start of										to governmental and presidential
negotiation with EU for integration)										elections. Now. the current political
										situation in North Macedonia is
										stable. and we expect that it won't
										significantly impact on the project
										implementation
Risk 3: Administrative challenges emerging	Outcome 1.2. and 3.1	М	М	М	М	М	М	M	=	Remains the same
during setting up policy platform for SLM										
coordination between the sectors.										
Risk 4: Rehabilitation of disused and	Outcome 2.1	L	L	L	L	L	L	L	\uparrow	Remains the same
abandoned land surfaces may encounter										
resistance from landowners (public and										
private) and political figures										
Risk 5: Insufficient knowledge about modern	Outcome 2.1.	М	М	М	М	М	М	M	=	Remains the same
technologies and technical approaches. such										
as GIS. remote sensing. computer modelling.										
environmental valuation. cost-benefit										
analysis. and social impact assessment										
Risk 6: Unclear roles of stakeholders in the	Outcome 1.1. 1.2 and 2.1.	L	L	L	L	L	L	L	=	Remains the same
execution of the project may result in lack of										
commitment/buy-in from local communities										
and therefore may result in failure of										
demonstration projects										
Risk 7: Climate change impacts (e.g.	Overall								=	Remains the same
increased flooding; more severe droughts;										
forest fires) may negatively affect project										
activities for ecosystem restoration and										
effective SLM practices										

Risks	Risk affecting: Outcome /	CEO	PIR 1	PIR 2	PIR 3	PIR 4	PIR 5	Current	.Δ	Justification
	outputs	ED						PIR		
Risk 8: Poor monitoring of environmental.	Overall	М	M	M	М	М	М	М	=	Remains the same
social and economic impacts after the										
project implementation										
Workflow Risk: Delays in project activities	Overall	N/A	Н	M	М	М	Н	М	\downarrow	New National Technical Project
and expenditures as well										coordinator was engaged. there is a
										progress in implementation of the
										activities especially in communication
										and contracting of local partners.
	overall	N/A	М	M	М	М	М	М	=	

4.3 Table C. Outstanding Moderate, Significant, and High risks

Additional mitigation measures for the next periods

Risk	Actions decided during the	Actions effectively	What	When	By Whom
	previous reporting instance	undertaken this reporting			
	(PIRt-1, MTR, etc.)	period			
Risk1: Insecurity and	The current political	Following the parliamentary	The PMU will continue to	Until the end of the project.	UNEP. MOEPP. Local
political unrest may result	situation in North	and presidential elections.	monitor the security and		partners
in considerable delays and	Macedonia is stable. but the	the political situation is	political situation on a		
postponement of project	potential for a spontaneous	stabilizing. The 1st PSC was	regular basis. The PMU will		
implementation	upsurge is real. The project	organised in March 2024.	continue to foster and		
	team will provide		maintain communication.		
	continuous monitoring of		especially with the key local		
	the security and political		partners.		
	situation in the country and				
	update the Steering				
	Committee on a regular				
	basis. There is sufficient				

Risk	Actions decided during the	Actions effectively	What	When	By Whom
	previous reporting instance	undertaken this reporting			
	(PIRt-1, MTR, etc.)	period			
	time for adequate response				
	actions and adjustment in				
	project strategy.				
Risk 2: Lack of attention by	The project will emphasize	There is an ongoing process	There is upcoming capacity	Until the end of the project.	UNEP. MOEPP. Local
National Government	the advantages of its	on development of the Soil	building events and training		partners
institutions because of	intervention not only	Protection Law and	for the Institutions that		
other priorities (in	toward meeting global	Strategy. that will be taking	have role in SLM/SFM to		
particular possible start of	goals. but also the EU	into consideration the	expand the knowledge.		
negotiation with EU for	acquis.	country's needs. but also	practices and to contribute		
integration)		obligations stemming from	to the goal of achieving the		
		the international	national LDN in		
		agreements and the latest	harmonization with EU		
		developments on soil	legislation.		
		protection at EU level. The			
		project team and local			
		partners are making further			
		efforts to raise the			
		awareness and interest on			
		the LD challenges and			
		SLM/SFM/LDN approaches			
		among local and state			
		authorities and			
		communities.			
Risk 3: Administrative	The project will focus on	Close and fruitful	Organization of thematic	Until the end of the project	UNEP. MOEPP. Local
challenges emerging during	previously identified goals	cooperation with the	trainings for national and		partners
setting up policy platform	regarding land	concerned local partners is	municipal resource		
for SLM coordination	management. which are	fostered.	managers to integrate SLM/		
petween the sectors	necessary to be		SFM in LDN		

Risk	Actions decided during the	Actions effectively	What	When	By Whom
	previous reporting instance	undertaken this reporting			
	(PIRt-1, MTR, etc.)	period			
	implemented according to				
	national strategic				
	documents.				
Risk 5: Insufficient	The project will support	The update of the National	Capacity building events	Until the end of the project	UNEP. Local partners
knowledge about modern	training and coordination	LDN targets and the	and trainings for the		
technologies and technical	with the central	Drought Management Plan	Institutions that have role in		
approaches. such as GIS.	government to support the	set the baseline for	the management of		
remote sensing. computer	introduction and use of new	development of the Soil	SLM/SFM.		
modelling. environmental	technology. as well as the	Protection Law and			
valuation. cost-benefit	transfer of knowledge and	Strategy. An afforestation			
analysis. and social impact	skills from the extension	program for 6 pilot sites to			
assessment	services of the central	cover 400 ha is prepared.			
	government to the new	The first training is planned			
	staff in local (municipal)	to be organised the second			
	governments. Also. the	week of September 2024.			
	project will pursue	All these and upcoming			
	coordination and	activities consider the latest			
	development of training	SLM/SFM developments			
	modules with other ongoing	and knowledge.			
	projects of similar topic.				
Risk 7: Climate change	Integrated land use plans	Following the research and	Involvement of	Until the end of the project	UNEP. Local partners
impacts (e.g. increased	will include hazard	afforestation findings. the	localcommunities		
flooding; more severe	mitigation measures to	PSC has approved	indevelopingManagement		
droughts; forest fires) may	minimize the impacts of	afforestation activities on	plans(including		
negatively affect project	droughts (e.g. through	the selected pilot mountain	guidebook)and validation of		
activities for ecosystem	better water management	areas i.e. area of Lipkovo	the pilot sites measures for		
restoration and effective	and adoption of drought	lake. Strachinci. Goceva	implementation of effective		
SLM practices	tolerant crops). to reduce	Gora. Bogoslovec and	SLM practices. Organization		

Risk	Actions decided during the	Actions effectively	What	When	By Whom
	previous reporting instance	undertaken this reporting			
	(PIRt-1, MTR, etc.)	period			
	the risk of forest fires	Golashec. The planned on-	of thematic workshops for		
	(through education and	ground activities will	overarching lack of		
	improved enforcement	demonstrate viable	community support for		
	regarding the intentional	methods and approaches	performing local –level		
	setting of fires). and to	for addressing LD.	interventions with special		
	reduce the threat of	improving SLM and	focus on gender equality		
	flooding (through improved	reversing deforestation	and women's		
	land management and	trends (through SFM) to	empowerment and the		
	retention / restoration of	ensure reducing the	differences. needs. roles		
	vegetative cover).	adverse effect of climate	and priorities of all men and		
		change.	women.		
Risk 8: Poor monitoring of	The Project will strengthen	Gender oriented	Organization and delivery of	Until the end of the project.	UNEP. Local partners. main
environmental. social and	capacities of major	communication strategy is	materials to targeted		project beneficiaries
economic impacts after the	stakeholders for	developed to guide the	stakeholders. i.e. SLM/SFM		
project implementation	environmentally sound	process of communication	guidelines will be delivered		
	practices in sectors	with special focus on	directly to local authorities		
	competing for land area and	increasing understanding of	etc. Policy documents, new		
	natural resources.	land degradation issues and	legislation (with		
		impacts. the benefits of	explanations of its		
		SLM/SFM approaches in	implications and practical		
		increasing production and	application), will be		
		income. the low cost of SLM	delivered to national and		
		and SFM practices.	local institutions and local		
		SLM/SFM best practices to	landowners/users, support		
		be implemented on the	groups and educational		
		around and sharing the	facilities. Following the		
		results of activities	afforestation activities.		
		undertaken within the	PENF is expected to monitor		

Risk	Actions decided during the	Actions effectively	What	When	By Whom
	previous reporting instance	undertaken this reporting			
	(PIRt-1, MTR, etc.)	period			
		project targeted at both	and report on the progress		
		local and national	of activities on the ground.		
		stakeholders.	PENF will oversee		
			monitoring and implement		
			silviculture measures after		
			the project implementation.		
			The experience gained		
			throughout the project is		
			expected to be replicated in		
			different parts of the		
			country after the project		
			implementation.		

High Risk (H): There is a probability of greater than 75% that assumptions may fail to hold or materialize, and/or the project may face high risks. Significant Risk (S): There is a probability of between 51% and 75% that assumptions may fail to hold and/or the project may face substantial risks. Moderate Risk (M): There is a probability of between 26% and 50% that assumptions may fail to hold or materialize, and/or the project may face only modest risks. Low Risk (L): There is a probability of up to 25% that assumptions may fail to hold or materialize, and/or the project may face only modest risks.

5 Amendment - GeoSpatial

Project Minor Amendments

Minor amendments are changes to the project design or implementation that do not have significant impact on the project objectives or scope, or an increase of the GEF project financing up to 5% as described in Annex 9 of the Project and Program Cycle Policy Guidelines. Please tick each category for which a change occurred in the fiscal year of reporting and provide a description of the change that occurred in the textbox. You may attach supporting document as appropriate

5.1 Table A: Listing of all Minor Amendment (TM)

Minor Amendments	Changes
Results Framework:	No
Components and Cost:	Yes
Institutional and implementation arrangements:	No
Financial Management:	No
Implementation Schedule:	
Executing Entity:	No
Executing Entity Category:	No
Minor project objective change:	No
Safeguards:	No
Risk analysis:	No
Increase of GEF financing up to 5%:	No
Location of project activity:	Yes
Other:	No

Minor amendments

There have been some revisions of the project budget to adjust to the increased costs for development and negotiations of the Soil Protection Strategy, with Guidelines for Establishing Soil Quality Monitoring Programme.

Furthermore, based on the findings of the Afforestation Program, there has been a revision of the afforestation sites. Mainly, the afforestation is planned to be implemented on the following locations: Lipkovo Lake, Strachinci, Golashec, Goceva Gora and Bogoslovec, keeping the initially planned afforestation area. Both proposals for changes have been presented and approved at the first Project Steering Committee meeting organized in March 2024.

5.2 Table B: History of project revisions and/or extensions (TM)

Version	Туре	Signed/Approved by UNEP	Entry Into Force (last	Agreement Expiry Date	Main changes
			signiture Date)		introduced in this
					revision
	Extension				
	Amendment & Extension				

GEO Location Information:

The Location Name, Latitude and Longitude are required fields insofar as an Agency chooses to enter a project location under the set format. The Geo Name ID is required in instances where the location is not exact, such as in the case of a city, as opposed to the exact site of a physical infrastructure. The Location & Activity Description fields are optional. Project longitude and latitude must follow the Decimal Degrees WGS84 format and Agencies are encouraged to use at least four decimal points for greater accuracy. Users may add as many locations as appropriate. Web mapping applications such as OpenStreetMap or GeoNames use this format. Consider using a conversion tool as needed, such as: https://coordinates-converter.com Please see the Geocoding User Guide by clicking here

Location Name	Latitude	Longitude	GEO Name ID	Location Description	Activity Description
Aracinovo	42.023853	21.561557		Land degradation	Afforestation activities
Gazi Baba	42.046616	21.531706		Land degradation	
Lipkovo	42.157009	21.585986		Land degradation	
Jegunovce	42.07287	21.120275		Land degradation	
Saraj	41.999581	21.327996		Land degradation	
Zelino	41.985419	21.065021		Land degradation	
Sveti Nikole	41.773360	22.021864		Land degradation	
(Bogoslovec)					
Demir Kapija	41.413183	22.255862		Land degradation	
Gevgelija (Golashec)	41.235833	22.485000		Land degradation	

Please provide any further geo-referenced information and map where the project interventions is taking place as appropriate. *

EUROPE / Western Balkan / Republic of North Macedonia / https://www.geonames.org/718075/north-macedonia.html [Annex any linked geospatial file]